## SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 21, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

## S138052

PEOPLE v. MATAELE (TUPOUTOE)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment is affirmed in its entirety. The matter is remanded for the trial court to consider whether to strike the prior serious felony enhancement under section 667, subdivision (a) and the firearm enhancements under section 12022.5, subdivision (a), and thereafter to amend the abstract of judgment accordingly.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Corrigan, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, J.J.

Concurring Opinion by Groban, J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Kruger, J.

**S262081** B277750/B279009/B285904

Second Appellate District, Div. 2

SIRY INVESTMENT, L.P. v. FARKHONDEHPOUR (SAEED)

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

The Court of Appeal's judgment is affirmed to the extent it recognized and confirmed defendants' standing to move for a new trial - more precisely, a new judgment hearing - on the ground that the trial court committed errors in law when awarding and calculating damages. The same judgment is reversed to the extent the appellate court declined to read section 496(c)'s words in their full and natural manner, by construing that subdivision to withhold, rather than afford, treble damages and attorney's fees when, as here, property "has been obtained in any manner constituting theft." (§ 496(a).)

We remand to the Court of Appeal for proceedings consistent with our opinion.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Groban, J.

-- joined by Kruger, J.

S274604 B304140 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. PINEDA, JR., (ARMANDO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 8, 2022.

S274644 E073346 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VAUGHN (AARON JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 29, 2022.

S274689 B307392/B310635 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CASTANEDA (JUSTIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 1, 2022.

**S274770** F081386 Fifth Appellate District **ERNST (JOHN) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 2, 2022.

**S274823** A163253 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **GRIER (DARNELL) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 29, 2022.

**S274870** B311019 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (OBED)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 31, 2022.

S274911 B309947 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ROBERT ANDREW)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 2, 2022.

**S274914** A160880 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. STRONG (JOVAN)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 2, 2022.

S097668

PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE, JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Valarie Kalb's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 18, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted August 18, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S152463

PEOPLE v. HILL (IVAN J.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy Attorney General Roberta L. Davis' representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 26, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 2, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 23 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).

S154365

PEOPLE v. DREWS (MARTIN DEXTER)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Rebecca P. Jones' representation that the appellant's supplemental opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 21, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 21, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S206515

PEOPLE v. MILLS (DAVID)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Sarah J. Farhat's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 13, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 13, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S237549

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 20, 2022.

S246087

PEOPLE v. HUNTER (LORRAINE ALISON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Kathy Moreno's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 18, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 19, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.