

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, JULY 21, 2021
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S062259**PEOPLE v. SCULLY
(ROBERT WALTER)**

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION AND DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING**THE COURT:**

The majority opinion in this case, filed on May 24, 2021, and appearing at 11 Cal.5th 542, is modified as follows:

1. On page 565, in the third sentence of the third full paragraph, the phrase “68 newspaper articles” is replaced with “more than 100 newspaper articles,” so that the sentence begins:

Based on its review of the more than 100 newspaper articles submitted

2. On page 568, in the second sentence of the second full paragraph, the phrase “68 newspaper articles” is replaced with “more than 100 newspaper articles,” so that the sentence begins:

In the case below, the trial court considered the more than 100 newspaper articles submitted.
. . .

3. On page 569, in the first sentence of the first paragraph, the phrase “68 newspaper articles” is replaced with “more than 100 newspaper articles,” so that the sentence begins:

We have reviewed the more than 100 newspaper articles attached

4. On page 569, in the second sentence of the second paragraph, the phrase “less than half the number of articles” is replaced with “fewer articles than,” so that the sentence begins:

Defendant submitted fewer articles than were presented in *Odle*

5. On page 570, in the first full paragraph, the second, third, and fourth sentences currently read: “There are approximately 10 articles, published over the course of nearly 18 months, that mention defendant’s alleged affiliation with the Aryan Brotherhood or white supremacist group. Most were published within the first three weeks following Deputy Trejo’s killing. The articles made only passing reference to defendant’s Aryan Brotherhood connection, which was largely described as ‘alleged’ or ‘reputed.’ ” These sentences are

replaced with the following:

There are approximately 13 articles, published over the course of around 14 months, that mention defendant's alleged affiliation with the Aryan Brotherhood or a white supremacist group. Most were published many months before jury selection began. Many of the articles made only passing reference to defendant's Aryan Brotherhood connection, which was largely described as "alleged" or "reputed."

6. On page 571, in the first full paragraph, the second, third, fourth, and fifth sentences currently read: "Defendant's trial began more than a year and a half after the initial pretrial publicity period had subsided. Approximately half of the articles submitted by defendant were published within the first month after the offense, and the vast majority of articles were published within the initial five months of the offense. Thereafter, coverage was sporadic. Of those that were published after the five-month mark, which was still one year before jurors were summoned, all but two articles contained factual updates about pretrial proceedings." These sentences are replaced with the following:

Defendant's trial began about a year and a half after the offense. Nearly half of the articles submitted by defendant were published within the first month after the offense, and approximately 75 percent were published in the first six months, a year or more before jury selection began. No articles published in the three months immediately preceding trial were submitted in the supporting exhibits.

This modification does not affect the judgment.
The petition for rehearing is denied.

S268993 E071815 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. NUNEZ
(RODOLFO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.
Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269031 G059338 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. KIM (DAVID DAE HONG)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 and *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269118 F079443 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (RODESKI MONTREAZ)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269228 B304567 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. FORTMAN (PHILLIP CHARLES)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Duke*, S265309 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269297 B306212 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SHAW (IRVIN RANDOLPH)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 and *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269320 C093267 Third Appellate District
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

PEOPLE v. PAO (GE LOR)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Delgadillo*, S266305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269342 C082451 Third Appellate District
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

PEOPLE v. LEMONS (GLEN DALE)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *In re Vaquera*, S258376 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269434 E074662 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

PEOPLE v. PIERSON (MARIE ELIZABETH)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Strong*, S266606 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S269242 E073573 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. MALJANIAN (JAMES E.)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Penal Code section 1465.9 (Stats. 2020, ch. 92, sec. 62). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S264515 B300319 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ
(BRYAN)**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Lopez*, S258175, and *People v. Lewis*, S260598, is hereby dismissed at the request of the petitioner. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S262365 **BANKS (ANTHONY) ON
CLEMENCY**

Clemency file returned, no action taken

The June 16, 2021, order requiring the Governor to resubmit the record in this clemency matter to the court, in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45 and 8.46(d)(2)-(5) and Administrative Order 2021-05-26 is vacated in light of the Governor's withdrawal of his request for a recommendation from this court on the clemency application of Mr. Banks.

The First Amendment Coalition's August 21, 2020, "Motion to Unseal Clemency-Related Court Records" is denied as moot.

S268433 H049011 Sixth Appellate District **IN RE A.M.**
Petition for review denied

S268741 H048078 Sixth Appellate District **IN RE A.M.**
Petition for review denied

S268750 **COHEN STEVEN ALLEN -
TRUST**

Petition for review denied

S268762 B292091 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **LENT (WARREN M.) v.
CALIFORNIA COASTAL
COMMISSION (CALIFORNIA
STATE COASTAL
CONSERVANCY)**

Petition for review denied

S268801 F078799 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**RIVERA (PAIGE ANN) v.
FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE
INSURANCE COMPANY**

S268802 F082469 Fifth Appellate District

The request for judicial notice is denied.
The petition for review is denied.

**ANTELOPE VALLEY
GROUNDWATER CASES**

S268804 B303100 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Petition for review denied

**WEXLER (BROOKE) v.
CALIFORNIA FAIR PLAN
ASSOCIATION**

S268805 E075169 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**KWON (BYUNG H.) v. GEICO
GENERAL INSURANCE
COMPANY**

S268827 C089992 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PINI (FIRENZA) v. FENLEY
(JOHN)/(THE COUNTY OF
TRINITY)**

S268832

Petition for review denied

**DREVALEVA (TATYANA E.)
v. S.C. (ALAMEDA HEALTH
SYSTEM)**

S268836 E075582 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**MUDDY WATERS, LLC v.
S.C. (PERFECTUS
ALUMINUM, INC.)**

S268845 B304855 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. RAMOS (LUIS)**
The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S268893 B296756 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **TURNER (RENITA) v. GORDY (PAUL T.)**
Petition for review denied

S268927 F080681 Fifth Appellate District **FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & SWANSON (KAREN)**
Petition for review denied

S268932 C093461 Third Appellate District **WELCH-BROWN (GAYE) v. S.C. (STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE)**
Petition for review denied

S268947 E075312 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (ANTHONY ANNIVERSARY)**
The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Lewis*, S260598.

S268971 C089170 Third Appellate District **YEAGER (VICTORIA) v. HOLT (PETER)**
Petition for review denied

S268974 C085795 Third Appellate District **YEAGER (VICTORIA) v. THOMAS (MICHAEL)**
Petition for review denied

S268988 B307391 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **SAFIESLAMY (SID M.) v. BLANCO (MARIBELL)**
Petition for review denied

S268997

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

**HAZARI (CYRUS) v. COURT
OF APPEAL, SIXTH
APPELLATE DISTRICT (S.C.)**

S269004 B305715 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petitions for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ALLEN (ERIC
GERARE)**

S269019 B306358 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**WESTLAKE VILLAGE
MARKETPLACE, LLC v.
WEST AMERICAN
ROOFING, INC. (ENRIGHT)**

S269053 A162451 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**BRAMMER (JAMES
WILLIAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S269062

Petition for review denied

**KEVORKIAN (ANTRANIK) v.
S.C.**

S269077 A162479 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**DIGIACOMO, JR.,
(RAYMOND V.) v. S.C.
(HEALTHRIGHT 360)**

S269088 E073998 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BELTRAN
(ARNOLDO MIJANGOS)**

S269089 B302775 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**NEFF (BURKLEY) v.
BOSCHEE (HOLLY)**

S269091 D076984 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**ORTEGA (SHAKINA) v.
HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK
LLP**

Petitioner's motion to correct the record on appeal is denied.
The petition for review is denied.

S269093 H046279 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. AGUILAR (SAUL
VARGAS)**

Petitions for review denied

S269102 D076323/D078067 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. GREEN
(TIMOTHY LOYD)**

Petition for review denied

S269111 B296192/B300913 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**GARLAND CONNECT, LLC
v. HRRP GARLAND, LLC**

Petition for review denied

S269143 G060281 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

CABRERA (DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S269154 G058877 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. LOWE (DAVID
RUSSELL)**

Petition for review denied

S269156 D078901 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**RICCHIO (LINDA
ELIZABETH) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

S269163 A157926/A157930/A157931

First Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. WILSON
(ANTHONY)**

Defendant Terrell's petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.
Defendants Wilson and Samad's petitions for review are denied.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| S269166 | B312277 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 | MORALES (ALEJANDRO GOMEZ) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) |
| Petition for review & application for stay denied | | |
| S269175 | E076903 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | HASH (LAWRENCE GEORGE) ON H.C. |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269188 | A162548 First Appellate District, Div. 3 | WAER (RYAN) ON H.C. |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269192 | A162326 First Appellate District, Div. 1 | G. (K.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269194 | B304345 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (TIMOTHY THOMAS) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269195 | D078976 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 | BENJAMIN (JOSHUA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) |
| Stay dissolved; petition denied | | |
| S269203 | D077744 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 | CERTAIN INTERESTED UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO (HOWARD) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269206 | B292672 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 | MARTINEZ (MARIA) v. RITE AID CORPORATION |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269215 | C082202 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. WHITEN, JR., (JULIOUS RAY) |
| Petition for review denied | | |

S269219**AHUMIBE (ODELIA) v.
WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & HERBALIFE LTD.**

The petition for review is denied.
Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S269245 G058168 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. HORN (JACK
JUNIOR)**

Petition for review denied

S269271 F078154 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. FLORES, JR.,
(FERNANDO GUAJARDO)**

Petition for review denied

S269274 A157309 First Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. BURTON
(CHRISTIAN)**

Petition for review denied
Liu and Cuéllar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S269275 D077006 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. GRIFFIN
(MAURICE LAMAR)**

Petition for review denied

S269278 F079526 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS
(RANDEE)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S269290 G060296 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3**LAWRENCE (LANCE EVAN)
ON H.C.**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Mohammad*, S259999.

S269300 E074095 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**PEOPLE v. CORONEL
(FELICIANO LEON)**

Petition for review denied

S269302 E072204 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. De La PAZ
(ALEXANDER)**

S269303 C079704 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WEATHERS
(DAVEON TARIQ)**

S269305 D078957 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**NGUYEN (HAI) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S269326 B308766 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. HARMON
(GERJUAN DESHON)**

S269331 F080710 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RICKS
(MICHAEL AARON)**

S269334 F078195 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. VALERA (JOEL)

S269338 A158970 First Appellate District, Div. 2

The request for judicial notice is denied.

The petition for review is denied.

**PEOPLE v. MALONEY
(CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL)**

S269347 A158402 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. VILLALPANDO
(VICTOR)**

S269352 F079827 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ
(DANIEL)**

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| S269354 | C088251 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. SICKMAN (RICKY EUGENE) |
| The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides <i>People v. Kopp</i> , S257844. | | |
| S269358 | F080485 Fifth Appellate District | PEOPLE v. DELTORO (ABRAHAM) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269365 | B300334 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. BAGNERISE (TAYLOR CHANEL) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269371 | E073286 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. ESTRADA (MOSES D.) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269380 | H048184 Sixth Appellate District | IN RE M.C. |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269381 | C089878 Third Appellate District | PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (ANDREW JAMES) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269388 | E074578 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 | PEOPLE v. LAVOIE (GREGORE JOHN) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269394 | F079262 Fifth Appellate District | PEOPLE v. RANCOUR (JEFFREY PAUL) |
| Petition for review denied | | |
| S269397 | A162735 First Appellate District, Div. 4 | SMITH (TODD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) |
| Petition for review denied | | |

S269399 E073912 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. ZAVALA
(ARMANDO)**

S269402 H046634 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. PRYOR
(GERRALLE)**

S269413 E074333 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. YEN (CHUHAO)

S269415 B305319 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ
(ANGEL ANTHONY)**

S269579 F081410 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**BUITRAGO (JOHN) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S269780 C093722 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & application for stay denied

**P. (C.) v. S.C. (SAN JOAQUIN
COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES
AGENCY)**

S269799 A162938 First Appellate District, Div. 4

The request for judicial notice is granted.
The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

**MALEEV (IVAN) v. S.C.
(WOOD)**

S269808 H049236 Sixth Appellate District

The application of petitioner to file the unredacted petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(b).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(d)(6). The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition under seal.
The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

**FUENTES (RENE
ALEJANDRO) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

S253000**ROMAN (CHRISTOPHER
BRIAN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S255073**RABB (DAMEN) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S257925**PEABODY (MICHAEL VAN)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S264303**ASHANTI (ASKIA SANKOFA)
ON H.C.**

The request for judicial notice is denied.
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S266461**GAGE (CORDERICK) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S267012**ZAVALA (EDGAR) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S267029**CARPIO (DAVID
ALEXANDER) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S267237**HOWARD (HARRY
DARNELL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S267333

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**PATTON, JR., (TYRONE
JERRY) ON H.C.**

S267415

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

COOPER (CRAIG) ON H.C.

S268018

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**REVIS (ANDRE LAMAR) ON
H.C.**

S268127

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**DIXON (CHRISTOPHER
LEE) ON H.C.**

S268220

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**KILMER (FREDRICK D.) ON
H.C.**

S268298

The request for judicial notice is granted.
The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

**WROE (JAMES KEVIN) ON
H.C.**

S268300

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

COLLIER (TRACY) ON H.C.

S268313

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

**GARRETT, JR., (DAVID) ON
H.C.**

S268327**PRENDEZ, JR., (RICHARD)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S268388**SILVA (HELIODORO A.) ON
H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S268445**REED (MYCHAL ANDRA)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S268476**ROYAL (MARLIN
LATTEREAL) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268482**REECE (CHARLES G.) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268488**ANTAR (SAMI HANNA) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268497**BELYEW (LISA) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S268505**DUARTE, JR., (SALVADOR ALMAZAN) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S268594**LINDSEY, JR., (MACIO L.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S268596**STONE (JAMES MICHAEL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S269392**BELYEW (LISA) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S267993

D073850 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

A&B MARKET PLUS, INC. v. ARABO (MARK)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S268958

D076487 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

MAHER (DAVID) & STRAWN (LAURIE), MARRIAGE OF

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S097668**PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE,
JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's reply brief is granted to September 20, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 244 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S162506**PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN
JOSE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 24, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 13, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 73 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182059**PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT
PAUL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S188035**PEOPLE v. JENNINGS
(GLENN WADE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Max Feinstat's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 8, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 8, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S189296**PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR.,
(RODRIGO ORTIZ)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S190702**SOLOMON, JR., (MORRIS)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Paula Fog's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 20, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to September 20, 2021. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S212161**PEOPLE v. WALTERS
(MICHAEL J.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 14, 2021.

S217774**PEOPLE v. THOMSON (JOHN
WAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Paul Couenhoven's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 6, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, only no further extension is contemplated.

S220097**PEOPLE v. WEST (ERRAN
LANE)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Michael W. Clough's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 8, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 8, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about

123 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S225020

**PEOPLE v. MURTAZA
(IFTEKHAR)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 31, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 7, 2021. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 146 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226030

**PEOPLE v. CORONADO, JR.,
(JUAN RAMON)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

S226760

**PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY
(CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 10, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 53 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S237431

**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR.,
(DARNELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 13, 2021.

S237549**PEOPLE v. THOMAS
(MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 22, 2021.

S267576 B293435 Second Appellate District, Div. 5**PULLIAM (TANIA) v. HNL
AUTOMOTIVE, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 27, 2021.

S268331 B308504 Second Appellate District, Div. 4**PEOPLE v. MILLER
(ANTHONY)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Vanessa Place is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268538 B305404 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**PEOPLE v. MENDEZ
(MARTIN ESAI)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Steinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268605 B299600 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**PEOPLE v. MIRELES
(OMAR)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Gail Harper is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268744 B304643 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. BRAVO (RAUL)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Vincent M. Martinez for appointment of counsel, Jennifer Gambale is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268744 B304643 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. BRAVO (RAUL)**
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Raul Bravo for appointment of counsel, John Steinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268757 B305965 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. MATEO
(MILTON)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James Koester is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268766 B294537 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. YOUNG
(REGINALD RAYDELL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Tanya Dellaca is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268837 B300200 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MILLER
(HERBERT CHARLES)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Joanna McKim is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268856 B299879 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CHILDRESS
(JOEL VINCENT)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Joanna McKim is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268887 B303304 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. DIEGO (PEDRO ALBERTO)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lynda Romero is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268910 B301404 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SANTISTEVEN (PETER)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Vanessa Place is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268915 B305707 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. McDANIELS (RASHON TREMAIN)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268937 B306398 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. LOVE (CHRISTOPHER)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Marilee Marshall is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S269023 B307351 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. SCHOCKNER (MANFRED)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Ellen Matsumoto is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S268745 **ACCUSATION OF SHIH**
Petition denied (accusation)

S268748**ACCUSATION OF
JAHANSHAH**

Petition denied (accusation)

S268965**ACCUSATION OF MOFID**

Petition denied (accusation)

S269183**ACCUSATION OF
VILLARREAL**

Petition denied (accusation)

S267945**CHAVEZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that FERNANDO FABELA CHAVEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 86902, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).);
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on February 23, 2021;
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268632**LEGGET ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARC ALAN LEGGET (Respondent), State Bar Number 132997, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 11, 2021; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 11, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268638**LEE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JUSTIN MOONGYU LEE (Respondent), State Bar Number 187507, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to Ling Ye and Lei Zhang, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$230,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 17, 2010 (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268640**SHEEN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID SHIH-KUN SHEEN (Respondent), State Bar Number 215625, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 18 months of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment effective December 31, 2018 (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6233)).
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 2, 2021; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on March 2, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268641**KYNCL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MILAN KYNCL (Respondent), State Bar Number 217380, is disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5). Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law:

- (1) Hussein Al Sammaraie in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 30, 2019;
- (2) Jessica Merrill in the amount of \$10,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 30, 2019; and

(3) Harry Strupa in the amount of \$9,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 30, 2019. Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268642**PARK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MIHAE PARK (Respondent), State Bar Number 194482, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268643**SCHULTZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARLES TIMOTHY SCHULTZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 112388, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$5,000 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268866**FRIEDMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STANLEY FRIEDMAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 30899, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 30 days of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. Respondent makes restitution to Antoinette Williams, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$1,060 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 27, 2018 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law; and
 - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1)).
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2021.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

If Respondent remains suspended for 90 days or more, Respondent must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$2,500 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments of \$834 per year, with the first installment due on or before December 31, 2022, until paid in full. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S268867**MOHILL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALAN LOUIS MOHILL (Respondent), State Bar Number 57836, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 25, 2021; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 25, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268868**LAMARRE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID RICHARD LAMARRE (Respondent), State Bar Number 154787, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on

January 22, 2019, and with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment, which commenced on May 30, 2019 (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6233)). Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on February 19, 2021.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Decision filed on February 19, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268869

**KENNEALLY ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BERNARD PAUL KENNEALLY (Respondent), State Bar Number 113160, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 18 months of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. Respondent makes restitution to Les Fields, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$20,000 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Reimbursement to the Fund is enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law; and
 - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the

Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 12, 2021.

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 12, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268872**ALESSI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID ANTHONY ALESSI (Respondent), State Bar Number 229807, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 15, 2021; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 15, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268874**TIMEWELL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PETER WADE TIMEWELL (Respondent), State Bar Number 115235, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on October 5, 2020, and ended on November 13, 2020);
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2021; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2021. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must pay monetary sanctions to the State Bar of California Client Security Fund in the amount of \$875 in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.13 and rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. Monetary sanctions must be paid in installments over three years, in the amounts of \$292 per year for the first two years, and \$291 for the third year. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Monetary sanctions are enforceable as a money judgment and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S268863**STOCKER ON
RESIGNATION**

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of WILLIAM STOCKER (Attorney), State Bar Number 43872, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) Attorney remains on inactive

status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) Attorney may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for Attorney's continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by Attorney to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on Attorney's payment of any fees, penalty payments, and restitution owed by Attorney. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

S268864**ARSHAWSKY ON
RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of JOSEPH ARSHAWSKY (Attorney), State Bar Number 135891, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S268871**BOYAJIAN ON
RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of BERJ BOYAJIAN (Attorney), State Bar Number 60631, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment, and may be collected by the State Bar through any means permitted by law.

S269737**ALTMeyer ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of FELICIA ANNA ALTMeyer, State Bar Number 219854, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269738**BARKELEY ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMES NORMAN BARKELEY, State Bar Number 102233, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269739**BRUCE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID RAY BRUCE, State Bar Number 94968, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269740**EAST ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOANNE CASAREZ EAST, State Bar Number 109270, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269741**GRIFFIN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BRENDEN JAMES GRIFFIN, State Bar Number 177712, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269742**JACOBS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NAN COHAN JACOBS, State Bar Number 98120, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269743**LAWSON ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STEVEN LAWSON, State Bar Number 167496, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269744**MICHELI ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STEVEN ANGELO MICHELI, State Bar Number 89725, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269745**ODSESS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CAROL ANN ODSESS, State Bar Number 106827, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269747**WITTE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RANDALL JEFFREY WITTE, State Bar Number 84182, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269878**CARLSON ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALISON LYNN CARLSON, State Bar Number 113991, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269879**DAI ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LING DAI, State Bar Number 254554, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269880

**DOUGLAS ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALAN CHARLES DOUGLAS, State Bar Number 39071, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269881

**FULLERTON ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GARY FULLERTON, State Bar Number 116573, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269883

**GUASTAMACHIO ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WENDE WAGSTER GUASTAMACHIO, State Bar Number 95235, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269884

**HAGERMAN ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JODIE SHIGEZAWA HAGERMAN, State Bar Number 167677, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269886

**MARKEE, JR., ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RALPH DONALD MARKEE, JR., State Bar Number 163959, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269887**NAVE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JEFFREY CALLAHAN NAVE, State Bar Number 148241, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269888**TEMPLE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KAREN K. TEMPLE, State Bar Number 78399, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269889**WARD ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BARRY THOMAS WARD, State Bar Number 174173, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269911**BEALL ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SCOTT THOMAS BEALL, State Bar Number 155875, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269912**GUALCO ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LORI J. GUALCO, State Bar Number 95232, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269913**HAUCK ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SARAH DORA HAUCK, State Bar Number 274708, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269914**HAYES ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANNE MIYAKO HAYES, State Bar Number 177969, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269915**JOHNSON ON
RESIGANTION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL EDWARD JOHNSON, State Bar Number 57412, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269916**MOORE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT ALLEN MOORE, State Bar Number 104726, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269917**PRESCOTT ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL ROBERT PRESCOTT, State Bar Number 101259, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269919**SCHANNAUER ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ASHLEY CHARLES SCHANNAUER, State Bar Number 224977, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269921**VANASSE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CHARLES FREDERICK VANASSE, State Bar Number 58521, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S269922

**VanBRUSSEL ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARK HENRY VanBRUSSEL, State Bar Number 80777, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.