

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, JULY 15, 2021  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S135272**

**PEOPLE v. DWORAK  
(DOUGLAS EDWARD)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

**S259172**      B283218 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**FERRA (JESSICA) v. LOEWS  
HOLLYWOOD HOTEL, LLC**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

**S269468**

**KHERA (SAMEER) v.  
SAMEER (MADHU)**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

**S269667**

**PERRY (MICHAEL  
(MOSHE)) v. APPELLATE  
DIVISION (NP PARC  
CHATEAUX, LLC)**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of mandate is hereby denied.

**S204700****THOMAS (REGIS DEON) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Ellise Nicholson's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 12, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to September 10, 2021. After that date, only about six further extensions totaling about 366 additional days are contemplated.

**S214388****PEOPLE v. AGUILAR  
(JEFFREY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General J. Michael Lehmann's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by January 12, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 14, 2021. After that date, only two further extension totaling about 119 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S217284****JONES (BRYAN MAURICE)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Shelley J. Sandusky's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 5, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to September 10, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 207 additional days are contemplated.

**S226647****PEOPLE v. MAGANA  
(BELINDA) & NARINE  
(NARESH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Belinda Magana, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

**S226647****PEOPLE v. MAGANA  
(BELINDA) & NARINE  
(NARESH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Naresh Narine, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

**S226653****PEOPLE v. DUNSON  
(ROBERT L.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

**S229694****PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ  
(LUIS JESUS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 13, 2021.

**S233077****PEOPLE v. BROWN  
(MICHAEL CHARLES)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2021.

**S269144**

B312439 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

**BRIGGS (WAYNE LEFON)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to August 2, 2021.

No further extensions of time will be considered.

**S269229** C090304 Third Appellate District

**DOW (JAY) v. HONEY LAKE  
VALLEY RESOURCE  
CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to July 16, 2021.

**S269411**

**GARCIA (JOSEPH  
BELARDE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to August 5, 2021.

**S269237** B302236 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. GRAY  
(DONTRAE)**

Order filed

The order filed on July 14, 2021, signed by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ., granting review is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

The petition for review is granted.

Pending review, the opinion of the Court of Appeal, which is currently published at 63 Cal.App.5th 947, may be cited, not only for its persuasive value, but also for the limited purpose of establishing the existence of a conflict in authority that would in turn allow trial courts to exercise discretion under *Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 456, to choose between sides of any such conflict. (See Standing Order Exercising Authority Under California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1115(e)(3), Upon Grant of Review or Transfer of a Matter with an Underlying Published Court of Appeal Opinion, Administrative Order 2021-04-21; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3) and corresponding Comment, par. 2.)