

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2020  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S259023**      G055942 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. MENESES  
(CESAR)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259056**      E073018 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **BARTELS (LUDWIG) v. S.C.  
(SATO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259062**      B282048 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. ANDERSON  
(ROBERT)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259067**      E071122 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **IN RE O.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259081**      H046664 Sixth Appellate District      **S. (T.) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259082**      B291754 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **IN RE MATTHEW R.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259084**      G055386 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **CROP PRODUCTION  
SERVICES, INC. v.  
CAPTIVA VERDE  
FARMING CORP.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259087**      B286405 Second Appellate District, Div. 8      **PEOPLE v. MOORE (JAMES  
RONNIE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259088**      C090625 Third Appellate District      **HARRIS (COLLEEN) ON  
H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259092**      E070294 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v.  
RODRIGUEZ-CORDOVA  
(RAYMUNDO ALBERTO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259094**      H045567 Sixth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. POOL (CARLOS  
ALFREDO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259111**      B295310 Second Appellate District, Div. 1      **BARAJAS (ELISEO) v.  
APPELLATE DIVISION  
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259113**      B276252 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259126**      A158602 First Appellate District, Div. 3      **NORBERT (KISHAWN) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259130**      B282129 Second Appellate District, Div. 7      **SPRENGEL (JEAN) v.  
ZBYLUT (GREGORY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259131**      G056217 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3      **PEOPLE v. MELTON  
(JAMES ANDREW)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 11, 2020.

**S259135**      F075986 Fifth Appellate District      **PEOPLE v. SAECHAO  
(STEVEN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259155**      B276634 Second Appellate District, Div. 4      **PEOPLE v.  
BLOOMGARDEN  
(HOWARD B.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259156**      C090377 Third Appellate District      **IN RE S.V.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259159**      E073659 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **SPENCER (DONALD  
CURTIS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259163** F080127 Fifth Appellate District

**WALKER (G DANIEL) v.  
S.C. (CLARK)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259164** A151344 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. CROWE (JERRY  
D.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 13, 2020.

**S259217** G055786 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**KIEFER (JOHN  
FITZGERALD) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 14, 2020.

**S169689**

**PEOPLE v. EVANS (STEVE  
CARL)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Nora S. Weyl's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by February 13, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to February 13, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S257658** F076836 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. TIRADO (JOSE  
GUADALUPE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 10, 2020.

**S259739** D075671 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**BRACAMONTES (MANUEL)  
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to January 27, 2020.

**S258122****RYAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed December 11, 2019, suspending RICHARD ALAN RYAN, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that RICHARD ALAN RYAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 154955, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 19, 2019; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar’s Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 19, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.”

This order is entered nunc pro tunc to December 11, 2019.

**S258337****ESPOSITO ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California. The order of this court filed December 11, 2019, disbaring EDWARD LOUIS ESPOSITO, is hereby amended to reflect the above State Bar Court case number.

This order is entered nunc pro tunc to December 11, 2019.

**S258582****EGLI ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID THOMSON EGLI (Respondent), State Bar Number 93776, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of

probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:

- i. Respondent makes restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
  - (1) Maria Lopez in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 20, 2018; and
  - (2) Robert Richie in the amount of \$615 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 23, 2018.
- ii. Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 19, 2019.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 19, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S258583****FOSTER ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN JAMES FOSTER (Respondent), State Bar Number 130975, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on September 5, 2019; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions

of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Review Department in its Opinion filed on September 5, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S258584****KAPLAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JEROME ALAN KAPLAN (Respondent), State Bar Number 49142, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 4, 2019; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 4, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S258585****KENT ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM A. KENT (Respondent), State Bar Number 52111, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment.

**S258586****LU ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID LU (Respondent), State Bar Number 288864, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent must make restitution to the following payees or such other recipients as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court:

- (1) Ke-Ruo Wang in the amount of \$1,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 26, 2017; and
  - (2) Jingyu Xiao in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 16, 2017.
- Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S258997****CORNELIOUS, JR., ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANIEL LEE CORNELIOUS, JR. (Respondent), State Bar Number 285836, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. Respondent makes restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
    - (1) Lynette Powell in the amount of \$4,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 23, 2017; and
    - (2) Laurie Roberts in the amount of \$1,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 3, 2016.
  - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying



the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 16, 2019.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 16, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**S258998**

**HERNANDEZ ON  
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LIGIA ISELA HERNANDEZ (Respondent), State Bar Number 146771, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 60 days of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. Respondent makes restitution to Efrain Mejorada, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$25,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 1, 2013 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
  - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law

before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1)).

2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on September 4, 2019.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

If Respondent remains suspended for 90 days or more, Respondent must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## **S258999**

## **JOHNSON ON DISCIPLINE**

### **Recommended discipline imposed**

The court orders that BYRON M. JOHNSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 304897, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 20, 2019; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied, and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 20, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of

probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.