

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2021
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S220332

**PEOPLE v. TURNER
(CHESTER DEWAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 23, 2021.

S221158

**PEOPLE v. CISNEROS
(LEONARDO ALBERTO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael Lasher's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 16, 2021. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 260 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S263923 D072515 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WARE (VICTOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 22, 2021.

S266034 B293960 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**NIEDERMEIER (LISA) v. FCA
US LLC**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 11, 2021. No further extensions are contemplated.

S255839 A153925/A154042 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE CADEN C.**
Order filed

The joint request of counsel for the San Francisco Human Services Agency and Caden C. in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of the San Francisco Human Services Agency and Caden C. at oral argument is hereby granted. Jeremy Sugerman will be allocated 20 minutes and Deborah Dentler will be allocated 10 minutes of the 30-minute allotted time for oral argument.

S262634 A154986 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **ZOLLY (ROBERT) v. CITY OF OAKLAND**
Order filed

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, the request for permission to file the untimely opposition to motion for judicial notice is hereby granted.

S266510 **CARTER (RODNEY LEE) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE (PEOPLE)**
Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed on February 17, 2021, denying the petition for writ of mandate is hereby amended to reflect the correct title:

RODNEY LEE CARTER, Petitioner,
v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE, Respondent;
THE PEOPLE, Real Party in Interest.

S266066**STATE BAR RULES 1.1 & 5.4****ADMIN. ORDER 2021-02-17-02**

Order filed

On December 11, 2020, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6076, the Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California filed a request for approval of amendments to rules 1.1 and 5.4 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct. The request is granted. The amendments to rule 1.1 are approved as submitted. The amendments to rule 5.4 are approved as modified by the court.

The approved version of rules 1.1 and 5.4 is set forth in Attachment 1 and are effective March 22, 2021.

It is so ordered.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins JJ.

S266552**STATE BAR RULE 5.137****ADMIN. ORDER 2021-02-17-01**

Order filed

On December 22, 2020, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.13, subdivision (c), the Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California filed a request for approval of amendments to rule 5.137 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, entitled “Imposition and Payment of Monetary Sanctions” (appearing in title 5, division 2, chapter 6 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California). The request, as modified, is granted.

The resulting amended version of rule 5.137 is set forth in the attachment and is effective March 1, 2021.

It is so ordered.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins JJ.