### SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2022 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S273133

SLO COUNTY CITIZENS FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT, INC. v. COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO (CLERK-RECORDER OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY)

Petition for writ of supersedeas denied

The petition for writ of supersedeas and request for stay are denied without prejudice to any challenge brought after the trial court's final determination on the merits. (*Cohen v. Board of Supervisors* (1985) 40 Cal.3d 277, 286 ["The granting or denying of a preliminary injunction does not constitute an adjudication of the ultimate rights in controversy"]; *Butt v. State of California* (1992) 4 Cal.4th 668, 678, fn. 8 [A trial court does not abuse its discretion in denying equitable relief where "the propriety of preliminary relief turns upon difficult estimates and predictions from a record which is necessarily truncated and incomplete" and "[n]either the trial court nor this court could undertake a final adjudication of plaintiffs' lawsuit under such circumstances"].)

**S273173** D077156 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

# PULIDO (MYRIAM & JUAN), MARRIAGE OF

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

Having received the petition for review within the Court's original jurisdiction, the time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 12, 2022. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

# S174455

# PEOPLE v. RIVERA (SAMUEL RAMON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy Public Defender Lisa M. Romo's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 19, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 19, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S199741

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Linda F. Robertson's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 15, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 19, 2022. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 366 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

### S212477

(TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

**PEOPLE v. FRAZIER** 

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (MANLING TSANG)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 19, 2022.

#### S212477

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 19, 2022.

### S214649

### PEOPLE v. WADE (ANTHONY DARNELL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 27, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 13, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 14 days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

### S214917

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 19, 2022.

S271877 H048462 Sixth Appellate District Extension of time granted

**PEOPLE v. BROWN (DAJAH)** 

**PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)** 

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 9, 2022.