

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2022  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S272209**      D079716 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1      **TELLEZ (VICTOR) ON H.C.**  
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 14, 2022.

**S272281**      E077031 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2      **PEOPLE v. ROCHA (DANIEL NINO)**  
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 17, 2022.

**S065877**      **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (BOBBY) & TRUJEQUE (JAMES)**  
Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Joseph G. Baxter's representation that appellant Bobby Lopez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 31, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 4, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 57 additional days is contemplated.

**S127119**      **PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)**  
Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that the supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 1, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S142959**      **PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)**  
Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Karen Kelly's representation that appellant Timothy Young's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 31, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 1, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).).

**S142959**

**PEOPLE v. YOUNG  
(DONALD RAY) & YOUNG  
(TIMOTHY JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant Donald Young, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant's opening brief is granted to April 1, 2022. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 152 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).).

**S146528**

**PEOPLE v. SNYDER  
(JANEEN MARIE) &  
THORNTON (MICHAEL  
FORREST)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Eric S. Multhaup's representation that appellant Michael Forrest Thornton's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 1, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S182341**

**PEOPLE v. BUETTNER  
(JEFFREE J.) & JONES  
(GLEN JOSEPH)**

Extension of time denied

The application of appellant Buettner for an extension of time in which to file appellant's reply brief is denied.

**S208348**

**PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI  
ANGEL)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 4, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 4, 2022. After that date, no further extension will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S219382**

**PEOPLE v. THOMAS, JR.,  
(HILBERT PINEIL)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jeanne Keevan-Lynch's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 5, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 28 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S232568**

**WALDON (BILLY RAY) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Ryan R. Davis' representation that the reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 7, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to April 5, 2022. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 94 additional days are contemplated.

**S242076**

**PEOPLE v. CONTRERAS  
(DAVID REY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 8, 2022.

**S267930**

B295163 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

**SOULIOTES (GEORGE) v.  
CALIFORNIA VICTIM  
COMPENSATION BOARD**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to February 25, 2022.

**S271054** D076318/D076337 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **TURNER (DEBRA) v.**  
**VICTORIA (LAURIE ANNE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent, Laurie Anne Victoria and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 8, 2022.

No further extensions will be contemplated.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2022  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on February 2, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

The Honorable Eileen C. Moore, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, sitting on the following case, under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the court at the bench.

S261812

Conservatorship of the Person and Estate of Eric B.

---

Public Guardian of Contra Costa County, Petitioner and Respondent,  
v.  
Eric B., Objector and Appellant.

Cause called. Patrick Hurley argued for Respondent.  
Jeremy Price argued for Appellant.

Mr. Hurley replied.  
Cause submitted.

Justice Moore departed the bench. The court is now joined at the bench by the Honorable Rosendo Peña, Jr., Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, sitting on the following case, and is under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council.

S265910 In re Christopher L., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

---

Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services, Plaintiff  
and Respondent,

v.

Carlos L., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Christopher Blake argued for Appellant.  
Sarah Vesecky argued for Respondent.

Mr. Blake replied.

Cause submitted.

Justice Peña, Jr. departed the bench. The court is now joined at the bench by the Honorable Sandra L. Margulies, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, sitting on the following case, and is under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council.

S095223 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Robert Maurice Bloom, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. William T. Lowe argued for Appellant.  
Michael R. Johnsen argued for Respondent.

Mr. Blake replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.