No. S263972

In the

Supreme Court

of the

State of California

Pico Neighborhood Association, et al.,

Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

v.

City of Santa Monica,

Defendant and Respondent,

PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT CITY OF SANTA MONICA'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

After a Decision of the Court of Appeal Second Appellate District, Division Eight Case No. BC295935 (DEPUBLISHED)

Appeal from the Superior Court of Los Angeles Case No. BC616804 Honorable Yvette M. Palazuelos

Morris J. Baller, Of Counsel (SBN 048928)
mballer@gbdhlegal.com
Laura L. Ho (SBN 173179)
lho@gbdhlegal.com
Anne P. Bellows (SBN 293722)
abellows@gbdhlegal.com
Ginger L. Grimes (SBN 307168)
ggrimes@gbdhlegal.com
GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN
& HO
155 Grand Avenue, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612

Tel: (510) 763-9800 | Fax: (510) 835-1417

Kevin I. Shenkman (SBN 223315) kshenkman@shenkmanhughes.com Mary R. Hughes (SBN 222662) mrhughes@shenkmanhughes.com Andrea A. Alarcon (SBN319536) aalarcon@shenkmanhughes.com SHENKMAN & HUGHES 28905 Wright Road Malibu, CA 90265 Tel: (310) 457-0970

Robert Rubin (SBN 85084) robertrubinsf@gmail.com LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN 237 Princeton Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941-4133 Tel: (415) 298-4857

Milton C. Grimes (SBN 59437) LAW OFFICES OF MILTON C. GRIMES 3774 West 54th Street Los Angeles, California 90043 Tel: (323) 295-3023 R. Rex Parris (SBN 96567) Ellery S. Gordon (SBN 316655) PARRIS LAW FIRM 43364 10th Street West Lancaster, California 93534 Tel: (661) 949-2595

Attorneys for Petitioners

As Defendant City of Santa Monica concedes, this Court's rules do not provide for the submission of reply briefs in support of motions. On the contrary, Rule 8.54 contemplates that an opposition is the last paper filed concerning a motion and so a decision may be rendered any time after an opposition is filed or the time for opposing the motion has elapsed. (Cal. R. Ct. 8.54(a) and (b)(1).) Nor did this Court request a reply from Defendant regarding its motion for judicial notice. Nonetheless, Defendant seeks to file a lengthy reply in support of its flawed motion for judicial notice.

With nothing in this Court's rules to support the filing of its reply,

Defendant turns to a "practice guide" that indicates that reply briefs may be

permitted if there is "good cause." But Defendant then fails to point to any
good cause for allowing it to file a reply. Rather, Defendant argues only
that good cause exists because Plaintiffs made several arguments in their
opposition to which Defendant would like to respond. That cannot

constitute "good cause," because that would permit every moving party to
file a reply in support of every disputed motion, rendering any "good

cause" requirement meaningless. There simply is no good cause here to
allow Defendant to file its reply.

Its proposed reply further demonstrates the lack of good cause. The proposed reply does little to actually respond to Petitioners' opposition, and certainly does not establish the "exceptional circumstances" that could justify this Court considering post-judgment events. (*In re Zeth S.* (2003)

31 Cal.4th 396, 405-406; see also *Cal. Sch. Bds. Ass'n v. State of Cal.*(2011) 192 Cal.App.4th 770, 803.) Rather, the proposed reply mischaracterizes Petitioners' positions in this case more generally, argues the merits of the appeal, and disputes the factual findings of the trial court. That is hardly an appropriate purpose for any moving papers, let alone a

For all the reasons above, Defendant's application for leave to file a reply in support of its motion should be denied.

reply brief that is not authorized or contemplated by this Court's rules.

Dated: April 14, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

SHENKMAN & HUGHES

/s/Kevin Shenkman

Kevin Shenkman Attorneys for Petitioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: PICO NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA

Case Number: **S263972**Lower Court Case Number: **B295935**

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: Kishenkman@shenkmanhughes.com
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
OPPOSITION	Petitioners' Opposition to Respondent City of Santa Monica's Application for Leave to File Reply in Support of Its Motion for Judicial Notice

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Kevin Shenkman Shenkman & Hughes	kshenkman@shenkmanhughes.com		4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Theodore Boutrous Gibson Dunn & Crutcher 132099	50 0 mm 0 m2 @B10 2 011 mm 111 10 0 111	Serve	4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Dale Galipo Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo 144074			4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Derek Cole Cole & Huber LLP 204250			4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Steve Reyes California Secretary of State 212849		l .	4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Ellery Gordon Parris Law Firm 316655	[Self-on Opening of Self-on one	Serve	4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Scott Grimes Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho			4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
Scott Rafferty Law Offices of Scott Rafferty 224389			4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM
R. Parris R. Rex Parris Law Firm		l .	4/14/2021 1:39:01 PM

Anne Bellows	abellows@gbdhlegal.com	e- 4/14/2021
Goldstein Borgen Dardarian & Ho		Serve 1:39:01
293722		PM
Todd Bonder	tbonder@rmslaw.com	e- 4/14/2021
Rosenfeld, Meyer & Sussman LLP 116482		Serve 1:39:01 PM
File Clerk	efile@gbdhlegal.com	e- 4/14/2021
Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Robert Rubin	robertrubinsf@gmail.com	e- 4/14/2021
Law Offices of Robert Rubin 085084		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Julia Marks	juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org	e- 4/14/2021
Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus 300544		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Helen Dilg	lane.dilg@smgov.net	e- 4/14/2021
Office of the City Attorney		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Laura Ho	lho@gbdhlegal.com	e- 4/14/2021
Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho 173179		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Dan Stormer	dstormer@hadsellstormer.com	e- 4/14/2021
Hadsell Stormer Renick & Dai LLP 101967		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Ira Feinberg	ira.feinberg@hoganlovells.com	e- 4/14/2021
Hogan Lovells US LLP 64066		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Elisa DellaPIana	edellapiana@lccrsf.org	e- 4/14/2021
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the SF Bay Area 226462		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Morris Baller	mballer@gbdhlegal.com	e- 4/14/2021
Goldstein, Borgen, Demchak & Ho 48928		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Stuart Kirkpatrick	skirkpatrick@gbdhlegal.com	e- 4/14/2021
Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Milton Grimes	miltgrim@aol.com	e- 4/14/2021
Law Offices of Milton C. Grimes 59437		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Belinda Helzer	bescobosahelzer@gmail.com	e- 4/14/2021
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund		Serve 1:39:01
214178		PM
Attorney Attorney General - Los Angeles Office	dana.ali@doj.ca.gov	e- 4/14/2021
Court Added		Serve 1:39:01
247037		PM
Christian Contreras	christian@carrazcolawapc.com	e- 4/14/2021
Guizar, Henderson & Carrazco, LLP 330269		Serve 1:39:01 PM
Joanna Ghosh	joanna@lfjpc.com	e- 4/14/2021
Lawyers for Justice		Serve 1:39:01
272479		PM

Daniel Adler Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 306924	dadler@gibsondunn.com	e- 4/14/2021 Serve 1:39:01 PM						
This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.								
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.								
4/14/2021								
Date								
/s/Stuart Kirkpatrick								
Signature								
Shenkman, Kevin (223315)								
Last Name, First Name (PNum)								

Shenkman & Hughes

Law Firm