S259522

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

RAUL BERROTERAN II,

Petitioner,

v.

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY,

Respondent.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

Real Party in Interest.

AFTER A DECISION BY THE COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE CASE No. B296639

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE EXHIBITS 1 – 6

VOLUME 14 OF 14, PAGES 3322-3537 OF 3537

[FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S OPENING BRIEF ON THE MERITS

HORVITZ & LEVY LLP

*FREDERIC D. COHEN (BAR No. 56755) LISA PERROCHET (BAR No. 132858) 3601 WEST OLIVE AVENUE, 8TH FLOOR BURBANK, CALIFORNIA 91505-4681 (818) 995-0800 • FAX: (844) 497-6592 fcohen@horvitzlevy.com lperrochet@horvitzlevy.com

SANDERS ROBERTS LLP

JUSTIN H. SANDERS (BAR No. 211488) DARTH K. VAUGHN (BAR No. 253276) SABRINA C. NARAIN (BAR No. 299471) 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 3050 Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 426-5000 • FAX: (213) 234-4581 jsanders@sandersroberts.com dvaughn@sandersroberts.com snarain@sandersroberts.com

ATTORNEYS FOR REAL PARTY IN INTEREST FORD MOTOR COMPANY

No. 110

Introduced by Senator Cobey (Coauthor: Assemblyman Song)

January 14, 1965

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

An act to establish an Evidence Code, thereby consolidating and revising the law relating to evidence; amending various sections of the Business and Professions Code, Civil Code, Code of Civil Procedure, Corporations Code, Government Code, Health and Safety Code, Labor Code, Penal Code, and Public Utilities Code to make them consistent therewith; adding Sections 164.5, 3544, 3545, 3546, 3547, and 3548 to the Civil Code; adding Sections 631.7 and 1908.5 to the Code of Civil Procedure; and repealing legislation inconsistent therewith.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Evidence Code is enacted, to read:

EVIDENCE CODE

DIVISION 1. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS AND CONSTRUCTION

1. This code shall be known as the Evidence Code.

2. The rule of the common law, that statutes in derogation thereof are to be strictly construed, has no application to this code. This code establishes the law of this state respecting the subject to which it relates, and its provisions are to be liberally construed with a view to effecting its objects and promoting justice.

3. If any provision or clause of this code or application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the code which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this code

20 are declared to be severable.

2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

 $\frac{19}{20}$

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37 38

39

 $\frac{40}{41}$

42

 $\frac{43}{44}$

45

46

47 48

> 49 50

51

- 4. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these preliminary provisions and rules of construction shall govern the construction of this code.
- 5. Division, chapter, article, and section headings do not in any manner affect the scope, meaning, or intent of the provisions of this code.
 - 6. Whenever any reference is made to any portion of this code or of any other statute, such reference shall apply to all amendments and additions heretofore or hereafter made.

7. Unless otherwise expressly stated:

(a) "Division" means a division of this code.

- (b) "Chapter" means a chapter of the division in which that term occurs.
- (c) "Article" means an article of the chapter in which that term occurs.

(d) "Section" means a section of this code.

- 17 (e) "Subdivision" means a subdivision of the section in which that term occurs.
 - (f) "Paragraph" means a paragraph of the subdivision in which that term occurs.
- 21 8. The present tense includes the past and future tenses; 22 and the future, the present.

9. The masculine gender includes the feminine and neuter.

10. The singular number includes the plural; and the plural, the singular.

11. "Shall" is mandatory and "may" is permissive.

12. (a) This code shall become operative on January 1, 1967, and shall govern proceedings in actions brought on or after that date and, except as provided in subdivision (b), further proceedings in actions pending on that date.

(b) Subject to subdivision (c), a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, shall not be governed by this code. For the

purpose of this subdivision:

- (1) A trial is commenced when the first witness is sworn or the first exhibit is admitted into evidence and is terminated when the issue upon which such evidence is received is submitted to the trier of fact. A new trial, or a separate trial of a different issue, commenced on or after January 1, 1967, shall be governed by this code.
- (2) If an appeal is taken from a ruling made at a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, the appellate court shall apply the law applicable at the time of the commencement of the trial.
- (c) The provisions of Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) relating to privileges shall govern any claim of privilege made after December 31, 1966.

DIVISION 2. WORDS AND PHRASES DEFINED

100. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these definitions govern the construction of this code.

"Action" includes a civil action and a criminal action. 110. "Burden of producing evidence" means the obligation of a party to introduce evidence sufficient to avoid a ruling against him on the issue.

"Burden of proof" means the obligation of a party to meet the requirement of a rule of law that he establish by evidence a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court. The burden of proof may require a party to raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the **12** . evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Except as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof

15 requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

16 120. "Civil action" includes all actions and proceedings 17 other than a criminal action.

"Civil action" includes civil proceedings.

125. 19 "Conduct" includes all active and passive behavior, 20 both verbal and nonverbal.

"Criminal action" includes criminal proceedings.

"Declarant" is a person who makes a statement.

"Evidence" means testimony, writings, material ob-23 24 jects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered 25 to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

"The hearing" means the hearing at which a question under this code arises, and not some earlier or later hearing.

"Hearsay evidence" is defined in Section 1200.

"Law" includes constitutional, statutory, and de-29 160. 30 cisional law.

"Oath" includes affirmation or declaration under pen-165. alty of perjury.

170. "Perceive" means to acquire knowledge through one's senses.

"Person" includes a natural person, firm, association, 35 175. organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public 36 **37**

"Personal property" includes money, goods, chattels, 180.

things in action, and evidences of debt. 39 40

"Property" includes both real and personal property.

"Proof" is the establishment by evidence of a requi-41 site degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier 42 43 of fact or the court.

"Public employee" means an officer, agent, or em-

ployee of a public entity. 45

"Public entity" includes a nation, state, county, city 46 and county, city, district, public authority, public agency, or 47 any other political subdivision or public corporation, whether 48 49 foreign or domestic.

"Real property" includes lands, tenements, and her-

editaments. 51

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

18

21

22

26

27

28

31

32

33

34

38

44

50

 $\frac{42}{43}$

 210. "Relevant evidence" means evidence, including evidence relevant to the credibility of a witness or hearsay declarant, having any tendency in reason to prove or disprove any disputed fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action.

220. "State" means the State of California, unless applied to the different parts of the United States. In the latter case, it includes any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States.

225. "Statement" means (a) a oral or written verbal expression or (b) nonverbal conduct of a person intended by him as a substitute for a oral or written verbal expression.

230. "Statute" includes a treaty and a constitutional pro-

14 vision.

235. "Trier of fact" includes (a) the jury and (b) the court when the court is trying an issue of fact other than one relating to the admissibility of evidence.

240. (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b),

"unavailable as a witness" means that the declarant is:

(1) Exempted or precluded on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the matter to which his statement is relevant:

(2) Disqualified from testifying to the matter;

(3) Dead or unable to attend or to testify at the hearing because of then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity:

(4) Absent from the hearing and the court is unable to

compel his attendance by its process; or

(5) Absent from the hearing and the proponent of his statement has exercised reasonable diligence but has been unable

to procure his attendance by the court's process.

(b) A declarant is not unavailable as a witness if the exemption, preclusion, disqualification, death, inability, or absence of the declarant was brought about by the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of his statement for the purpose of preventing the declarant from attending or testifying.

245. "Verbal" includes both oral and written words.

250. "Writing" means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof.

DIVISION 3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER 1. APPLICABILITY OF CODE

300. Except as otherwise provided by statute, this code applies in every action before the Supreme Court or a district court of appeal, superior court, municipal court, or justice court, including proceedings in such actions conducted by a referee, court commissioner, or similar officer, but does not apply in grand jury proceedings.

5

6

7

8 9

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

24

 $\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 26 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ 28 \end{array}$

29

32

33

34

35 36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50 51

CHAPTER 2. PROVINCE OF COURT AND JURY

310. (a) All questions of law (including but not limited to questions concerning the construction of statutes and other writings, the admissibility of evidence, and other rules of evidence) are to be decided by the court. Determination of issues of fact preliminary to the admission of evidence are to be decided by the court as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 400) of Chapter 4.

311: (a) Determination of the law of a public entity is a

11 question

(b) Determination of the law of a foreign nation or a public entity in a foreign nation is a question of law to be determined in the manner provided in Division 4 (commencing with Section 450).

(b)

311. If the law of a foreign nation or a state other than this state, or a public entity in a foreign nation or a state other than this state, is applicable and the court is unable to determine it such law cannot be determined, the court may, as the ends of justice require, either:

 $\frac{(1)}{(1)}$

(a) Apply the law of this state if the court can do so consistently with the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of this state; or

 $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$

(b) Dismiss the action without prejudice or, in the case of a reviewing court, remand the case to the trial court with directions to dismiss the action without prejudice.

312. Except as otherwise provided by law, where the trial is

30 312. 31 by jury:

(a) All questions of fact are to be decided by the jury.

(b) Subject to the control of the court, the jury is to determine the effect and value of the evidence addressed to it, including the credibility of witnesses and hearsay declarants.

CHAPTER 3. ORDER OF PROOF

320. Except as otherwise provided by law, the court in its discretion shall regulate the order of proof.

CHAPTER 4. ADMITTING AND EXCLUDING EVIDENCE

Article 1. General Provisions

- 350. No evidence is admissible except relevant evidence.
- 351. Except as otherwise provided by statute, all relevant evidence is admissible.
- 352. The court in its discretion may exclude evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will (a) necessitate undue consumption of

 $\frac{1}{2}$

5

 $\frac{31}{32}$

time or (b) create substantial danger of undue prejudice, of confusing the issues, or of misleading the jury.

353. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason of the erroneous admission of evidence unless:

- (a) There appears of record an objection to or a motion to exclude or to strike the evidence that was timely made and so stated as to make clear the specific ground of the objection or motion; and
- (b) The court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the admitted evidence should have been excluded on the ground stated and that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice.
- 354. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason of the erroneous exclusion of evidence unless the court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice and it appears of record that:
- (a) The substance, purpose, and relevance of the excluded evidence was made known to the court by the questions asked, an offer of proof, or by any other means;

(b) The rulings of the court made compliance with subdi-

24 vision (a) futile; or 25 (c) The evidence

(c) The evidence was sought by questions asked during cross-examination.

355. When evidence is admissible as to one party or for one purpose and is inadmissible as to another party or for another purpose, the court upon request shall restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.

356. Where part of an act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence by one party, the whole on the same subject may be inquired into by an adverse party; when a letter is read, the answer may be given; and when a detached act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence, any other act, declaration, conversation, or writing which is necessary to make it understood may also be given in evidence.

Article 2. Preliminary Determinations on Admissibility of Evidence

400. As used in this article, "preliminary fact" means a fact upon the existence or nonexistence of which depends the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence. The phrase "the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence" includes the qualification or disqualification of a person to be a witness and the existence or nonexistence of a privilege.

401. As used in this article, "proffered evidence" means evidence, the admissibility or inadmissibility of which is dependent upon the existence or nonexistence of a preliminary

51 fact.

 $\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

 $\frac{30}{31}$

44)

- 402. (a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, its existence or nonexistence shall be determined as provided in this article.
- (b) The court may hear and determine the question of the admissibility of evidence out of the presence or hearing of the jury; but in a criminal action, the court shall hear and determine the question of the admissibility of a confession or admission of the defendant out of the presence and hearing of the jury.

(c) A ruling on the admissibility of evidence implies whatever finding of fact is prerequisite thereto; a separate or formal finding is unnecessary unless required by statute.

403. (a) The proponent of the proffered evidence has the burden of producing evidence as to the existence of the preliminary fact, and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless the court finds that there is evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the existence of the preliminary fact, when:

(1) The relevance of the proffered evidence depends on the existence of the preliminary fact;

(2) The preliminary fact is the personal knowledge of a witness concerning the subject matter of his testimony;

(3) The preliminary fact is the authenticity of a writing; or

(4) The proffered evidence is of a statement or other conduct of a particular person and the preliminary fact is whether that person made the statement or so conducted himself.

(b) Subject to Section 702, the court may admit conditionally the proffered evidence under this section, subject to evidence of the preliminary fact being supplied later in the course of the trial.

(c) If the court admits the proffered evidence under this section, the court:

(1) May, and on request shall, instruct the jury to determine whether the preliminary fact exists and to disregard the proffered evidence unless the jury finds that the preliminary fact does exist.

(2) Shall instruct the jury to disregard the proffered evidence if the court subsequently determines that a jury could not reasonably find that the preliminary fact exists.

404. Whenever the proffered evidence is claimed to be privileged under Section 940, the person claiming the privilege has the burden of showing that the proffered evidence might tend to incriminate him; and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless it clearly appears to the court that the proffered evidence cannot possibly have a tendency to incriminate the person claiming the privilege.

405. With respect to preliminary fact determinations not governed by Section 403 or 404:

(a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, the court shall indicate which party has the burden of producing evidence and the burden of proof on the issue as implied by the rule of law under which the question arises. The court shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the prelimi-

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

41.

nary fact and shall admit or exclude the proffered evidence as required by the rule of law under which the question arises.

(b) If a preliminary fact is also a fact in issue in the action:

- (1) The jury shall not be informed of the court's determination as to the existence or nonexistence of the preliminary fact.
- (2) If the proffered evidence is admitted, the jury shall not be instructed to disregard the evidence if its determination of the fact differs from the court's determination of the preliminary fact.
- 406. This article does not limit the right of a party to introduce before the trier of fact evidence relevant to weight or credibility.

CHAPTER 5. WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE GENERALLY

- 410. As used in this chapter, "direct evidence" means evidence that directly proves a fact, without an inference or presumption, and which in itself, if true, conclusively establishes that fact.
- 411. Except where additional evidence is required by statute, the direct evidence of one witness who is entitled to full credit is sufficient for proof of any fact.
- 412. If weaker and less satisfactory evidence is offered when it was within the power of the party to produce stronger and more satisfactory evidence, the evidence offered should be viewed with distrust.
- 413. In determining what inferences to draw from the evidence or facts in the case against a party, the trier of fact may consider, among other things, the party's failure to explain or to deny by his testimony such evidence or facts in the case against him, or his wilful suppression of evidence relating thereto, if such be the case.

DIVISION 4. JUDICIAL NOTICE

- 450. Judicial notice may not be taken of any matter unless authorized or required by law.
 - 451. Judicial notice shall be taken of:
- (a) The decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law of this state and of the United States and the provisions of any charter described in Section 7½ or 8 of Article XI of the California Constitution.
- (b) Any matter made a subject of judicial notice by Section 11383, 11384, or 18576 of the Government Code or by Section 307 of Title 44 of the United States Code.
- (c) Rules of professional conduct for members of the bar adopted pursuant to Section 6076 of the Business and Professions Code and rules of practice and procedure for the courts of this state adopted by the Judicial Council.
- (d) Rules of pleading, practice, and procedure prescribed by the United States Supreme Court, such as the Rules of the United States Supreme Court, the Federal Rules of Civil Pro-

cedure, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Admiralty Rules, the Rules of the Court of Claims, the Rules of the Customs Court, and the General Orders and Forms in Bankruptcy.

(e) The true signification of all English words and phrases

and of all legal expressions.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

(f) Facts and propositions of generalized knowledge that are so universally known that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.

Judicial notice may be taken of the following matters to the extent that they are not embraced within Section 451:

- (a) Resolutions The decisional, constitutional, and statutory law of any state of the United States and the resolutions and private acts of the Congress of the United States and of the Legislature of this state and the decisional, constitutional, and 16 statutory law of any other state. this state.
 - (b) Regulations and legislative enactments issued by or under the authority of the United States or any public entity in the United States.
 - (c) Official acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state of the United States.
 - (d) Records of (1) any court of this state or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United
 - (e) Rules of court of (1) any court of this State or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States.
 - (f) The law of foreign nations and public entities in foreign nations.
 - (g) Specific facts Facts and propositions that are of such common knowledge within the territorial jurisdiction of the court that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.
 - (h) Specific facts Facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy.

453. Judicial notice shall be taken The trial court shall take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452 if a party

requests it and: 40

(a) Gives each adverse party sufficient notice of the request, through the pleadings or otherwise, to enable such adverse party to prepare to meet the request; and

(b) Furnishes the court with sufficient information to en-

able it to take judicial notice of the matter.

- 454. In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof:
- (a) Any source of pertinent information, including the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, may be consulted or used, whether or not furnished by a party.
- (b) Exclusionary rules of evidence do not apply except for Section 352 and the rules of privilege.

 $\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

455. With respect to any matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action:

(a) If the *trial* court has been requested to take or has taken or proposes to take judicial notice of such matter, the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity, before the jury is instructed or before the cause is submitted for decision by the court, to present to the court information relevant to (1) the propriety of taking judicial notice of the matter and (2) the tenor of the matter to be noticed.

(b) If the trial court resorts to any source of information not received in open court, including the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, such information and its source shall be made a part of the record in the action and the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

456. If the *trial* court denies a request to take judicial notice of any matter, the court shall at the earliest practicable time so advise the parties and indicate for the record that it

has denied the request.

457. If a matter judicially noticed is a matter which would otherwise have been for determination by the jury, the *trial* court may, and upon request shall, instruct the jury to accept as a fact the matter so noticed.

458. The failure or refusal of the trial court to take judicial notice of a matter, or to instruct the jury with respect to the matter, does not preclude the trial court in subsequent proceedings in the action from taking judicial notice of the matter in accordance with the procedure specified in this division.

459. (a) The reviewing court shall take judicial notice of (1) each matter properly noticed by the trial court and (2) each matter that the trial court was required to notice under Section 451 or 453. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of a matter in a tenor different from that noticed by the trial court.

(b) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof, the reviewing court has the

same power as the trial court under Section 454.

(c) When taking judicial notice under this section of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, the reviewing court shall comply with the provisions of subdivision (a) of Section 455 if the matter was not theretofore judicially noticed in the action.

(d) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, or the tenor thereof, if the reviewing court resorts to any source of information not received in open court or not included in the record of the action, including the

advice of persons learned in the subject matter, the reviewing court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

1

DIVISION 5. BURDEN OF PROOF; BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE; PRESUMPTIONS AND INFERENCES

8 9 10

CHAPTER 1. BURDEN OF PROOF

11 12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Article 1. General

13 14

Except as otherwise provided by law, a party has the burden of proof as to each fact the existence or nonexistence of which is essential to the claim for relief or defense that he is asserting.

Insofar as any statute, except Section 522, assigns the burden of proof in a criminal action, such statute is subject

to Penal Code Section 1096.

The court on all proper occasions shall instruct the jury as to which party bears the burden of proof on each issue and as to whether that burden requires that a party raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

28 29 30

Article 2. Burden of Proof on Specific Issues

31 32

The party claiming that a person is guilty of crime or wrongdoing has the burden of proof on that issue.

33 34 35

The party claiming that a person did not exercise a requisite degree of care has the burden of proof on that issue.

37

The party claiming that any person, including him-36 self, is or was insane has the burden of proof on that issue.

38 39

BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE CHAPTER 2.

550. The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is initially on the party with the burden of proof. Thereafter, the burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is on the party who would suffer a finding against him on that fact in the absence of further evidence.

45 46 47

550. (a) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is on the party against whom a finding on that fact would be required in the absence of further evidence.

48 49

(b) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is initially on the party with the burden of proof.

CHAPTER 3. PRESUMPTIONS AND INFERENCES

1 2 3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

40 741

42

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

Article 1. General 4

(a) Subject to Section 607, a presumption is an assumption of fact that the law requires to be made from another fact or group of facts found or otherwise established in the action. A presumption is not evidence.

(b) An inference is a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from another fact or group of facts

found or otherwise established in the action.

601. A presumption is either conclusive or rebuttable. Every rebuttable presumption is either (a) a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence or (b) a presumption affecting the burden of proof.

602. A statute providing that a fact or group of facts is prima facie evidence of another fact establishes a rebuttable

18 presumption. 19

A presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is a presumption established to implement no public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the par-

22 ticular action in which the presumption is applied. 23

Subject to Section 607, the effect of a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is to require the trier of fact to assume the existence of the presumed fact unless and until evidence is introduced which would support a finding of its nonexistence, in which case the trier of fact shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the presumed fact from the evidence and without regard to the presumption. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the drawing of any inference that may be appropriate.

A presumption affecting the burden of proof is a presumption established to implement some public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the particular action in which the presumption is applied, such as the policy in favor of the legitimacy of children, the validity of marriage, the stability of titles to property, or the security of those who entrust themselves or their property to the administration of others.

39

Subject to Section 607, the effect of a presumption affecting the burden of proof is to impose upon the party against whom it operates the burden of proof as to the non-

43 existence of the presumed fact. 44

When a rebuttable presumption operates in a criminal action to establish an element of the crime with which the defendant is charged, neither the burden of producing evidence nor the burden of proof is imposed upon the defendant; but, if the trier of fact finds that the facts that give rise to the presumption have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, the trier of fact may but is not required to find that the presumed fact has also been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

Article 2. Conclusive Presumptions

620. The presumptions established by this article, and all other presumptions declared by law to be conclusive, are conclusive presumptions.

621. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the issue of a wife cohabiting with her husband, who is not impotent,

is conclusively presumed to be legitimate.

622. The facts recited in a written instrument are conclusively presumed to be true as between the parties thereto, or their successors in interest; but this rule does not apply to the recital of a consideration.

623. Whenever a party has, by his own statement or conduct, intentionally and deliberately led another to believe a particular thing true and to act upon such belief, he is not, in any litigation arising out of such statement or conduct, permitted to contradict it.

624. A tenant is not permitted to deny the title of his landlord at the time of the commencement of the relation.

Article 3. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Producing Evidence

630. The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 603, are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

631. Money delivered by one to another is presumed to

have been due to the latter.

632. A thing delivered by one to another is presumed to have belonged to the latter.

633. An obligation delivered up to the debtor is presumed

to have been paid.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

634. A person in possession of an order on himself for the payment of money, or delivery of a thing, is presumed to have paid the money or delivered the thing accordingly.

635. An obligation possessed by the creditor is presumed

not to have been paid.
39 636. The payment.

- 636. The payment of earlier rent or installments is presumed from a receipt for later rent or installments.
- 637. The things which a person possesses are presumed to be owned by him.
 - 638. A person who exercises acts of ownership over property is presumed to be the owner of it.
- 639. A judgment, when not conclusive, is presumed to correctly determine or set forth the rights of the parties, but there is no presumption that the facts essential to the judgment have been correctly determined.

640. A writing is presumed to have been truly dated.

641. A letter correctly addressed and properly mailed is presumed to have been received in the ordinary course of mail.

 $\frac{2}{3}$

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

 $\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 13 \end{array}$

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

 $\frac{42}{43}$

 $\frac{44}{45}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

- 642. A trustee or other person, whose duty it was to convey real property to a particular person, is presumed to have actually conveyed to him when such presumption is necessary to perfect title of such person or his successor in interest.
- 643. A deed or will or other writing purporting to create, terminate, or affect an interest in real or personal property is presumed to be authentic if it:
 - (a) Is at least 30 years old;
- (b) Is in such condition as to create no suspicion concerning its authenticity:
- (c) Was kept, or if found was found, in a place where such writing, if authentic, would be likely to be kept or found; and
- 14 (d) Has been generally acted upon as authentic by persons 15 having an interest in the matter.
 - 644. A book, purporting to be printed or published by public authority, is presumed to have been so printed or published.
 - 645. A book, purporting to contain reports of cases adjudged in the tribunals of the state or nation where the book is published, is presumed to contain correct reports of such cases.

Article 4. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Proof

- 660. The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 605, are presumptions affecting the burden of proof.
- 661. A child of a woman who is or has been married, born during the marriage or within 300 days after the dissolution thereof, is presumed to be a legitimate child of that marriage. This presumption may be disputed only by the people of the State of California in a criminal action brought under Section 270 of the Penal Code or by the husband or wife, or the descendant of one or both of them. In a civil action, this presumption may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.
- 662. The owner of the legal title to property is presumed to be the owner of the full beneficial title. This presumption may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.
 - 663. A ceremonial marriage is presumed to be valid.
- 664. It is presumed that official duty has been regularly performed. This presumption does not apply on an issue as to the lawfulness of an arrest if it is established that the arrest was made without a warrant.
- 665. An arrest without a warrant is presumed to be unlawful.
- 666. Any court of this State or the United States, or any court of general jurisdiction in any other state or nation, or any judge of such a court, acting as such, is presumed to have

acted in the lawful exercise of its jurisdiction. This presumption applies only when the act of the court or judge is under collateral attack.

667. A person not heard from in seven years is presumed to be dead.

DIVISION 6. WITNESSES

CHAPTER 1. COMPETENCY

9
10 700. Except as otherwise provided by statute, every person
11 is qualified to be a witness and no person is disqualified to

is qualified to be a witness and no person is disqualified to testify to any matter.

701. A person is disqualified to be a witness if he is:

(a) Incapable of expressing himself concerning the matter so as to be understood, either directly or through interpretation by one who can understand him; or

(b) Incapable of understanding the duty of a witness to tell

the truth.

1

3 4

5

6 7 8

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

22

23

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28

29

30

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33

34

 $\frac{35}{36}$

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

702. (a) Subject to Section 801, the testimony of a witness concerning a particular matter is inadmissible unless he has personal knowledge of the matter. Against the objection of a party, such personal knowledge must be shown before the witness may testify concerning the matter.

(b) A witness' personal knowledge of a matter may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his

own testimony.

- 703. (a) Before the judge presiding at the trial of an action may be called to testify in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings held out of the presence and hearing of the jury, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.
- (b) Against the objection of a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may not testify in that trial as a witness. Upon such objection, the judge shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial before another judge.
- (c) The calling of the judge presiding at a trial to testify in that trial as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a judge shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.
- (d) In the absence of objection by a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may testify in that trial as a witness.
- 704. (a) Before a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be called to testify before the jury in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings conducted by the court out of the presence and hearing of the remaining jurors, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.
- (b) Against the objection of a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may not testify before the

 $\frac{2}{3}$

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

38

39

40

41

42

43

44.

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49 50

51

jury in that trial as a witness. Upon such objection, the court shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial before another jury.

(c) The calling of a juror to testify before the jury as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a juror shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.

(d) In the absence of objection by a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be compelled to testify in that trial as a witness.

CHAPTER 2. OATH AND CONFRONTATION

710. Every witness before testifying shall take an oath or make an affirmation or declaration in the form provided by Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 2093) of Title 6 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure. by law.

711. At the trial of an action, a witness can be heard only in the presence and subject to the examination of all the parties to the action, if they choose to attend and examine.

Chapter 3. Expert Witnesses

Article 1. Expert Witnesses Generally

720. (a) A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert.

(b) A witness' special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his own testimony.

721. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), a witness testifying as an expert may be cross-examined to the same extent as any other witness and, in addition, may be fully cross-examined as to (1) his qualifications, (2) the subject to which his expert testimony relates, and (3) the matter upon which his opinion is based and the reasons for his opinion.

(b) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies in the form of an opinion, he may not be cross-examined in regard to the content or tenor of any scientific, technical, or professional text, treatise, journal, or similar publication unless:

(1) The witness referred to, considered, or relied upon such publication in arriving at or forming his opinion; or

(2) Such publication has been admitted in evidence.

722. (a) The fact of the appointment of an expert witness by the court may be revealed to the trier of fact.

(b) The compensation and expenses paid or to be paid to an expert witness by the party calling him is a proper subject of inquiry by any adverse party as relevant to the credibility of the witness and the weight of his testimony.

723. The court may, at any time before or during the trial of an action, limit the number of expert witnesses to be called by any party.

Article 2. Appointment of Expert Witness by Court

730. When it appears to the court, at any time before or during the trial of an action, that expert evidence is or may be required by the court or by any party to the action, the court on its own motion or on motion of any party may appoint one or more experts to investigate, to render a report as may be ordered by the court, and to testify as an expert at the trial of the action relative to the fact or matter as to which such expert evidence is or may be required. The court may fix the compensation for such services, if any, rendered by any person appointed under this section, in addition to any service as a witness, at such amount as seems reasonable to the court.

731. (a) In all criminal actions and juvenile court proceedings, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall be a charge against the county in which such action or proceeding is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.

(b) In any county in which the precedure prescribed in this subdivision has been authorized by the board of supervisors,

- (b) In any county in which the board of supervisors so provides, the compensation fixed under Section 730 for medical experts in civil actions in such county shall be a charge against and paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.
- (c) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in all civil actions, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall, in the first instance, be apportioned and charged to the several parties in such proportion as the court may determine and may thereafter be taxed and allowed in like manner as other costs.
- 732. Any expert appointed by the court under Section 730 may be called and examined by the court or by any party to the action. When such witness is called and examined by the court, the parties have the same right as is expressed in Section 775 to cross-examine the witness and to object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced.
- 733. Nothing contained in this article shall be deemed or construed to prevent any party to any action from producing other expert evidence on the same fact or matter mentioned in Section 730; but, where other expert witnesses are called by a party to the action, their fees shall be paid by the party calling them and only ordinary witness fees shall be taxed as costs in the action.

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

 $\frac{30}{31}$

CHAPTER 4. INTERPRETERS AND TRANSLATORS

 $\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

750. A person who serves as an interpreter or translator in any action is subject to all the rules of law relating to witnesses.

751. (a) An interpreter shall take an oath that he will make a true interpretation to the witness in a language that the witness understands and that he will make a true interpretation of the witness' answers to questions to counsel, court, or jury, in the English language, with his best skill and judgment.

(b) A translator shall take an oath that he will make a true translation in the English language of any writing he

is to decipher or translate.

752. (a) When a witness is incapable of hearing or understanding the English language or is incapable of expressing himself in the English language so as to be understood directly by counsel, court, and jury, an interpreter whom he can understand and who can understand him shall be sworn to interpret for him.

(b) The interpreter may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of

Chapter 3.

753. (a) When the written characters in a writing offered in evidence are incapable of being deciphered or understood directly, a translator who can decipher the characters or understand the language shall be sworn to decipher or translate the writing.

(b) The translator may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of

Chapter 3.

754. (a) As used in this section, "deaf person" means a person with a hearing loss so great as to prevent his under-

standing language spoken in a normal tone.

(b) In any criminal action where the defendant is a deaf person, all of the proceedings of the trial shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.

- (c) In any action where the mental condition of a deaf person is being considered and where such person may be committed to a mental institution, all of the court proceedings pertaining to him shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.
- (d) Interpreters appointed under this section shall be paid for their services a reasonable sum to be determined by the court, which shall be a charge against the county in which such action is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.

CHAPTER 5. METHOD AND SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

 $\frac{10}{11}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

Article 1. Definitions

- 760. "Direct examination" is the first examination of a witness upon a matter that is not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.
- 761. "Cross-examination" is the examination of a witness by a party other than the direct examiner upon a matter that is within the scope of the direct examination of the witness.
- 762. "Redirect examination" is an examination of a witness by the direct examiner subsequent to the cross-examination of the witness.
- 763. "Re-cross-examination" is an examination of a witness by a cross-examiner subsequent to a redirect examination of the witness.
- 764. A "leading question" is a question that suggests to the witness the answer that the examining party desires.

Article 2. Examination of Witnesses

- 765. The court shall exercise reasonable control over the mode of interrogation of a witness so as (a) to make such interrogation as rapid, as distinct, and as effective for the ascertainment of the truth, as may be, and (b) to protect the witness from undue harassment or embarrassment.
- 766. A witness must give responsive answers to questions, and answers that are not responsive shall be stricken on motion of any party.
- 767. Except under special circumstances where the interests of justice otherwise require:
- (a) A leading question may not be asked of a witness on direct or redirect examination.
- (b) A leading question may be asked of a witness on cross-examination or re-cross-examination.
- 768. (a) In examining a witness concerning a writing, it is not necessary to show, read, or disclose to him any part of the writing.
- (b) If a writing is shown to a witness, all parties to the action must be given an opportunity to inspect it before any question concerning it may be asked of the witness.
- 769. In examining a witness concerning a statement or other conduct by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing, it is not necessary to disclose to him any information concerning the statement or other conduct.
- 770. Unless the interests of justice otherwise require, extrinsic evidence of a statement made by a witness that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing shall be excluded unless:
- 50 (a) The witness was so examined while testifying as to give 51 him an opportunity to explain or to deny the statement; or

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 27 \end{array}$

9

(b) The witness has not been excused from giving further

testimony in the action.

771. (a) Subject to subdivision (c), if a witness, either while testifying or prior thereto, uses a writing to refresh his memory with respect to any matter about which he testifies, such writing must be produced at the hearing at the request of an adverse party and, unless the writing is so produced, the testimony of the witness concerning such matter shall be stricken.

(b) If the writing is produced at the hearing, the adverse party may, if he chooses, inspect the writing, cross-examine the witness concerning it, and introduce it in evidence.

(c) Production of the writing is excused, and the testimony

of the witness shall not be stricken, if the writing:

(1) Is not in the possession or control of the witness or the party who produced his testimony concerning the matter; and

(2) Was not reasonably procurable by such party through the use of the court's process or other available means,

772. (a) The examination of a witness shall proceed in the following phases: direct examination, cross-examination, redirect examination, re-cross-examination, and continuing thereafter by redirect and re-cross-examination.

(b) Unless for good cause the court otherwise directs, each phase of the examination of a witness must be concluded be-

fore the succeeding phase begins.

(c) Subject to subdivision (d), a party may, in the discretion of the court, interrupt his cross-examination, redirect examination, or re-cross-examination of a witness, in order to examine the witness upon a matter not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.

(d) If the witness is the defendant in a criminal action, the witness may not, without his consent, be examined under

direct examination by another party.

773. (a) A witness examined by one party may be cross-examined upon any matter within the scope of the direct examination by each other party to the action in such order as the court directs.

(b) The cross-examination of a witness by any party whose interest is not adverse to the party calling him is subject to the same rules that are applicable to the direct examination.

- 774. A witness once examined cannot be reexamined as to the same matter without leave of the court, but he may be reexamined as to any new matter upon which he has been examined by another party to the action. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.
- 775. The court on its own motion may call witnesses and interrogate them the same as if they had been produced by a party to the action, and the parties may object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced the same as if such witnesses were called and examined by an adverse party. Such witnesses may be cross-examined by all parties to the action in such order as the court directs.

- 776. (a) A party to the record of any civil action, or a person identified with such a party, may be called and examined as if under cross-examination by any adverse party at any time during the presentation of evidence by the party calling the witness.
- (b) A witness examined by a party under this section may be cross-examined by all other parties to the action in such order as the court directs; but the witness may be examined only as if under redirect examination by:

(1) In the case of a witness who is a party, his own counsel

and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the witness.

(2) In the case of a witness who is not a party, counsel for the party with whom the witness is identified and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the party with whom the witness is identified.

(c) For the purpose of this section, parties represented by

the same counsel are deemed to be a single party.

(d) For the purpose of this section, a person is identified

18 (d) For the purpor 19 with a party if he is:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8.9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

20

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

 $\frac{27}{28}$

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$

46

47

 $\frac{48}{49}$

50 51

52

(1) A person for whose immediate benefit the action is prosecuted or defended by the party.

(2) A director, officer, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or managing agent of the party or of a person specified in paragraph (1), or any public employee of a public entity when such public entity is the party.

(3) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time of the act or omission giving rise

to the cause of action.

- (4) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time he obtained knowledge of the matter concerning which he is sought to be examined under this section.
- 777. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), the court may exclude from the courtroom any witness not at the time under examination so that such witness cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses.
- (b) A party to the action cannot be excluded under this section.
- (c) If a person other than a natural person is a party to the action, an officer or employee designated by its attorney is entitled to be present.
- 778. After a witness has been excused from giving further testimony in the action, he cannot be recalled without leave of the court. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.

CHAPTER 6. CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

Article 1. Credibility Generally

780. Except as otherwise provided by statute, the court or jury may consider in determining the credibility of a witness any matter that has any tendency in reason to prove or

 $\frac{2}{3}$

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

 $\frac{32}{33}$

34

35

36

37

38 39

 $\frac{40}{41}$

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49 50

51 52 disprove the truthfulness of his testimony at the hearing, including but not limited to any of the following:

(a) His demeanor while testifying and the manner in which

he testifies.

(b) The character of his testimony.

(c) The extent of his capacity to perceive, to recollect, or to communicate any matter about which he testifies.

- (d) The extent of his opportunity to perceive any matter about which he testifies.
 - (e) His character for honesty or veracity or their opposites.
- 11 (f) The existence or nonexistence of a bias, interest, or other 12 motive.
 - (g) A statement previously made by him that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing.
- 15 (h) A statement made by him that is inconsistent with any 16 part of his testimony at the hearing.
 - (i) The existence or nonexistence of any fact testified to by him.
 - (j) His attitude toward the action in which he testifies or toward the giving of testimony.
 - (k) His admission of untruthfulness.

Article 2. Attacking or Supporting Credibility

785. The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by any party, including the party calling him.

786. Evidence of traits of his character other than honesty or veracity, or their opposites, is inadmissible to attack or support the gradibility of a witness

support the credibility of a witness.

787. Subject to Section 788, evidence of specific instances of his conduct relevant only as tending to prove a trait of his character is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.

788. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a witness' conviction of a felony is admissible for the purpose of attacking his credibility if the court, in proceedings held out of the presence and hearing of the jury, finds that:

(1) An essential element of the crime is dishonesty or false statement; and

(2) The witness has admitted his conviction of the crime or the party attacking the credibility of the witness has produced competent evidence of the conviction.

(b) Evidence of a witness' conviction of a felony is inadmissible for the purpose of attacking his credibility if:

788. For the purpose of attacking the credibility of a witness, it may be shown by the examination of the witness or by the record of the judgment that he has been convicted of a felony unless:

 $\frac{(1)}{(1)}$

(a) A pardon based on his innocence has been granted to the witness by the jurisdiction in which he was convicted.

(b) A certificate of rehabilitation and pardon has been granted to the witness under the provisions of Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code.

(3)

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

(c) The accusatory pleading against the witness has been dismissed under the provisions of Penal Code Section 1203.4.

(4)

- (d) The conviction was under the laws of another jurisdiction and the witness has been relieved of the penalties and disabilities arising from the conviction pursuant to a procedure substantially equivalent to that referred to in paragraph (2) or (3) subdivision (b) or (c).
- (5) A period of more than 10 years has elapsed since the date of his release from confinement, or the expiration of the period of his parole, probation, or sentence, whichever is the later date.
- 789. Evidence of his religious belief or lack thereof is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.
- 790. Evidence of the good character of a witness is inadmissible to support his credibility unless evidence of his bad character has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility.
- 791. Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing is inadmissible to support his credibility unless it is offered after:
- (a) Evidence of a statement made by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility, and the statement was made before the alleged inconsistent statement; or
- (b) An express or implied charge has been made that his testimony at the hearing is recently fabricated or is influenced by bias or other improper motive, and the statement was made before the bias, motive for fabrication, or other improper motive is alleged to have arisen.

DIVISION 7. OPINION TESTIMONY AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 1. EXPERT AND OTHER OPINION TESTIMONY

Article 1. Expert and Other Opinion Testimony Generally

- 800. If a witness is not testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is permitted by law, including but not limited to an opinion that is:
 - (a) Rationally based on the perception of the witness; and
 - (b) Helpful to a clear understanding of his testimony.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

3233

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

- If a witness is testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is:
- (a) Related to a subject that is sufficiently beyond common experience that the opinion of an expert would assist the trier of fact; and
- (b) Based on matter (including his special knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) perceived by or personally known to the witness or made known to him at or before the hearing, whether or not admissible, that is of a type that reasonably may be relied upon by an expert in forming an opinion upon the subject to which his testimony relates, unless an expert is precluded by law from using such matter as a basis for his opinion.

802. A witness testifying in the form of an opinion may state on direct examination the reasons for his opinion and the matter (including, in the case of an expert, his special knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) upon which it is based, unless he is precluded by law from using such reasons or matter as a basis for his opinion. The court in its discretion may require that a witness before testifying in the form of an opinion be first examined concerning the matter upon which his opinion is based.

The court may, and upon objection shall, exclude testimony in the form of an opinion that is based in whole or in significant part on matter that is not a proper basis for such an opinion. In such case, the witness may, if there remains a proper basis for his opinion, then state his opinion after excluding from consideration the matter determined to be improper.

804. (a) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies that his opinion is based in whole or in part upon the opinion or statement of another person, such other person may be called and examined by any adverse party as if under cross-exam-

ination concerning the opinion or statement.

(b) This section is not applicable if the person upon whose opinion or statement the expert witness has relied is (1) a party, (2) a person identified with a party within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 776, or (3) a witness who has testified in the action concerning the subject matter of the opinion or statement upon which the expert witness has relied.

(c) Nothing in this section makes admissible an expert opinion that is inadmissible because it is based in whole or in

part on the opinion or statement of another person.

(d) An expert opinion otherwise admissible is not made inadmissible by this section because it is based on the opinion or statement of a person who is unavailable for examination pursuant to this section.

Testimony in the form of an opinion that is otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces the ultimate

issue to be decided by the trier of fact.

Article 2. Opinion Testimony on Particular Subjects

870. A witness may state his opinion as to the sanity of a person when:

(a) The witness is an intimate acquaintance of the person whose sanity is in question;

(b) The witness was a subscribing witness to a writing, the validity of which is in dispute, signed by the person whose sanity is in question and the opinion relates to the sanity of such person at the time the writing was signed; or

(c) The witness is qualified under Section 800 or 801 to testify in the form of an opinion.

CHAPTER 2. BLOOD TESTS TO DETERMINE PATERNITY

 $\frac{32}{33}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

890. This chapter may be cited as the Uniform Act on Blood Tests to Determine Paternity.

891. This act shall be so interpreted and construed as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law of those states which enact it.

892. In a civil action in which paternity is a relevant fact, the court may upon its own initiative or upon suggestion made by or on behalf of any person whose blood is involved, and shall upon motion of any party to the action made at a time so as not to delay the proceedings unduly, order the mother, child, and alleged father to submit to blood tests. If any party refuses to submit to such tests, the court may resolve the question of paternity against such party or enforce its order if the rights of others and the interests of justice so require.

893. The tests shall be made by experts qualified as examiners of blood types who shall be appointed by the court. The experts shall be called by the court as witnesses to testify to their findings and shall be subject to cross-examination by the parties. Any party or person at whose suggestion the tests have been ordered may demand that other experts, qualified as examiners of blood types, perform independent tests under order of the court, the results of which may be offered in evidence. The number and qualifications of such experts shall be determined by the court.

894. The compensation of each expert witness appointed by the court shall be fixed at a reasonable amount. It shall be paid as the court shall order. The court may order that it be paid by the parties in such proportions and at such times as it shall prescribe, or that the proportion of any party be paid by the county, and that, after payment by the parties or the county or both, all or part or none of it be taxed as costs in the action.

895. If the court finds that the conclusions of all the experts, as disclosed by the evidence based upon the tests, are that the alleged father is not the father of the child, the question of paternity shall be resolved accordingly. If the experts

6 7

8

9

1 3

CHAPTER 2. APPLICABILITY OF DIVISION

Except as otherwise provided by statute, the provisions of this division apply in all proceedings. The provisions of any statute making rules of evidence inapplicable in particular proceedings, or limiting the applicability of rules of evidence in particular proceedings, do not make this division inapplicable to such proceedings.

CHAPTER 3. GENERAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO PRIVILEGES

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27 28

29

30

3132

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49 50

51 52 Except as otherwise provided by statute:

(a) No person has a privilege to refuse to be a witness.

(b) No person has a privilege to refuse to disclose any matter or to refuse to produce any writing, object, or other thing.

(c) No person has a privilege that another shall not be a witness or shall not disclose any matter or shall not produce

any writing, object, or other thing.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the right of any person to claim a privilege provided by Section 954 (lawyer-client privilege), 980 (privilege for confidential marital communications), 994 (physician-patient privilege), 1014 (psychotherapist-patient privilege), 1033 (privilege of penitent), or 1034 (privilege of clergyman) is waived with respect to a communication protected by such privilege if any holder of the privilege, without coercion, has disclosed a significant part of the communication or has consented to such disclosure made by anyone. Consent to disclosure is manifested by any statement or other conduct of the holder of the privilege indicating his consent to the disclosure, including his failure to claim-the privilege in any proceeding in which he has the legal standing and opportunity to claim the privilege.
- (b) Where two or more persons are joint holders of a privilege provided by Section 954 (lawyer-client privilege), 994 (physician-patient privilege), or 1014 (psychotherapist-patient privilege), a waiver of the right of a particular joint holder of the privilege to claim the privilege does not affect the right of another joint holder to claim the privilege. In the case of the privilege provided by Section 980 (privilege for confidential marital communications), a waiver of the right of one spouse to claim the privilege does not affect the right of the other spouse to claim the privilege.
- (c) Λ disclosure that is itself privileged under this division is not a waiver of any privilege.
- (d) A disclosure in confidence of a communication that is protected by a privilege provided by Section 954 (lawyerclient privilege), 994 (physician-patient privilege), or 1014 (psychotherapist-patient privilege), when such disclosure is reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer, physician, or psychotherapist was consulted, is not a waiver of the privilege.

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 18 \end{array}$

- 913. (a) If in the instant proceeding or on a prior occasion a privilege is or was exercised not to testify with respect to any matter, or to refuse to disclose or to prevent another from disclosing any matter, neither the presiding officer nor counsel may comment thereon, no presumption shall arise because of the exercise of the privilege, and the trier of fact may not draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.
- (b) The court, at the request of a party who may be adversely affected because an unfavorable inference may be drawn by the jury because a privilege has been exercised, shall instruct the jury that no presumption arises because of the exercise of the privilege and that the jury may not draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.

914. (a) The presiding officer shall determine a claim of privilege in any proceeding in the same manner as a court determines such a claim under Article 2 (commencing with Section 400) of Chapter 4 of Division 3.

- (b) No person may be held in contempt for failure to disclose information claimed to be privileged unless he has failed to comply with an order of a court that he disclose such information. This subdivision does not apply to any governmental agency that has constitutional contempt power, nor does it apply to hearings and investigations of the Industrial Accident Commission, nor does it impliedly repeal Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 9400) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 2 of the Government Code. If no other statutory procedure is applicable, the procedure prescribed by Section 1991 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall be followed in seeking an order of a court that the person disclose the information claimed to be privileged.
- 915. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), the presiding officer may not require disclosure of information claimed to be privileged under this division in order to rule on the claim of privilege.
- (b) When a court is ruling on a claim of privilege under Article 9 (commencing with Section 1040) of Chapter 4 (official information and identity of informer) or under Section 1060 (trade secret) and is unable to do so without requiring disclosure of the information claimed to be privileged, the court may require the person from whom disclosure is sought or the person authorized to claim the privilege, or both, to disclose the information in chambers out of the presence and hearing of all persons except the person authorized to claim the privilege and such other persons as the person authorized to claim the privilege is willing to have present. If the judge determines that the information is privileged, neither he nor any other person may ever disclose, without the consent of a person authorized to permit disclosure, what was disclosed in the course of the proceedings in chambers.

-- 29 -- SB 110

916. (a) The presiding officer, on his own motion or on the motion of any party, shall exclude information that is subject to a claim of privilege under this division if:

(1) The person from whom the information is sought is not

a person authorized to claim the privilege; and

(2) There is no party to the proceeding who is a person authorized to claim the privilege.

(b) The presiding officer may not exclude information under this section if:

(1) He is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure; or

(2) The proponent of the evidence establishes that there is

no person authorized to claim the privilege in existence.

- 917. Whenever a privilege is claimed on the ground that the matter sought to be disclosed is a communication made in confidence in the course of the lawyer-client, physician-patient, psychotherapist-patient, clergyman-penitent, or husband-wife relationship, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish that the communication was not confidential.
- 918. A party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege only if he is the holder of the privilege, except that a party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege by his spouse under Section 970 or 971.

919. Evidence of a statement or other disclosure of privileged information is inadmissible against a holder of the

privilege if:

 $\frac{1}{2}$

- (a) A person authorized to claim the privilege claimed it but nevertheless disclosure erroneously was required to be made; or
 - (b) The presiding officer did not exclude the privileged information as required by Section 916.
 - 920. Nothing in this division shall be construed to repeal by implication any other statute relating to privileges.

CHAPTER 4. PARTICULAR PRIVILEGES

Article 1. Privilege of Defendant in Criminal Case

930. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a defendant in a criminal case has a privilege not to be called as a witness and not to testify.

Article 2. Privilege Against Self-incrimination

940. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose any matter that may tend to incriminate him.

Article 3. Lawyer-client Privilege

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$

7

8

9

10

11

13

 $\frac{14}{15}$

16

17

18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

27

28

29

30

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

 $\frac{42}{43}$

44

45

46

 $\frac{47}{48}$

49

50

51 52 950. As used in this article, "lawyer" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the client to be au horized, to practice law in any state or nation.

951. As used in this article, "client" means a person who, directly or through an authorized representative, consults a lawyer for the purpose of retaining the lawyer or securing legal service or advice from him in his professional capacity, and includes an incompetent (a) who himself so consults the lawyer or (b) whose guardian or conservator so consults the

12 lawyer in behalf of the incompetent.

952. As used in this article, "confidential communication between client and lawyer" means information transmitted between a client and his lawyer in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the client is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the client in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer is consulted, and includes advice given by the lawyer in the course of that relationship.

953. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege"

means:

(a) The client when he has no guardian or conservator.

(b) A guardian or conservator of the client when the client has a guardian or conservator.

(c) The personal representative of the client if the client is dead.

(d) A successor, assign, trustee in dissolution, or any similar representative of a firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public entity that is no longer in existence.

954. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the client, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the lawyer at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

955. The lawyer who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 954.

-- 31 ---

 2

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

956. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the lawyer were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

957. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased client, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

958. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the lawyer or by the client, of a duty arising out of the lawyer-client relationship.

959. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention or competence of a client executing an attested document of which the lawyer is an attesting witness, or concerning the execution or attestation of such a document.

960. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of aclient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the client, purporting to affect an interest in property.

961. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a client, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

962. Where two or more clients have retained or consulted a lawyer upon a matter of common interest, none of them may claim a privilege under this article as to a communication made in the course of that relationship when such communication is offered in a civil proceeding between such clients.

Article 4. Privilege Not to Testify Against Spouse

970. Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person has a privilege not to testify against his spouse in any proceeding.

971. Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person whose spouse is a party to a proceeding has a privilege not to be called as a witness by an adverse party to that proceeding without the prior express consent of the spouse having the privilege under this section unless the party calling the spouse does so in good faith without knowledge of the marital relationship.

- 972. A married person does not have a privilege under this article in:
- (a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.
- (b) A proceeding to commit or otherwise place his spouse or his spouse's property, or both, under the control of another because of the spouse's alleged mental or physical condition.

3

5

6 7

8

 $\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

 $\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$

23

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

 $\begin{array}{c} 28 \\ 29 \end{array}$

30

31

32

33

 $\frac{34}{35}$

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49 50 (c) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of a spouse to

establish his competence.

(d) A proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(e) A criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged

with:

- (1) A crime against the person or property of the other spouse or of a child of either, whether committed before or during marriage.
- (2) A crime against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse, whether committed before or during marriage.

(3) Bigamy or adultery.

(4) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.

973. (a) Unless erroneously compelled to do so, a married person who testifies in a proceeding to which his spouse is a party, or who testifies against his spouse in any proceeding, does not have a privilege under this article in the proceeding in which such testimony is given.

(b) There is no privilege under this article in a civil proceeding brought or defended by a married person for the immediate benefit of his spouse or of himself and his spouse.

Article 5. Privilege for Confidential Marital Communications

980. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, a spouse (or his guardian or conservator when he has a guardian or conservator), whether or not a party, has a privilege during the marital relationship and afterwards to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a communication if he claims the privilege and the communication was made in confidence between him and the other spouse while they were husband and wife.

981. There is no privilege under this article if the communication was made, in whole or in part, to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

982. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

983. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish his competence.

984. There is no privilege under this article in:

(a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.

(b) A proceeding between a surviving spouse and a person who claims through the deceased spouse, regardless of whether such claim is by testate or intestate succession or by intervivos transaction.

985. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged with:

(a) A crime committed at any time against the person or

property of the other spouse or of a child of either.

(b) A crime committed at any time against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse.

(c) Bigamy or adultery.

 $\frac{23}{24}$

(d) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.

986. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

987. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which the communication is offered in evidence by a defendant who is one of the spouses between whom the communication was made.

Article 6. Physician-Patient Privilege

990. As used in this article, "physician" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation.

991. As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a physician or submits to an examination by a physician for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his physical or mental or emotional condition.

992. As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and physician" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his physician in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the physician is consulted, and includes advice given by the physician in the course of that relationship.

993. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:

(a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

- (b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.
 - (c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.
 - 994. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and physician if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the physician at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

995. The physician who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 994.

996. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the condition of the patient if such issue has been tendered by:

(a) The patient;

(b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;

(c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or

(d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.

997. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the physician were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.

998. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding or in a disciplinary proceeding.

999. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to recover damages on account of conduct of the patient which constitutes a crime.

1000. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1001. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the physician or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the physician-patient relationship.

__ 35 __ **SB** 110

1002. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1003. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in

property.

1004. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit the patient or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

1005. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his

competence.

1006. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the physician or the patient is required to report to a public employee, or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

1007. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by a public entity to determine whether a right, authority, license, or privilege (including the right or privilege to be employed by the public entity or to hold a public office) should be revoked, suspended, terminated, limited, or conditioned.

Article 7. Psychotherapist-patient Privilege

1010. As used in this article, "psychotherapist" means:

(a) A person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation who devotes, or is reasonably believed by the patient to devote, a substantial portion of his time to the practice of psychiatry; or

(b) A person certified as a psychologist under Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 2900) of Division 2 of the Business

and Professions Code.

- 1011. As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a psychotherapist or submits to an examination by a psychotherapist for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his mental or emotional condition or who submits to an examination of his mental or emotional condition for the purpose of scientific research on mental or emotional problems.
- 1012. As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his psychotherapist

in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means 1 2 which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information 3 to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or examina-4 tion or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for 6 the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of 7 the purpose for which the psychotherapist is consulted of the 8 consultation or examination, and includes advice given by the 9 psychotherapist in the course of that relationship.

1013. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege"

11 means:

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

(a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.

(b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.

(c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.

1014. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by

the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the psychotherapist at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

1015. The psychotherapist who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 1014.

1016. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the mental or emotional condition of the patient if such issue has been ten-

dered by:

(a) The patient;

(b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;

(c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or

(d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.

1017. There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist is appointed by order of a court to examine the patient, but this exception does not apply where the psychotherapist is appointed by order of the court upon the request of the lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding in order to provide the lawyer with information needed so that he may advise the defendant whether to enter a plea based on

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 26

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{44}{45}$

insanity or to present a defense based on his mental or emotional condition.

1018. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the psychotherapist were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.

1019. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1020. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the psychotherapist or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the psychotherapist-patient relationship.

1021. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1022. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1023. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 1367) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Penal Code initiated at the request of the defendant in a criminal action to determine his sanity.

1024. There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist has reasonable cause to believe that the patient is in such mental or emotional condition as to be dangerous to himself or to the person or property of another and that disclosure of the communication is necessary to prevent the threatened danger.

1025. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his competence.

1026. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the psychotherapist or the patient is required to report to a public employee or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

Article 8. Clergyman-Penitent Privileges

1030. As used in this article, "clergyman" means a priest, minister, or similar functionary of a church or of a religious denomination or religious organization.

1031. As used in this article, "penitent" means a person who has made a penitential communication to a clergyman.

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

1032. As used in this article, "penitential communication" means a communication made in confidence, in the presence of no third person so far as the penitent is aware, to a clergyman who, in the course of the discipline or practice of his church, denomination, or organization, is authorized or accustomed to hear such communications and has a duty to keep them secret.

1033. Subject to Section 912, a penitent, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

1034. Subject to Section 912, a clergyman, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

Article 9. Official Information and Identity of Informer

1040. (a) As used in this section, "official information" means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made.

(b) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information, and to prevent another from disclosing such information, if the privilege is claimed by a person authorized by the public entity to do so and:

(1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of

the United States or a statute of this state; or

(2) Disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the information be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the information is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

1041. (a) Except as provided in this section, a public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose the identity of a person who has furnished information as provided in subdivision (b) purporting to disclose a violation of a law of the United States or of this state or a public entity in this state, and to prevent another from disclosing such identity, if the privilege is claimed by a person authorized by the public entity to do so and:

- (1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of the United States or a statute of this state; or
- (2) Disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of his identity that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the identity of the informer be

disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

(b) This section applies only if the information is furnished

in confidence by the informer to:
(1) A law enforcement officer;

 $\mathbf{2}$

5

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

-49

(2) A representative of an administrative agency charged with the administration or enforcement of the law alleged to be violated; or

(3) Any person for the purpose of transmittal to a person listed in paragraph (1) or (2).

(c) There is no privilege under this section to prevent the

informer from disclosing his identity.

- 1042. (a) Except where disclosure is forbidden by an Act of the Congress of the United States, if a claim of privilege under this article by the State or a public entity in this State is sustained in a criminal proceeding er in a disciplinary proceeding, the presiding officer shall make such order or finding of fact adverse to the public entity bringing the proceeding as is required by law upon any issue in the proceeding to which the privileged information is material.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding or a disciplinary proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant official information or the identity of an informer in order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a result of it.

Article 10. Political Vote

1050. If he claims the privilege, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose the tenor of his vote at a public election where the voting is by secret ballot unless he voted illegally or he previously made an unprivileged disclosure of the tenor of his vote.

Article 11. Trade Secret

1060. If he or his agent or employee claims the privilege, the owner of a trade secret has a privilege to refuse to disclose the secret, and to prevent another from disclosing it, if the allowance of the privilege will not tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice.

CHAPTER 5. IMMUNITY OF NEWSMAN FROM CITATION FOR CONTEMPT

1070. As used in this chapter, "newsman" means a person directly engaged in the procurement of news for publication, or in the publication of news, by news media.

1071. As used in this chapter, "news media" means newspapers, press associations, wire services, radio, and television.

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

9

1072. A newsman may not be adjudged in contempt for refusing to disclose the source of news procured for publication and published by news media, unless the source has been disclosed previously or the disclosure of the source is required in the public interest or otherwise required to prevent injustice.

1073. The procedure specified in subdivisions (a) and (b)

of Section 914 and in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 915 applies to the determination of a newsman's claim for protection under Section 1072.

1070. A publisher, editor, reporter, or other person connected with or employed upon a newspaper, or by a press association or wire service, cannot be adjudged in contempt by a court, the Legislature, or any administrative body, for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for publication and published in a newspaper.

Nor can a radio or television news reporter or other person connected with or employed by a radio or television station be so adjudged in contempt for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for and used for news or news

commentary purposes on radio or television.

DIVISION 9. EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

CHAPTER 1. EVIDENCE OF CHARACTER, HABIT, OR CUSTOM

1100. Except as otherwise provided by statute, any otherwise admissible evidence (including evidence in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, and evidence of specific instances of such person's conduct) is admissible to prove a person's character or a trait of his character.

1101. (a) Except as provided in this section and in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a person's character or a trait of his character (whether in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of his conduct) is inadmissible when offered to prove his conduct on a specified occasion.

(b) Nothing in this section prohibits the admission of evidence that a person committed a crime, civil wrong, or other act when relevant to prove some fact (such as motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident) other than his disposition to commit such acts.

(c) Nothing in this section affects the admissibility of evidence offered to support or attack the credibility of a witness.

1102. In a criminal action, evidence of the defendant's character or a trait of his character in the form of an opinion or evidence of his reputation is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:

(a) Offered by the defendant to prove his conduct in conformity with such character or trait of character.

--- 41 --- SB 110

(b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced by the defendant under subdivision (a).

1103. In a criminal action, evidence of the character or a trait of character (in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of conduct) of the victim of the crime for which the defendant is being prosecuted is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:

(a) Offered by the defendant to prove conduct of the victim in conformity with such character or trait of character.

(b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced

by the defendant under subdivision (a).

5

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

 $\frac{48}{49}$

 $\frac{50}{51}$

1104. Except as provided in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a trait of a person's character with respect to care or skill is inadmissible to prove the quality of his conduct on a specified occasion.

1105. Any otherwise admissible evidence of habit or custom is admissible to prove conduct on a specified occasion in conformity with the habit or custom.

CHAPTER 2. OTHER EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

1150. Except as otherwise provided by law, upon an in1150. (a) Upon an in quiry as to the validity of a verdict, any otherwise admissible evidence may be received as to statements made, or conduct, conditions, or events occurring, either within or without the jury room, of such a character as is likely to have influenced the verdict improperly. No evidence is admissible to show the effect of such statement, conduct, condition, or event upon a juror either in influencing him to assent to or dissent from the verdict or concerning the mental processes by which it was determined.

(b) Nothing in this code affects the law relating to the competence of a juror to give evidence to impeach or support a verdict.

1151. When, after the occurrence of an event, remedial or precautionary measures are taken, which, if taken previously, would have tended to make the event less likely to occur, evidence of such subsequent measures is inadmissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event.

1152. (a) Evidence that a person has, in compromise or from humanitarian motives, furnished or offered or promised to furnish money or any other thing, act, or service to another who has sustained or claims to have sustained loss or damage, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove his liability for the loss or damage or any part of it.

(b) This section does not affect the admissibility of evidence of:

(1) Partial satisfaction of an asserted claim or demand without questioning its validity when such evidence is offered to prove the validity of the claim; or

 $\frac{2}{3}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

(2) A debtor's payment or promise to pay all or a part of his pre-existing debt when such evidence is offered to prove the creation of a new duty on his part or a revival of his preexisting duty.

1153. Evidence of a plea of guilty, later withdrawn, or of an offer to plead guilty to the crime charged or to any other crime, made by the defendant in a criminal action is inadmissible in any action or in any proceeding of any nature, including proceedings before agencies, commissions, boards, and tribunals.

1154. Evidence that a person has accepted or offered or promised to accept a sum of money or any other thing, act, or service in satisfaction of a claim, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove the invalidity of the claim or any part of it.

1155. Evidence that a person was, at the time a harm was suffered by another, insured wholly or partially against loss arising from liability for that harm is inadmissible to prove

negligence or other wrongdoing.

1156. (a) In-hospital medical staff committees of a licensed hospital may engage in research and medical study for the purpose of reducing morbidity or mortality, and may make findings and recommendations relating to such purpose. Except as provided in subdivision (b), the written records of interviews, reports, statements, or memoranda of such inhospital medical staff committees relating to such medical studies are subject to Sections 2016 to 2036, inclusive, of the Code of Civil Procedure (relating to discovery proceedings) but, subject to subdivisions (c) and (d), shall not be admitted as evidence in any action or before any administrative body, agency, or person.

(b) The disclosure, with or without the consent of the patient, of information concerning him to such in-hospital medical staff committee does not make unprivileged any information that would otherwise be privileged under Section 994 or 1014; but, notwithstanding Sections 994 and 1014, such information is subject to discovery under subdivision (a) except that the identity of any patient may not be discovered under subdivision (a) unless the patient consents to such disclosure.

- (c) This section does not affect the admissibility in evidence of the original medical records of any patient.
- (d) This section does not exclude evidence which is relevant evidence in a criminal action.

DIVISION 10. HEARSAY EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1200. (a) "Hearsay evidence" is evidence of a statement that was made other than by a witness while testifying at the hearing and that is offered to prove the truth of the matter stated.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

action to which he is a party in either his individual or representative capacity, regardless of whether the statement was

made in his individual or representative capacity.

Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is one of which the party, with knowledge of the content thereof, has by words or other conduct manifested his adoption or his belief in its truth.

Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not

10 made inadmissible by the hearsav rule if: 11

(a) The statement was made by a person authorized by the party to make a statement or statements for him concerning the subject matter of the statement; and

(b) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of such authority or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not

made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The statement was made by the declarant while participating in a conspiracy to commit a crime or civil wrong and in furtherance of the objective of that conspiracy;

(b) The statement was made prior to or during the time that the party was participating in that conspiracy; and

(c) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the facts specified in subdivisions (a) and (b) or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

When the liability, obligation, or duty of a party to a civil action is based in whole or in part upon the liability, obligation, or duty of the declarant, or when the claim or right asserted by a party to a civil action is barred or diminished by a breach of duty by the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that liability, obligation, duty, or breach of duty.

When a right, title, or interest in any property or claim asserted by a party to a civil action requires a determination that a right, title, or interest exists or existed in the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant during the time the party now claims the declarant was the holder of the right, title, or interest is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that right, title, or interest.

Evidence of a statement by a minor child is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 of the Code of Civil

Procedure for injury to such minor child.

Evidence of a statement by the deceased is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action for wrongful death brought under Section 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

Article 2. Declarations Against Interest

Evidence of a statement by a declarant having sufficient knowledge of the subject is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement, when made, was so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far subjected him to the risk of civil or criminal liability, or so far tended to render invalid a claim by him against another, or created such a risk of making him an object of hatred, ridicule, or social disgrace in the community, that a reasonable man in his position would not have made the statement unless he believed it to be true.

12 13 14

Article 3. Prior Statements of Witnesses

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

Evidence of a statement made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is inconsistent with his testimony at the hearing and is offered in compliance with Section 770.

Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is consistent with his testimony at the hearing and is

offered in compliance with Section 791.

1237. (a) Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement would have been admissible if made by him while testifying, the statement concerns a matter as to which the witness has insufficient present recollection to enable him to testify fully and accurately, and the statement is contained in a writing which:

(1) Was made at a time when the fact recorded in the writing actually occurred or was fresh in the witness' memory;

(2) Was made (i) by the witness himself or under his direction or (ii) by some other person for the purpose of recording the witness' statement at the time it was made;

(3) Is offered after the witness testifies that the statement

he made was a true statement of such fact; and

(4) Is offered after the writing is authenticated as an accu-

rate record of the statement.

(b) The writing may be read into evidence, but the writing itself may not be received in evidence unless offered by an adverse party.

Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement would have been admissible if made by him while testifying and:

(a) The statement is an identification of a party or another as a person who participated in a crime or other occurrence;

(b) The statement was made at a time when the crime or other occurrence was fresh in the witness' memory; and

(c) The evidence of the statement is offered after the witness testifies that he made the identification and that it was a true reflection of his opinion at that time.

3 4 5

1

Article 4. Spontaneous, Contemporaneous, and Dying Declarations

6 7 8

Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by 1240.the hearsay rule if the statement:

9 10 11

(a) Purports to narrate, describe, or explain an act, condition, or event perceived by the declarant; and

12 13

(b) Was made spontaneously while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by such perception.

14 15 16

1241. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement: (a) Purports to qualify or explain conduct of the declar-

17 18 ant: and (b) Was made while the declarant was engaged in such

19 20 21

22 23

conduct. 1242.Evidence of a statement made by a dying person respecting the cause and circumstances of his death is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement was made upon his personal knowledge and under a sense of immediately impending death.

24 25 26

Article 5. Statements of Mental or Physical State

(a) Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when:

31 32 33

30

(1) The evidence is offered to prove the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation at that time or at any other time when it is itself an issue in the action; or

34 35 36

(2) The evidence is offered to prove or explain acts or conduct of the declarant.

37 38 39

(b) This section does not make admissible evidence of a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed.

1251.Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) at a time prior to the statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

44 45 46

(a) The declarant is unavailable as a witness; and

47 **4**9 50

(b) The evidence is offered to prove such prior state of 48 mind, emotion, or physical sensation when it is itself an issue in the action and the evidence is not offered to prove any fact other than such state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation.

1252. Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this article if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 6. Statements Relating to Wills and to Claims Against Estates

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{2}{3}$

- 1260. (a) Evidence of a statement made by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness that he has or has not made a will, or has or has not revoked his will, or that identifies his will, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.
- (b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.
- 1261. (a) Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in an action upon a claim or demand against the estate of the declarant if the statement was made upon the personal knowledge of the declarant at a time when the matter had been recently perceived by him and while his recollection was clear.
- (b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 7. Business Records

 $\frac{36}{37}$

- 1270. As used in this article, "a business" includes every kind of business, governmental activity, profession, occupation, calling, or operation of institutions, whether carried on for profit or not.
- 1271. Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:
 - (a) The writing was made in the regular course of a business:
- (b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event;
- (c) The custodian or other qualified witness testifies to its identity and the mode of its preparation; and
- (d) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustworthiness.
- 1272. Evidence of the absence from the records of a business of a record of an asserted act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the nonoccurrence of the act or event, or the nonexistence of the condition, if:
- (a) It was the regular course of that business to make records of all such acts, conditions, or events at or near the time of the act, condition, or event and to preserve them; and
- (b) The sources of information and method and time of preparation of the records of that business were such that the absence of a record of an act, condition, or event is a trust-

3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49 50

51

52

worthy indication that the act or event did not occur or the condition did not exist.

Official Records and Other Official Writings Article 8.

1280. Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:

(a) The writing was made by and within the scope of duty of a public employee;

(b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event; and

(c) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustworthiness.

1281. Evidence of a writing made as a record of a birth, fetal death, death, or marriage is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the maker was required by law to file the writing in a designated public office and the writing was made and filed as required by law.

1282. A written finding of presumed death made by an employee of the United States authorized to make such finding pursuant to the Federal Missing Persons Act (56 Stats. 143, 1092, and P.L. 408, Ch. 371, 2d Sess. 78th Cong.; 50 U.S.C. App. 1001–1016), as enacted or as heretofore or hereafter amended, shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence of the death of the person therein found to be dead and of the date, circumstances, and place of his disappearance.

An official written report or record that a person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive, made by an employee of the United States authorized by any law of the United States to make such report or record shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence that such person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive.

1284. Evidence of a writing made by the public employee who is the official custodian of the records in a public office, reciting diligent search and failure to find a record, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the absence of a record in that office.

Article 9. Former Testimony

As used in this article, "former testimony" means testimony given under oath in:

(a) Another action or in a former hearing or trial of the same action;

__ 49 __ **SB** 110

(b) A proceeding to determine a controversy conducted by or under the supervision of an agency that has the power to determine such a controversy and is an agency of the United States or a public entity in the United States;

(c) A deposition taken in compliance with law in another

action; or

1

 $\frac{2}{3}$

4 5 6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

 $\frac{37}{38}$

39

40

41

 $\frac{42}{43}$

44

 $\frac{45}{46}$

47

48

49

(d) An arbitration proceeding if the evidence of such former testimony is a verbatim transcript thereof.

- 1291. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:
- (1) The former testimony is offered against a person who offered it in evidence in his own behalf on the former occasion or against the successor in interest of such person; or
- (2) The party against whom the former testimony is offered was a party to the action or proceeding in which the testimony was given and had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which he has at the hearing.
- (b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to:

(1) Objections to the form of the question which were not

made at the time the former testimony was given.

(2) Objections based on competency or privilege which didnot exist at the time the former testimony was given.

1292. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(1) The declarant is unavailable as a witness;

(2) The former testimony is offered in a civil action or against the prosecution in a criminal action; and

(3) The issue is such that the party to the action or proceeding in which the former testimony was given had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which the party against whom the testimony is offered has at the hearing.

(b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to objections based on competency or privilege which did not exist at the

time the former testimony was given.

Article 10. Judgments

1300. Evidence of a final judgment adjudging a person guilty of a crime punishable as a felony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in a civil action to

 $rac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 prove any fact essential to the judgment unless the judgment was based on a plea of nolo contendere.

1301. Evidence of a final judgment is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered by the judgment debtor to prove any fact which was essential to the judgment in an action in which he seeks to:

(a) Recover partial or total indemnity or exoneration for money paid or liability incurred because of the judgment;

(b) Enforce a warranty to protect the judgment debtor

against the liability determined by the judgment; or

(c) Recover damages for breach of warranty substantially, the same as the warranty determined by the judgment to have

been breached.

1302. When the liability, obligation, or duty of a third person is in issue in a civil action, evidence of a final judgment against that person is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove such liability, obligation, or duty.

Article 11. Family History

1310. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness concerning his own birth, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood or marriage, race, ancestry, or other similar fact of his family history is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter declared.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1311. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement concerning the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a person other than the declarant is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:

(1) The declarant was related to the other by blood or

38 marriage; or 39 (2) The c

(2) The declarant was otherwise so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have had accurate information concerning the matter declared and made the statement (i) upon information received from the other or from a person related by blood or marriage to the other or (ii) upon repute in the other's family.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to

indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1312. Evidence of entries in family bibles or other family books or charts, engravings on rings, family portraits, engravings on urns, crypts, or tombstones, and the like, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the

— 51 —

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{43}{44}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$ $\frac{48}{48}$

birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood or marriage.

1313. Evidence of reputation among members of a family is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood or marriage.

1314. Evidence of reputation in a community concerning the date or fact of birth, marriage, divorce, or death of a person resident in the community at the time of the reputation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

1315. Evidence of a statement concerning a person's birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of family history which is contained in a writing made as a record of a church, religious denomination, or religious society is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The statement is contained in a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event that would be admissible as evidence of such act, condition, or event under Section 1271; and

(b) The statement is of a kind customarily recorded in connection with the act, condition, or event recorded in the writing; and ing

(e) The writing was made as a record of a church, religious denomination, or religious society.

1316. Evidence of a statement concerning a person's birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of family history is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is contained in a certificate that the maker thereof performed a marriage or other ceremony or administered a sacrament and:

(a) The maker was a clergyman, civil officer, or other person authorized to perform the acts reported in the certificate by law or by the rules, regulations, or requirements of a church, religious denomination, or religious society; and

(b) The certificate was issued by the maker at the time and place of the ceremony or sacrament or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Article 12. Reputation and Statements Concerning Community History, Property Interests, and Character

1320. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns an event of general history of the community or of the state or nation of which the community is a part and the event was of importance to the community.

1321. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns the interest of the public in property in the community and the reputation arose before controversy.

1322. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns boundaries of, or customs affecting, land in the community and the reputation arose before controversy.

1323. Evidence of a statement concerning the boundary of land is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and had sufficient knowledge of the subject, but evidence of a statement is not admissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1324. Evidence of a person's general reputation with reference to his character or a trait of his character at a relevant time in the community in which he then resided or in a group with which he then habitually associated is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

 $\frac{20}{21}$

Article 13. Dispositive Instruments and Ancient Writings

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

1330. Evidence of a statement contained in a deed of conveyance or a will or other writing purporting to affect an interest in real or personal property is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

- 27 (a) The matter stated was relevant to the purpose of the 28 writing;
- 29 (b) The matter stated would be relevant to an issue as to an interest in the property; and
 31 (c) The dealings with the property since the statement was
 - (c) The dealings with the property since the statement was made have not been inconsistent with the truth of the statement.

1331. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is contained in a writing more than 30 years old and the statement has been since generally acted upon as true by persons having an interest in the matter.

Article 14. Commercial, Scientific, and Similar Publications

1340. Evidence of a statement, other than an opinion, contained in a tabulation, list, directory, register, or other published compilation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the compilation is generally used and relied upon as accurate in the course of a business as defined in Section 1270.

1341. Historical works, books of science or art, and published maps or charts, made by persons indifferent between the parties, are not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove facts of general notoriety and interest.

DIVISION 11. WRITINGS

CHAPTER 1. AUTHENTICATION AND PROOF OF WRITINGS

Article 1. Requirement of Authentication

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

1400. Authentication of a writing means (a) the introduction of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding that it is the writing that the proponent of the evidence claims it is or (b) the establishment of such facts by any other means provided by law.

1401. (a) Authentication of a writing is required before

it may be received in evidence.

(b) Authentication of a writing is required before secondary evidence of its content may be received in evidence.

1402. The party producing a writing as genuine which has been altered, or appears to have been altered, after its execution, in a part material to the question in dispute, must account for the alteration or appearance thereof. He may show that the alteration was made by another, without his concurrence, or was made with the consent of the parties affected by it, or otherwise properly or innocently made, or that the alteration did not change the meaning or language of the instrument. If he does that, he may give the writing in evidence, but not otherwise.

Article 2. Means of Authenticating and Proving Writings

1410. Nothing in this article shall be construed to limit the means by which a writing may be authenticated or proved.

1411. Except as provided by statute, the testimony of a subscribing witness is not required to authenticate a writing.

1412. If the testimony of a subscribing witness is required by statute to authenticate a writing and the subscribing witness denies or does not recollect the execution of the writing, the writing may be authenticated by other evidence.

1413. A writing may be authenticated by anyone who saw the writing made or executed, including a subscribing witness.

1414. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that:
(a) The party against whom it is offered has at any time

(a) The party against whom it is offered has at any time admitted its authenticity; or

(b) The writing has been acted upon as authentic by the party against whom it is offered.

1415. A writing may be authenticated by evidence of the genuineness of the handwriting of the maker.

1416. A witness who is not otherwise qualified to testify as an expert may state his opinion whether a writing is in the handwriting of a supposed writer if the court finds that he has personal knowledge of the handwriting of the supposed writer. Such personal knowledge may be acquired from:

(a) Having seen the supposed writer write;

 $\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

(b) Having seen a writing purporting to be in the handwriting of the supposed writer and upon which the supposed writer has acted or been charged;

(c) Having received letters in the due course of mail purporting to be from the supposed writer in response to letters duly addressed and mailed by him to the supposed writer; or

(d) Any other means of obtaining personal knowledge of

the handwriting of the supposed writer.

1417. The genuineness of handwriting, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by the trier of fact with handwriting (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.

1418. The genuineness of writing, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by an expert witness with writing (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.

1419. Where a writing whose genuineness is sought to be proved is more than 30 years old, the comparison under Section 1417 or 1418 may be made with writing purporting to be genuine, and generally respected and acted upon as such, by persons having an interest in knowing whether it is genuine.

1420. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that the writing was received in response to a communication sent to the person who is claimed by the proponent of the evidence to be the author of the writing.

1421. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that the writing refers to or states facts matters that are unlikely to be known to anyone other than the person who is claimed by the proponent of the evidence to be the author of the writing.

Article 3. Presumptions Affecting Acknowledged Writings and Official Writings

1450. The presumptions established by this article are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1451. A certificate of the acknowledgment of a writing other than a will, or a certificate of the proof of such a writing, is prima facie evidence of the facts recited in the certificate and the genuineness of the signature of each person by whom the writing purports to have been signed if the certificate meets the requirements of Article 3 (commencing with Section 1180) of Chapter 4, Title 4, Part 4, Division 2 of the Civil Code.

1452. A seal is presumed to be genuine and its use authorized if it purports to be the seal of:

(a) The United States or a department, agency, or public employee of the United States.

(b) A public entity in the United States or a department, agency, or public employee of such public entity.

- (c) A nation recognized by the executive power of the United States or a department, agency, or officer of such nation.
- (d) A public entity in a nation recognized by the executive power of the United States or a department, agency, or officer of such public entity.

(e) A court of admiralty or maritime jurisdiction.

- (f) A notary public within any state of the United States.
- 1453. A signature is presumed to be genuine and authorized if it purports to be the signature, affixed in his official capacity, of:
 - (a) A public employee of the United States.

 $rac{1}{2}$

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 33

37 38

39 **40**

41

42

43

44

 $\frac{45}{46}$

47 48

49

50

51

- (b) A public employee of any public entity in the United States.
- (c) A notary public within any state of the United States. 1454. A signature is presumed to be genuine and authorized if it purports to be the signature, affixed in his official capacity, of an officer, or deputy of an officer, of a nation or public entity in a nation recognized by the executive power of the United States and the writing to which the signature is affixed is accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (a) the person who executed the writing or (b) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation, authenticated by the seal of his office.

CHAPTER 2. SECONDARY EVIDENCE OF WRITINGS

Article 1. Best Evidence Rule

- 1500. Except as otherwise provided by statute, no evidence other than the writing itself is admissible to prove the content of a writing. This section shall be known and may be cited as the best evidence rule.
- 1501. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is lost or has been destroyed without fraudulent intent on the part of the proponent of the evidence.
- 1502. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing was not reasonably procurable by the proponent by use of the court's process or by other available means.

 $\frac{44}{45}$

 $\frac{47}{48}$ $\frac{49}{49}$

(a) A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if, at a time when the writing was under the control of the opponent, the opponent was expressly or impliedly notified, by the pleadings or otherwise, that the writing would be needed at the hearing, and on request at the hearing the opponent has failed to produce the writing. In a criminal action, the request at the hearing to produce the writing may not be made in the presence of the jury.

(b) Though a writing requested by one party is produced by another, and is thereupon inspected by the party calling for it, the party calling for the writing is not obliged to intro-

12 duce it as evidence in the action.

13 1504. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the 14 best evidence rule if the writing is not closely related to the 15 controlling issues and it would be inexpedient to require its 16 production.

1505. If the proponent does not have in his possession or under his control a copy of a writing described in Section 1501, 1502, 1503, or 1504, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule. This section does not apply to a writing that is also described in Section 1506 or 1507.

1506. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is a record or other writing that is in the custody of a public entity.

1507. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been recorded in the public records and the record or an attested or a certified copy thereof is made evidence of the writing by statute.

1508. If the proponent does not have in his possession a copy of a writing described in Section 1506 or 1507 and could not in the exercise of reasonable diligence have obtained a copy, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule.

1509. Secondary evidence, whether written or oral, of the content of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing consists of numerous accounts or other writings that cannot be examined in court without great loss of time, and the evidence sought from them is only the general result of the whole; but the court in its discretion may require that such accounts or other writings be produced for inspection by the adverse party.

1510. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been produced at the hearing and made available for inspection by the adverse party.

Article 2. Official Writings and Recorded Writings

1530. (a) A purported copy of a writing that is in the custody of a public entity, or of an entry in such a writing, is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of such writing or entry if:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28

29

 $\frac{30}{31}$

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

 $\frac{49}{50}$

51

(1) The copy purports to be published by the authority of the nation or state, or public entity therein, in which the writing is kept;

(2) The office in which the writing is kept is within the United States or within the Panama Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the Ryukyu Islands, and the copy is attested or certified as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a public employee, or a deputy of a public employee, having the legal custody of the writing; or

(3) The office in which the writing is kept is not within the United States or any other place described in paragraph (2) and the copy is attested as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a person having authority to make the attestation. The attestation must be accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (i) the person who attested the copy as a correct copy or (ii) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation in which the writing is kept, authenticated by the seal of his office.

(b) The presumptions established by this section are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1531. For the purpose of evidence, whenever a copy of a writing is attested or certified, the attestation or certificate must state in substance that the copy is a correct copy of the original, or of a specified part thereof, as the case may be.

1532. (a) The official record of a writing is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the original recorded writing if:

- (1) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and
- (2) A statute authorized such a writing to be recorded in that office.
- (b) The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

Article 3. Photographic Copies of Writings

1550. A photostatic, microfilm, microcard, miniature photographic or other photographic copy or reproduction, or an enlargement thereof, of a writing is as admissible as the writing itself if such copy or reproduction was made and preserved as a part of the records of a business (as defined by Section

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

23

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

1270) in the regular course of such business. The introduction of such copy, reproduction, or enlargement does not preclude admission of the original writing if it is still in existence.

1551. A print, whether enlarged or not, from a photographic film (including a photographic plate, microphotographic film, photostatic negative, or similar reproduction) of an original writing destroyed or lost after such film was taken is as admissible as the original writing itself if, at the time of the taking of such film, the person under whose direction and control it was taken attached thereto, or to the sealed container in which it was placed and has been kept, or incorporated in the film, a certification complying with the provisions of Section 1531 and stating the date on which, and the fact that, it was so taken under his direction and control.

Article 4. Hospital Records

1560. (a) As used in this article, "hospital" means a hospital located in this State that is operated by a public entity or any licensed hospital located in this State.

- (b) Except as provided in Section 1564, when a subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital in an action in which the hospital is neither a party nor the place where any cause of action is alleged to have arisen and such subpoena requires the production of all or any part of the records of the hospital relating to the care or treatment of a patient in such hospital, it is sufficient compliance therewith if the custodian or other officer of the hospital, within five days after the receipt of such subpoena, delivers by mail or otherwise a true and correct copy (which may be a photographic or microphotographic reproduction) of all the records described in such subpoena to the clerk of court or to the court if there be no clerk or to such other person as described in subdivision (a) of Section 2018 of the Code of Civil Procedure, together with the affidavit described in Section 1561.
- (c) The copy of the records shall be separately enclosed in an inner envelope or wrapper, sealed, with the title and number of the action, name of witness, and date of subpoena clearly inscribed thereon; the sealed envelope or wrapper shall then be enclosed in an outer envelope or wrapper, sealed, directed as follows:
- (1) If the subpoena directs attendance in court, to the clerk of such court, or to the judge thereof if there be no clerk.
- (2) If the subpoena directs attendance at a deposition or other hearing, to the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken, at the place designated in the subpoena for the taking of the deposition or at his place of business.
- (3) In other cases, to the officer, body, or tribunal conducting the hearing, at a like address.
- (d) Unless the parties to the proceeding otherwise agree, or unless the sealed envelope or wrapper is returned to a

witness who is to appear personally, the copy of the records shall remain sealed and shall be opened only at the time of trial, deposition, or other hearing, upon the direction of the judge, officer, body, or tribunal conducting the proceeding, in the presence of all parties who have appeared in person or by counsel at such trial, deposition, or hearing. Records which are not introduced in evidence or required as part of the record shall be returned to the person or entity from whom received.

1561. (a) The records shall be accompanied by the affidavit of the custodian or other qualified witness, stating in substance each of the following:

 $\frac{46}{47}$

(1) That the affiant is the duly authorized custodian of the records and has authority to certify the records.

(2) That the copy is a true copy of all the records described in the subpoena.

(3) That the records were prepared by the personnel of the hospital, staff physicians, or persons acting under the control of either, in the ordinary course of hospital business at or near the time of the act, condition, or event.

(b) If the hospital has none of the records described, or only part thereof, the custodian shall so state in the affidavit, and deliver the affidavit and such records as are available in the manner provided in Section 1560.

1562. The copy of the records is admissible in evidence to the same extent as though the original thereof were offered and the custodian had been present and testified to the matters stated in the affidavit. The affidavit is admissible in evidence and the matters stated therein pursuant to Section 1561 are presumed true. When more than one person has knowledge of the facts, more than one affidavit may be made. The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1563. This article shall not be interpreted to require tender or payment of more than one witness and mileage fee or other charge unless there is an agreement to the contrary.

1564. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required if the subpoena duces tecum contains a clause which reads:

"The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1560, and Sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena."

1565. If more than one subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital and the personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness is required pursuant to Section 1564, the witness shall be deemed to be the witness of the party serving the first such subpoena duces tecum.

1566. This article applies in any proceeding in which testimony can be compelled.

CHAPTER 3. OFFICIAL WRITINGS AFFECTING PROPERTY

5
6 1600. The official record of a document purporting to
7 establish or affect an interest in property is prima facie evi8 dence of the existence and content of the original recorded
9 document and its execution and delivery by each person by
10 whom it purports to have been executed if:

- (a) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and
- (b) A statute authorized such a document to be recorded in that office.
- 1601. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), when in any action it is desired to prove the contents of the official record of any writing lost or destroyed by conflagration or other public calamity, after proof of such loss or destruction, the following may, without further proof, be admitted in evidence to prove the contents of such record:
- (1) Any abstract of title made and issued and certified as correct prior to such loss or destruction, and purporting to have been prepared and made in the ordinary course of business by any person engaged in the business of preparing and making abstracts of title prior to such loss or destruction; or
- (2) Any abstract of title, or of any instrument affecting title, made, issued, and certified as correct by any person engaged in the business of insuring titles or issuing abstracts of title to real estate, whether the same was made, issued, or certified before or after such loss or destruction and whether the same was made from the original records or from abstract and notes, or either, taken from such records in the preparation and upkeeping of its plant in the ordinary course of its business.
- (b) No proof of the loss of the original writing is required other than the fact that the original is not known to the party desiring to prove its contents to be in existence.
- (c) Any party desiring to use evidence admissible under this section shall give reasonable notice in writing to all other parties to the action who have appeared therein, of his intention to use such evidence at the trial of the action, and shall give all such other parties a reasonable opportunity to inspect the evidence, and also the abstracts, memoranda, or notes from which it was compiled, and to take copies thereof.
- 1602. If a patent for mineral lands within this state issued or granted by the United States of America, contains a statement of the date of the location of a claim or claims upon which the granting or issuance of such patent is based, such statement is prima facie evidence of the date of such location.
- 1603. A deed of conveyance of real property, purporting to have been executed by a proper officer in pursuance of

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

26

29

30

35

36

37

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

49

- (b) Every restriction or prohibition, whether by way of covenant, condition upon use or occupation, or upon transfer of title to real property, which restriction or prohibition directly or indirectly limits the acquisition, use or occupation of such property because of the acquirer's, user's, or occupier's race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin is void.
- (c) In any action to declare that a restriction or prohibition specified in subdivision (a) or (b) of this section is void, the court takes judicial notice of the recorded instrument or instruments containing such prohibitions or restrictions in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.
 - Sec. 6. Section 164.5 is added to the Civil Code, to read:
- The presumption that property acquired during marriage is community property does not apply to any property to which legal or equitable title is held by a person at the time of his death if the marriage during which the property was acquired was terminated by divorce more than four years prior to such death.
 - Sec. 7. Section 193 of the Civil Code is repealed.
- Sec. 8. Section 194 of the Civil Code is repealed.
 - Section 195 of the Civil Code is repealed.
- 23 SEC. 10. Section 3544 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 24 3544. A person intends the ordinary consequences of his 25 voluntary act.
 - Sec. 11. Section 3545 is added to the Civil Code, to read:
- 27 3545. Private transactions are fair and regular. 28
 - Section 3546 is added to the Civil Code, to read:
 - Things happen according to the ordinary course of nature and the ordinary habits of life.
- 31 Sec. 13. Section 3547 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 32
- A thing continues to exist as long as is usual with 33 things of that nature. 34
 - Sec. 14. Section 3548 is added to the Civil Code, to read: The law has been obeyed.
 - Section 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
- 38 1. This act shall be known as the Code of Civil Procedure, and is divided into four parts, as follows: 39
 - Part I. Of Courts of Justice.
 - II. Of Civil Actions.
 - III. Of Special Proceedings of a Civil Nature.
 - IV. Miscellaneous Provisions.
 - Sec. 16. Section 117g of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
 - 117g. No attorney at law or other person than the plaintiff and defendant shall take any part in the filing or the prosecution or defense of such litigation in the small claims court. The plaintiff and defendant shall have the right to offer evidence in their behalf by witnesses appearing at such hearing,

or at any other time. The presence of the plaintiff or defendant, whether individual or corporate, at the hearing shall not be required to permit the proof of the items of an account but 4 such proof shall be in accordance with the provisions of Sections 1270 and 1271 of the Evidence Code. The judge or justice may also informally make any investigation of the controversy 6 7 between the parties either in or out of court and give judgment and make such orders as to time of payment or otherwise 8 9 as may, by him, be deemed to be right and just. The provisions of Section 579 of the Code of Civil Procedure are hereby made 10 11

applicable to small claims court actions. Sec. 17. Section 125 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

13 amended to read:

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25 26

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

125. In an action for divorce or seduction, the court may direct the trial of any issue of fact joined therein to be private, and may exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their witnesses, and counsel. Nothing in this section prevents the exclusion of a witness pursuant to Evidence Code Section 777.

Section 153 of the Code of Civil Procedure is Sec. 18. amended to read:

- 2122 Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, the 23 seal of a court need not be affixed to any proceeding therein, 24or to any document, except:
 - 1. To a writ;
 - 2. To a summons;
 - 3. To a warrant of arrest;
- 27 28 4. To the certificate of probate of a will or of the appoint-29 ment of an executor, administrator, or guardian.

Sec. 19. Section 433 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 30 amended to read: 31

When any of the matters enumerated in Section 430 do not appear upon the face of the complaint, the objection may be taken by answer; except that when the ground of demurrer is that there is another action or proceeding pending between the same parties for the same cause and the court may take judicial notice of the other action or proceeding under Division 4 (commencing with Section 450) of the Evidence Code, an affidavit may be filed with the demurrer for the sole purpose of establishing such fact or invoking such notice.

Sec. 20. Section 631.7 is added to the Code of Civil Procedure, to read:

Ordinarily, unless the court otherwise directs, the 44 trial of a civil action tried by the court without a jury shall 45 proceed in the order specified in Section 607. 46

Sec. 21. Section 1256.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 47 48 repealed.

49 Sec. 22. Section 1747 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 50 amended to read:

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

26

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 124 of the Code of Civil Procedure, all superior court hearings or conferences in proceedings under this chapter shall be held in private and the court shall exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their counsel and witnesses. Conferences may be held with each party and his counsel separately and in the discretion of the judge, commissioner or counselor conducting the conference or hearing, counsel for one party may be excluded when the adverse party is present. All communications, verbal or written, from parties to the judge, commissioner or counselor in a proceeding under this chapter shall be deemed to be official information within the meaning of Section 1040 of the Evidence Code.

The files of the conciliation court shall be closed. The petition, supporting affidavit, reconciliation agreement and any court order made in the matter may be opened to inspection by any party or his counsel upon the written authority of the

judge of the conciliation court.

Sec. 23. The heading of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

PART IV. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

23 Section 1823 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-SEC. 24. 24pealed. 25

Section 1824 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 25.

pealed.

27 Section 1825 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 26. 28 pealed. 29

Section 1826 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 27. 30 pealed. 31

Section 1827 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 28. 32

pealed. 33

Section 1828 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 29. 34 pealed. 35

Sec. 30. 36

Section 1829 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

37 Sec. 31. 38

Section 1830 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

39 SEC. 32. 40

pealed.

Section 1831 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

41 Sec. 33. 42

Section 1832 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Section 1833 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

43 Sec. 34. 44

> pealed. Section 1834 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

45 Sec. 35. 46

47

50

pealed. Section 1836 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 36.

48 49 pealed.

> Section 1837 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 37.

51 pealed.

1 Sec. 38. Section 1838 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re- $\mathbf{2}$ pealed. 3 Sec. 39. Section 1839 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-4 pealed. 5 SEC. 40. Section 1844 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed. 7 Sec. 41. Section 1845 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed. 9 Sec. 42. Section 1845.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 10 repealed. 11 Sec. 43. Section 1846 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-12 pealed. 13 Sec. 44. Section 1847 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-14 pealed. 15 Section 1848 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 45. 16 pealed. 17 Section 1849 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 46. 18 pealed. 19 Section 1850 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 47. 20 pealed. 21 Section 1851 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 48. 22 pealed. Section 1852 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-23Sec. 49. 24pealed. 25 Sec. 50. Section 1853 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-26 pealed. Section 1854 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-27 Sec. 51. 28pealed. Section 1855 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-29 Sec. 52. pealed. 30 Sec. 53. Section 1855a of the Code of Civil Procedure is 31 32repealed. 33 Sec. 54. Section 1863 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-34 pealed. Sec. 55. Section 1867 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-35 pealed. 36 Sec. 56. Section 1868 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-37 pealed. 38 Section 1869 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 57. 39 pealed. 40 Sec. 58. Section 1870 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-41 pealed. 42 Sec. 59. Section 1871 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-43 pealed. 44 Section 1872 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 60. 45 pealed. 46 Section 1875 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 61. 47 pealed. 48 Section 1879 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-49 Sec. 62. 50 pealed.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1 Sec. 63. Section 1880 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - 3 SEC. 64. Section 1881 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-4 pealed.
 - 5 SEC. 65. Section 1883 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed.
 - 7 SEC. 66. Section 1884 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed.
- 9 Sec. 67. Section 1885 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-10 pealed.
- SEC. 68. Section 1893 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
- 13 1893. Every public officer having the custody of a public 14 writing, which a citizen has a right to inspect, is bound to give 15 him, on demand, a certified copy of it, on payment of the legal 16 fees therefor.
 - SEC. 69. Section 1901 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - SEC. 70. Section 1903 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - SEC. 71. Section 1905 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - Sec. 72. Section 1906 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - SEC. 73. Section 1907 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 27 Sec. 74. Section 1908.5 is added to the Code of Civil Pro-28 cedure, to read:
- 1908.5. When a judgment or order of a court is conclusive, the judgment or order must be alleged in the pleadings if there be an opportunity to do so; if there be no such opportunity, the judgment or order may be used as evidence.
- 33 Sec. 75. Section 1918 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-34 pealed.
- 35 Sec. 76. Section 1919 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-36 pealed.
- 37 Sec. 77. Section 1919a of the Code of Civil Procedure is 38 repealed.
- 39 Sec. 78. Section 1919b of the Code of Civil Procedure is 40 repealed.
- SEC. 79. Section 1920 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 42 pealed.
 43 Sec. 80. Section 1920a of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- 43 Sec. 80. Section 1920a of the Code of Civil Procedure is 44 repealed.
- 45 Sec. 81. Section 1920b of the Code of Civil Procedure is 46 repealed.
- 47 Sec. 82. Section 1921 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-48 pealed.
- 49 Sec. 83. Section 1922 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 50 pealed. 51 SEC. 84. Section 1923 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-52 pealed.

- 1 Sec. 85. Section 1924 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 3 Sec. 86. Section 1925 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-4 pealed.
- 5 SEC. 87. Section 1926 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed.
- 7 Sec. 88. Section 1927 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed.
- 9 Sec. 89. Section 1927.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 10 repealed.
- 11 Sec. 90. Section 1928 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 12 pealed.
- 13 Sec. 91. Article 2.1 (commencing with Section 1928.1) of 14 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure
- is repealed.
 Sec. 92. Section 1936 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 17 pealed. 18 Sec. 93. Section 1936.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- repealed.
 Sec. 94. Section 1937 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- pealed.

 SEC. 95. Section 1938 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- pealed.
 SEC. 96. Section 1939 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- SEC. 97. Section 1940 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- SEC. 98. Section 1941 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 30 Sec. 99. Section 1942 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-31 pealed.
- SEC. 100. Section 1943 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- SEC. 101. Section 1944 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 36 Sec. 102. Section 1945 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 37 repealed.
- 38 SEC. 103. Section 1946 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- repealed.
 Sec. 104. Section 1947 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- 41 repealed. 42 Sec. 105. Section 1948 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- 42 Sec. 105. Section 1948 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 43 repealed.
- SEC. 106. Section 1951 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 46 Sec. 107. Article 5 (commencing with Section 1953e) of 47 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 48 is repealed.
- SEC. 108. Article 6 (commencing with Section 1953i) of Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure
- 51 is repealed.

9

10

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

33

34

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

1 Sec. 109. Chapter 4 (consisting of Section 1954) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 110. Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 1957) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. SEC. 111. Section 1967 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

6 repealed.
7 Sec. 112. Section 1968 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

repealed.

SEC. 113. Section 1973 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 114. Section 1974 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

11 SEC. 114. Sec 12 amended to read:

13 1974. No person is liable upon a representation as to the credit of a third person, unless such representation, or some memorandum thereof, be in writing, and either subscribed by or in the handwriting of the party to be held liable.

Sec. 115. Chapter 7 (consisting of Section 1978) of Title

2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 116. Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 1980.1) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 117. Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1981) of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 118. Section 1998 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

25 SEC. 119. Section 1998.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 26 repealed.

Sec. 120. Section 1998.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

29 Sec. 121. Section 1998.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 30 repealed.

31 Sec. 122. Section 1998.4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 32 repealed.

Sec. 123. Section 1998.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

35 Sec. 124. Section 2009 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

2009. An affidavit may be used to verify a pleading or a paper in a special proceeding, to prove the service of a summons, notice, or other paper in an action or special proceeding, to obtain a provisional remedy, the examination of a witness, or a stay of proceedings, and in uncontested proceedings to establish a record of birth, or upon a motion, and in any other case expressly permitted by statute.

SEC. 125. Section 2016 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

45 amended to read:

2016. (a) Any party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition upon oral examination or written interrogatories for the purpose of discovery or for use as evidence in the action or for both purposes. Such depositions may be taken in an action at any time after the service of the summons or in a special proceeding after the service of the petition or after the appearance of the defendant or respond-

ent. After commencement of the action or proceedings, the deposition may be taken without leave of court, except that leave of court, granted with or without notice, and for good cause shown, must be obtained if the notice of the taking of the deposition is served by the plaintiff within 20 days after service of the summons or petition on, or appearance of, the defendant or respondent. The attendance of witnesses or the production of books, documents, or other things at depositions may be compelled by the use of subpoena as provided in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985), Title 3, Part 4 of this code.

(b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court as provided by subdivision (b) or (d) of Section 2019 of this code, the deponent may be examined regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the examining party, or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of relevant facts. It is not ground for objection that the testimony will be inadmissible at the trial if the testimony sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. All matters which are privileged against disclosure upon the trial under the law of this state are privileged against disclosure through any discovery procedure. This article shall not be construed to change the law of this state with respect to the existence of any privilege. whether provided for by statute or by judicial decision.

The work product of an attorney shall not be discoverable unless the court determines that denial of discovery will unfairly prejudice the party seeking discovery in preparing his claim or defense or will result in an injustice, and any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories shall not be discoverable under any circumstances.

(c) Examination and cross-examination of deponents may proceed as permitted at the trial.

(d) At the trial or upon the hearing of a motion or an interlocutory proceeding, any part or all of a deposition, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence, may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or who had due notice thereof, in accordance with any one of the following provisions:

(1) Any deposition may be used by any party for the purpose of contradicting or impeaching the testimony of deponent as a witness.

(2) The deposition of a party to the record of any civil action or proceeding or of a person for whose immediate benefit said action or proceeding is prosecuted or defended, or of anyone who at the time of taking the deposition was an officer, director, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or manag-

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$

12

13 14

15

 $\frac{16}{17}$

18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33

34

35

36

 $\frac{37}{38}$

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

 $\frac{1}{2}$

ing agent of any such party or person may be used by an adverse party for any purpose.

- (3) The deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, may be used by any party for any purpose if the court finds:
 (i) that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code or (ii) upon application and notice, that such exceptional circumstances exist as to make it desirable, in the interest of justice and with due regard to the importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses orally in open court, to allow the deposition to be used.
- (4) Subject to the requirements of this section, a party may offer in evidence all or any part of a deposition, and if such party introduces only part of such deposition, any party may introduce any other parts.

Substitution of parties does not affect the right to use depositions previously taken; and, when an action in any court of the United States or of any state has been dismissed and another action involving the same subject matter is afterward brought between the same parties or their representatives or successors in interest, all depositions lawfully taken and duly filed in the former action may be used in the latter as if originally taken therefor.

(e) Subject to the provisions of subdivision (c) of Section 2021 of this code, objection may be made at the trial or hearing to receiving in evidence any deposition or part thereof for any reason which would require the exclusion of the evidence if the witness were then present and testifying.

(f) A party shall not be deemed to make a person his own witness for any purpose by taking his deposition. Except where the deposition is used under the provisions of paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of this section, the introduction in evidence of the deposition or any part thereof for any purpose other than that of contradicting or impeaching the deponent, or for explaining or clarifying portions of the said deposition offered by an adverse party, makes the deponent the witness of the party introducing the deposition, as to the portions of the deposition introduced by said party. At the trial or hearing any party may rebut any relevant evidence contained in a deposition whether introduced by him or by another party.

(g) It is the policy of this state (i) to preserve the rights of attorneys to prepare cases for trial with that degree of privacy necessary to encourage them to prepare their cases thoroughly and to investigate not only the favorable but the unfavorable aspects of such cases and (ii) to prevent an attorney from taking undue advantage of his adversary's industry or efforts.

SEC. 126. Article 6 (commencing with Section 2042) of Chapter 3 of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 127. Title 4 (consisting of Section 2061) of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 128. Section 2065 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

3 SEC. 129. Section 2066 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 4 repealed.

5 Sec. 130. Section 2078 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 6 repealed.

7 Sec. 131. Section 2079 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 8 repealed.

Sec. 132. Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 2101) of Title 6 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Sec. 133. Section 6602 of the Corporations Code is

12 amended to read:

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{43}{44}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

6602. In any action or proceeding, the court takes judicial notice, in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code, of the official acts affecting corporations of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the state or place under the laws of which the corporation purports to be incorporated.

Sec. 134. Section 25310 of the Corporations Code is

amended to read:

25310. The commissioner shall adopt a seal bearing the inscription: "Commissioner of Corporations, State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs, orders, permits, and certificates issued by him, and to such other instruments as he directs.

SEC. 135. Section 11513 of the Government Code is

amended to read:

- 11513. (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.
- (b) Each party shall have these rights: to call and examine witnesses; to introduce exhibits; to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination; to impeach any witness regardless of which party first called him to testify; and to rebut the evidence against him. If respondent does not testify in his own behalf he may be called and examined as if under cross-examination.
- (c) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule which might make improper the admission of such evidence over objection in civil actions. Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection in civil actions. The rules of privilege shall be effective to the extent that they are otherwise required by statute to be recognized at the hearing, and irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded.

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 51 \\ 52 \end{array}$

SEC. 136. Section 19580 of the Government Code is amended to read:

3 19580. Either by deposition or at the hearing the employee 4 may be examined and may examine or cause any person to be 5 examined under Section 776 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 137. Section 3197 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

3197. In any prosecution for a violation of any provision of this article, or any rule or regulation of the board made pursuant to this article, or in any quarantine proceeding authorized by this article, or in any habeas corpus or other proceeding in which the legality of such quarantine is questioned, any physician, health officer, spouse, or other person shall be competent and may be required to testify against any person against whom such prosecution or other proceeding was instituted, and the privileges provided by Sections 970, 971, 980, 994, and 1014 of the Evidence Code are not applicable to or in any such prosecution or proceeding.

Sec. 137.5. Section 5708 of the Labor Code is amended to read:

5708. (a) All hearing and investigations before the commission, panel, a commissioner, or a referee, are governed by this division and by the rules of practice and procedure adopted by the commission. In the conduct thereof they shall not be bound by the common law or statutory rules of evidence and procedure, but may make inquiry in the manner, through oral testimony and records, which is best calculated to ascertain the substantial rights of the parties and carry out justly the spirit and provisions of this division. All oral testimony, objections, and rulings shall be taken down in shorthand by a competent phonographic reporter.

(b) Except as provided in subdivision (c), the Evidence Code does not apply to the hearings and investigations de-

scribed in subdivision (a).

(c) The rules of privilege provided by Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) of the Evidence Code shall be recognized in such hearings and investigations to the extent they are required by Division 8 to be recognized, but subdivision (b) of Section 914 of the Evidence Code does not apply in such hearings and investigations.

SEC. 138. Section 270e of the Penal Code is amended to

42 read:

270e. No other evidence shall be required to prove marriage of husband and wife, or that a person is the lawful father or mother of a child or children, than is or shall be required to prove such facts in a civil action. In all prosecutions under either Section 270a or 270 of this code, Sections 970, 971, and 980 of the Evidence Code do not apply, and both husband and wife shall be competent to testify to any and all relevant matters, including the fact of marriage and the parentage of a child or children. Proof of the abandonment and nonsupport of a wife, or of the omission to furnish necessary

food, clothing, shelter, or of medical attendance for a child or 1 children is prima facie evidence that such abandonment and 3 nonsupport or omission to furnish necessary food, clothing, 4 shelter or medical attendance is wilful. In any prosecution under Section 270, it shall be competent for the people to prove 5 6 nonaccess of husband to wife or any other fact establishing nonpaternity of a husband. In any prosecution pursuant to 7 8 Section 270, the final establishment of paternity or nonpater-9 nity in another proceeding shall be admissible as evidence of paternity or nonpaternity. 10 11

Sec. 139. Section 686 of the Penal Code is amended to

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 26 27

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

686. In a criminal action the defendant is entitled:

1. To a speedy and public trial.

2. To be allowed counsel as in civil actions, or to appear and defend in person and with counsel.

- 3. To produce witnesses on his behalf and to be confronted with the witnesses against him, in the presence of the court, except that:
- (a) Hearsay evidence may be admitted to the extent that it is otherwise admissible in a criminal action under the law of this state.
 - (b) The deposition of a witness taken in the action may be read to the extent that it is otherwise admissible under the law of this state.

SEC. 140. Section 688 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

28No person charged with a public offense may be 29 subjected, before conviction, to any more restraint than is 30 necessary for his detention to answer the charge. 31

Sec. 141. Section 939.6 of the Penal Code is amended to

32 read: 33

- (a) Subject to subdivision (b), in the investigation of a charge, the grand jury shall receive no other evidence than such as is:
- (1) Given by witnesses produced and sworn before the grand jury;

(2) Furnished by writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses; or

(3) Contained in a deposition that is admissible under subdivision 3 of Section 686

(b) The grand jury shall receive none but evidence that would be admissible over objection at the trial of a criminal action, but the fact that evidence which would have been excluded at trial was received by the grand jury does not render the indictment void where sufficient competent evidence to support the indictment was received by the grand jury.

SEC. 142. Section 961 of the Penal Code is amended to

49 read:

 $\frac{41}{42}$

9

1 961. Neither presumptions of law, nor matters of which judicial notice is authorized or required to be taken, need be stated in an accusatory pleading.

SEC. 143. Section 963 of the Penal Code is amended to

 $\mathbf{read}:$

963. In pleading a private statute, or an ordinance of a county or a municipal corporation, or a right derived therefrom, it is sufficient to refer to the statute or ordinance by its title and the day of its passage, and the court must thereupon take judicial notice thereof in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 144. Section 1120 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1120. If a juror has any personal knowledge respecting a fact in controversy in a cause, he must declare the same in open court during the trial. If, during the retirement of the jury, a juror declare a fact which could be evidence in the cause, as of his own knowledge, the jury must return into court. In either of these cases, the juror making the statement must be sworn as a witness and examined in the presence of the parties in order that the court may determine whether good cause exists for his discharge as a juror.

SEC. 145. Section 1322 of the Penal Code is repealed. SEC. 146. Section 1323 of the Penal Code is repealed.

Sec. 147. Section 1323.5 of the Penal Code is repealed.

SEC. 148. Section 1345 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1345. The deposition, or a certified copy thereof, may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question or answer contained in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

35 Sec. 149. Section 1362 of the Penal Code is amended to 36 read:

1362. The depositions taken under the commission may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question in the interrogatories or to an answer in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

Sec. 150. Section 306 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

306. The office of the commission shall be in the City and County of San Francisco. The office shall always be open, legal holidays and nonjudicial days excepted. The commission shall hold its sessions at least once in each calendar month in the City and County of San Francisco. The commission may also meet at such other times and in such other places as may be

expedient and necessary for the proper performance of its duties, and for that purpose may rent quarters or offices. Except for the commission's deliberative conferences, the sessions and meetings of the commission shall be open and public and all persons shall be permitted to attend.

The commission shall have a seal, bearing the inscription "Public Utilities Commission State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs and authentications of copies of records and to such other instruments as the commission shall direct.

The commission may procure all necessary books, maps, charts, stationery, instruments, office furniture, apparatus, and appliances.

SEC. 151. Sections 2 to 150 of this act shall become operative on January 1, 1967.

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 23, 1965 AMENDED IN SENATE FEBRUARY 24, 1965 AMENDED IN SENATE FEBRUARY 18, 1965

SENATE BILL

No. 110

Introduced by Senator Cobey (Coauthor: Assemblyman Song)

January 14, 1965

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

An act to establish an Evidence Code, thereby consolidating and revising the law relating to evidence; amending various sections of the Business and Professions Code, Civil Code, Code of Civil Procedure, Corporations Code, Government Code, Health and Safety Code, Labor Code, Penal Code, and Public Utilities Code to make them consistent therewith; adding Sections 164.5, 3544, 3545, 3546, 3547, and 3548 to the Civil Code; adding Sections 631.7 and 1908.5 to the Code of Civil Procedure; and repealing legislation inconsistent therewith.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. This act shall be known as the Cobey-Song Evidence Act.

SECTION 1.

SEC. 2. The Evidence Code is enacted, to read:

EVIDENCE CODE

DIVISION 1. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS AND CONSTRUCTION

1. This code shall be known as the Evidence Code.

2. The rule of the common law, that statutes in derogation thereof are to be strictly construed, has no application to this code. This code establishes the law of this state respecting the subject to which it relates, and its provisions are to be liberally construed with a view to effecting its objects and promoting justice.

16 17

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

8

9

10

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

13

 $\frac{14}{15}$

16

17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

- 3. If any provision or clause of this code or application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the code which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this code are declared to be severable.
 - 4. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these preliminary provisions and rules of construction shall govern the construction of this code.
 - 5. Division, chapter, article, and section headings do not in any manner affect the scope, meaning, or intent of the provisions of this code.
 - 6. Whenever any reference is made to any portion of this code or of any other statute, such reference shall apply to all amendments and additions heretofore or hereafter made.
 - 7. Unless otherwise expressly stated:
 - (a) "Division" means a division of this code.
 - (b) "Chapter" means a chapter of the division in which that term occurs.
 - (c) "Article" means an article of the chapter in which that term occurs.
 - (d) "Section" means a section of this code.
 - (e) "Subdivision" means a subdivision of the section in which that term occurs.
 - (f) "Paragraph" means a paragraph of the subdivision in which that term occurs.
 - 8. The present tense includes the past and future tenses; and the future, the present.
 - 9. The masculine gender includes the feminine and neuter.
 10. The singular number includes the plural; and the plural, the singular.
 - 11. "Shall" is mandatory and "may" is permissive.
 - 12. (a) This code shall become operative on January 1, 1967, and shall govern proceedings in actions brought on or after that date and, except as provided in subdivision (b), further proceedings in actions pending on that date.
 - (b) Subject to subdivision (c), a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, shall not be governed by this code. For the purpose of this subdivision:
 - (1) A trial is commenced when the first witness is sworn or the first exhibit is admitted into evidence and is terminated when the issue upon which such evidence is received is submitted to the trier of fact. A new trial, or a separate trial of a different issue, commenced on or after January 1, 1967, shall be governed by this code.
 - (2) If an appeal is taken from a ruling made at a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, the appellate court shall apply the law applicable at the time of the commencement of the trial.
 - (e) The provisions of Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) relating to privileges shall govern any claim of privilege made after December 31, 1966.

DIVISION 2. WORDS AND PHRASES DEFINED

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49 50

51

52

Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these definitions govern the construction of this code.

"Action" includes a civil action and a criminal action.

"Burden of producing evidence" means the obligation of a party to introduce evidence sufficient to avoid a ruling against him on the issue.

"Burden of proof" means the obligation of a party to establish by evidence a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court. The burden of proof may require a party to raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Except as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

"Civil action" includes civil proceedings.

"Conduct" includes all active and passive behavior, 20 21 both verbal and nonverbal.

130. "Criminal action" includes criminal proceedings. "Declarant" is a person who makes a statement. **1**35.

"Evidence" means testimony, writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

"The hearing" means the hearing at which a question 145. under this code arises, and not some earlier or later hearing.

"Hearsay evidence" is defined in Section 1200. **150**.

"Law" includes constitutional, statutory, and de-160. cisional law.

"Oath" includes affirmation or declaration under penalty of perjury.

"Perceive" means to acquire knowledge through one's **170.** senses.

"Person" includes a natural person, firm, association, 36 organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public 37 entity. 38

180. "Personal property" includes money, goods, chattels, things in action, and evidences of debt.

"Property" includes both real and personal property.

"Proof" is the establishment by evidence of a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court.

"Public employee" means an officer, agent, or em-

ployee of a public entity.

"Public entity" includes a nation, state, county, city and county, city, district, public authority, public agency, or any other political subdivision or public corporation, whether foreign or domestic.

"Real property" includes lands, tenements, and her-MJN 3397

editaments.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 **40**

 $\frac{41}{42}$

43

44 45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

51

210. "Relevant evidence" means evidence, including evidence relevant to the credibility of a witness or hearsay declarant, having any tendency in reason to prove or disprove any disputed fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action.

220. "State" means the State of California, unless applied to the different parts of the United States. In the latter case, it includes any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or

insular possession of the United States.

225. "Statement" means (a) oral or written verbal expression or (b) nonverbal conduct of a person intended by him as a substitute for oral or written verbal expression.

230. "Statute" includes a treaty and a constitutional pro-

14 vision.

235. "Trier of fact" includes (a) the jury and (b) the court when the court is trying an issue of fact other than one relating to the admissibility of evidence.

240. (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b),

"unavailable as a witness" means that the declarant is:

(1) Exempted or precluded on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the matter to which his statement is relevant;

(2) Disqualified from testifying to the matter;

(3) Dead or unable to attend or to testify at the hearing because of then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity;

(4) Absent from the hearing and the court is unable to

compel his attendance by its process; or

(5) Absent from the hearing and the proponent of his statement has exercised reasonable diligence but has been unable

to procure his attendance by the court's process.

(b) A declarant is not unavailable as a witness if the exemption, preclusion, disqualification, death, inability, or absence of the declarant was brought about by the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of his statement for the purpose of preventing the declarant from attending or testifying.

250. "Writing" means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds,

or symbols, or combinations thereof.

DIVISION 3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER 1. APPLICABILITY OF CODE

300. Except as otherwise provided by statute, this code applies in every action before the Supreme Court or a district court of appeal, superior court, municipal court, or justice court, including proceedings in such actions conducted by a referee, court commissioner, or similar officer, but does not apply in grand jury proceedings.

$1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4$

CHAPTER 2. PROVINCE OF COURT AND JURY

310. (a) All questions of law (including but not limited to questions concerning the construction of statutes and other

writings, the admissibility of evidence, and other rules of evidence) are to be decided by the court. Determination of issues of fact preliminary to the admission of evidence are to be decided by the court as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 400) of Chapter 4.

(b) Determination of the law of an organization of nations or of the law of a foreign nation or a public entity in a foreign nation is a question of law to be determined in the manner provided in Division 4 (commencing with Section 450).

311. If the law of an organization of nations, a foreign nation or a state other than this state, or a public entity in a foreign nation or a state other than this state, is applicable and such law cannot be determined, the court may, as the ends of justice require, either:

(a) Apply the law of this state if the court can do so consistently with the Constitution of the United States and the

Constitution of this state; or

(b) Dismiss the action without prejudice or, in the case of a reviewing court, remand the case to the trial court with directions to dismiss the action without prejudice.

312. Except as otherwise provided by law, where the trial is

by jury:

(a) All questions of fact are to be decided by the jury.

(b) Subject to the control of the court, the jury is to determine the effect and value of the evidence addressed to it, including the credibility of witnesses and hearsay declarants.

$\frac{30}{31}$

CHAPTER 3. ORDER OF PROOF

320. Except as otherwise provided by law, the court in its discretion shall regulate the order of proof.

CHAPTER 4. ADMITTING AND EXCLUDING EVIDENCE

Article 1. General Provisions

 $\frac{46}{47}$

350. No evidence is admissible except relevant evidence. 351. Except as otherwise provided by statute, all relevant

351. Except as oth evidence is admissible.

352. The court in its discretion may exclude evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will (a) necessitate undue consumption of time or (b) create substantial danger of undue prejudice, of confusing the issues, or of misleading the jury.

353. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason of the erroneous admission of evidence unless:

(a) There appears of record an objection to or a motion to exclude or to strike the evidence that was timely made and so stated as to make clear the specific ground of the objection or motion; and

(b) The court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the admitted evidence should have been excluded on the ground stated and that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice.

354. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason of the erroneous exclusion of evidence unless the court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice and it appears of record that:

(a) The substance, purpose, and relevance of the excluded evidence was made known to the court by the questions asked,

17 an offer of proof, or by any other means;

(b) The rulings of the court made compliance with subdivision (a) futile; or

(c) The evidence was sought by questions asked during

cross-examination or re-cross-examination.

355. When evidence is admissible as to one party or for one purpose and is inadmissible as to another party or for another purpose, the court upon request shall restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.

356. Where part of an act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence by one party, the whole on the same subject may be inquired into by an adverse party; when a letter is read, the answer may be given; and when a detached act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence, any other act, declaration, conversation, or writing which is necessary to make it understood may also be given in evidence.

Article 2. Preliminary Determinations on Admissibility of Evidence

400. As used in this article, "preliminary fact" means a fact upon the existence or nonexistence of which depends the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence. The phrase "the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence" includes the qualification or disqualification of a person to be a witness and the existence or nonexistence of a privilege.

401. As used in this article, "proffered evidence" means evidence, the admissibility or inadmissibility of which is dependent upon the existence or nonexistence of a preliminary

fact.

402. (a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, its existence or nonexistence shall be determined as provided in this article.

(b) The court may hear and determine the question of the admissibility of evidence out of the presence or hearing of the jury; but in a criminal action, the court shall hear and deter-

mine the question of the admissibility of a confession or admission of the defendant out of the presence and hearing of the jury if any party so requests.

(c) A ruling on the admissibility of evidence implies whatever finding of fact is prerequisite thereto; a separate or

formal finding is unnecessary unless required by statute.

403. (a) The proponent of the proffered evidence has the burden of producing evidence as to the existence of the preliminary fact, and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless the court finds that there is evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the existence of the preliminary fact, when:

(1) The relevance of the proffered evidence depends on the

existence of the preliminary fact;

(2) The preliminary fact is the personal knowledge of a witness concerning the subject matter of his testimony;

(3) The preliminary fact is the authenticity of a writing; or

(4) The proffered evidence is of a statement or other conduct of a particular person and the preliminary fact is whether that person made the statement or so conducted himself.

(b) Subject to Section 702, the court may admit conditionally the proffered evidence under this section, subject to evidence of the preliminary fact being supplied later in the course of the trial.

(c) If the court admits the proffered evidence under this

section, the court:

 $\frac{45}{46}$

 $\frac{50}{51}$

(1) May, and on request shall, instruct the jury to determine whether the preliminary fact exists and to disregard the proffered evidence unless the jury finds that the preliminary fact does exist.

(2) Shall instruct the jury to disregard the proffered evidence if the court subsequently determines that a jury could

not reasonably find that the preliminary fact exists.

404. Whenever the proffered evidence is claimed to be privileged under Section 940, the person claiming the privilege has the burden of showing that the proffered evidence might tend to incriminate him; and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless it clearly appears to the court that the proffered evidence cannot possibly have a tendency to incriminate the person claiming the privilege.

405. With respect to preliminary fact determinations not

governed by Section 403 or 404:

(a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, the court shall indicate which party has the burden of producing evidence and the burden of proof on the issue as implied by the rule of law under which the question arises. The court shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the preliminary fact and shall admit or exclude the proffered evidence as required by the rule of law under which the question arises.

(b) If a preliminary fact is also a fact in issue in the action:

(1) The jury shall not be informed of the court's determination as to the existence or nonexistence of the preliminary fact.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

22

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

28

29

30

31

 $\frac{32}{33}$

37

 $\frac{44}{45}$

46

47

48

49

50

- (e) The true signification of all English words and phrases and of all legal expressions.
- (f) Facts and propositions of generalized knowledge that are so universally known that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.
- 452. Judicial notice may be taken of the following matters to the extent that they are not embraced within Section 451:
- (a) The decisional, constitutional, and statutory law of any state of the United States and the resolutions and private acts of the Congress of the United States and of the Legislature of this state.
- (b) Regulations and legislative enactments issued by or under the authority of the United States or any public entity in the United States.
- (c) Official acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state of the United States.
- (d) Records of (1) any court of this state or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States.
- (e) Rules of court of (1) any court of this State or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States.
- (f) The law of an organization of nations and of foreign nations and public entities in foreign nations.
- (g) Facts and propositions that are of such common knowledge within the territorial jurisdiction of the court that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.
- (h) Facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy.
- 453. The trial court shall take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452 if a party requests it and:
- 34 (a) Gives each adverse party sufficient notice of the request, 35 through the pleadings or otherwise, to enable such adverse 36 party to prepare to meet the request; and
 - (b) Furnishes the court with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter.
- 38 able it to take judicial notice of the matter.
 39 454. (a) In determining the propriety of taking judicial
 40 notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof:
- 41 (a) (1) Any source of pertinent information, including the 42 advice of persons learned in the subject matter, may be consulted or used, whether or not furnished by a party.
 - (b) (2) Exclusionary rules of evidence do not apply except for Section 352 and the rules of privilege.
 - (b) Where the subject of judicial notice is the law of an organization of nations, a foreign nation, or a public entity in a foreign nation and the court resorts to the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, such advice, if not received in open court, shall be in writing.

455. With respect to any matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action:

(a) If the trial court has been requested to take or has taken or proposes to take judicial notice of such matter, the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity, before the jury is instructed or before the cause is submitted for decision by the court, to present to the court information relevant to (1) the propriety of taking judicial notice of the matter and (2) the tenor of the matter to be noticed.

(b) If the trial court resorts to any source of information not received in open court, including the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, such information and its source shall be made a part of the record in the action and the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

456. If the trial court denies a request to take judicial notice of any matter, the court shall at the earliest practicable time so advise the parties and indicate for the record that it has denied the request.

nas defined the request.

457. If a matter judicially noticed is a matter which would otherwise have been for determination by the jury, the trial court may, and upon request shall, instruct the jury to accept as a fact the matter so noticed.

458. The failure or refusal of the trial court to take judicial notice of a matter, or to instruct the jury with respect to the matter, does not preclude the trial court in subsequent proceedings in the action from taking judicial notice of the matter in accordance with the procedure specified in this division.

459. (a) The reviewing court shall take judicial notice of (1) each matter properly noticed by the trial court and (2) each matter that the trial court was required to notice under Section 451 or 453. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of a matter in a tenor different from that noticed by the trial court.

(b) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof, the reviewing court has the same power as the trial court under Section 454.

(c) When taking judicial notice under this section of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, the reviewing court shall comply with the provisions of subdivision (a) of Section 455 if the matter was not theretofore judicially noticed in the action.

(d) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, or the tenor thereof, if the reviewing court resorts to any source of information not received in open court or not included in the record of the action, including the

 $\frac{2}{3}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

 advice of persons learned in the subject matter, the reviewing court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

460. Where the advice of persons learned in the subject matter is required in order to enable the court to take judicial notice of a matter, the court on its own motion or on motion of any party may appoint one or more such persons to provide such advice. If the court determines to appoint such a person, he shall be appointed and compensated in the manner provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3 of Division 6.

DIVISION 5. BURDEN OF PROOF; BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE; PRESUMPTIONS AND INFERENCES

CHAPTER 1. BURDEN OF PROOF

Article 1. General

- 500. Except as otherwise provided by law, a party has the burden of proof as to each fact the existence or nonexistence of which is essential to the claim for relief or defense that he is asserting.
- 501. Insofar as any statute, except Section 522, assigns the burden of proof in a criminal action, such statute is subject to Penal Code Section 1096.
- 502. The court on all proper occasions shall instruct the jury as to which party bears the burden of proof on each issue and as to whether that burden requires that a party raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Article 2. Burden of Proof on Specific Issues

- 520. The party claiming that a person is guilty of crime or wrongdoing has the burden of proof on that issue.
- 521. The party claiming that a person did not exercise a requisite degree of care has the burden of proof on that issue.
- 522. The party claiming that any person, including himself, is or was insane has the burden of proof on that issue.

CHAPTER 2. BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE

550. (a) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is on the party against whom a finding on that fact would be required in the absence of further evidence.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

17 .

(b) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is initially on the party with the burden of proof as to that fact.

CHAPTER 3. Presumptions and Inferences

Article 1. General

(a) Subject to Section 607, a A presumption is an assumption of fact that the law requires to be made from another fact or group of facts found or otherwise established in the action. A presumption is not evidence.

(b) An inference is a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from another fact or group of facts

found or otherwise established in the action.

601. A presumption is either conclusive or rebuttable. Every rebuttable presumption is either (a) a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence or (b) a presumption affecting the burden of proof.

602. A statute providing that a fact or group of facts is prima facie evidence of another fact establishes a rebuttable

presumption.

603. A presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is a presumption established to implement no public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the particular action in which the presumption is applied.

604. Subject to Section 607, the The effect of a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is to require the trier of fact to assume the existence of the presumed fact unless and until evidence is introduced which would support a finding of its nonexistence, in which case the trier of fact shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the presumed fact from the evidence and without regard to the presumption. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the drawing of any inference that may be appropriate.

A presumption affecting the burden of proof is a presumption established to implement some public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the particular action in which the presumption is applied, such as the policy in favor of the legitimacy of children, the validity of marriage, the stability of titles to property, or the security of those who entrust themselves or their property to the administration of others.

Subject to Section 607, the The effect of a presump-606.tion affecting the burden of proof is to impose upon the party against whom it operates the burden of proof as to the nonexistence of the presumed fact.

When a rebuttable presumption operates in a criminal action to establish an element of the crime with which the defendant is charged, neither the burden of producing evidence nor the burden of proof is imposed upon the defendant; but, if the trier of fact finds that the facts that give rise to

11 12

13 14 15

16

21 22 23

25 2627

24

28 29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37 38

39

40 41 42

43 44

45 46

47 48 49

50 51 the presumption have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, the trier of fact may but is not required to find that the presumed fact has also been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

When a presumption affecting the burden of proof operates in a criminal action to establish presumptively any fact that is essential to the defendant's guilt, the presumption operates only if the facts that give rise to the presumption have been found or otherwise established beyond a reasonable doubt and, in such case, the defendant need only raise a reasonable doubt as to the existence of the presumed fact.

Article 2. Conclusive Presumptions

620.The presumptions established by this article, and all other presumptions declared by law to be conclusive, are conclusive presumptions.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the issue of a wife cohabiting with her husband, who is not impotent,

is conclusively presumed to be legitimate.

The facts recited in a written instrument are conclusively presumed to be true as between the parties thereto, or their successors in interest; but this rule does not apply to the recital of a consideration.

Whenever a party has, by his own statement or conduct, intentionally and deliberately led another to believe a particular thing true and to act upon such belief, he is not, in any litigation arising out of such statement or conduct, permitted to contradict it.

A tenant is not permitted to deny the title of his landlord at the time of the commencement of the relation.

Article 3. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Producing Evidence

The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 603, are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

Money delivered by one to another is presumed to

have been due to the latter.

A thing delivered by one to another is presumed to have belonged to the latter.

An obligation delivered up to the debtor is presumed to have been paid.

A person in possession of an order on himself for the payment of money, or delivery of a thing, is presumed to have paid the money or delivered the thing accordingly.

635. An obligation possessed by the creditor is presumed

not to have been paid.

The payment of earlier rent or installments is presumed from a receipt for later rent or installments

MJN 3406

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

3233

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

1 The things which a person possesses are presumed to 2 be owned by him.

A person who exercises acts of ownership over prop-

4 erty is presumed to be the owner of it.

5 A judgment, when not conclusive, is presumed to cor-6 rectly determine or set forth the rights of the parties, but there is no presumption that the facts essential to the judg-8 ment have been correctly determined. 9

A writing is presumed to have been truly dated.

A letter correctly addressed and properly mailed is presumed to have been received in the ordinary course of mail.

A trustee or other person, whose duty it was to convey real property to a particular person, is presumed to have actually conveyed to him when such presumption is necessary to perfect title of such person or his successor in interest.

A deed or will or other writing purporting to create, terminate, or affect an interest in real or personal property is

presumed to be authentic if it:

(a) Is at least 30 years old;

(b) Is in such condition as to create no suspicion concerning its authenticity;

(c) Was kept, or if found was found, in a place where such writing, if authentic, would be likely to be kept or found; and

(d) Has been generally acted upon as authentic by persons

having an interest in the matter.

A book, purporting to be printed or published by public authority, is presumed to have been so printed or published.

A book, purporting to contain reports of cases adjudged in the tribunals of the state or nation where the book is published, is presumed to contain correct reports of such cases.

Article 4. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Proof

The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 605, are presumptions affecting

the burden of proof.

A child of a woman who is or has been married, born during the marriage or within 300 days after the dissolution thereof, is presumed to be a legitimate child of that marriage. This presumption may be disputed only by the people of the State of California in a criminal action brought under Section 270 of the Penal Code or by the husband or wife, or the descendant of one or both of them. In a civil action, this presumption may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.

The owner of the legal title to property is presumed to be the owner of the full beneficial title. This presumption

may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.

A ceremonial marriage is presumed to be valid.

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 **4**9

50

51

15 .

664. It is presumed that official duty has been regularly performed. This presumption does not apply on an issue as to 3 the lawfulness of an arrest if it is found or otherwise estab-4 lished that the arrest was made without a warrant.

665. A person is presumed to intend the ordinary consequences of his voluntary act. This presumption is inapplicable in a criminal action to establish the specific intent of the defendant where specific intent is an element of the crime charged.

666. Any court of this State or the United States, or any court of general jurisdiction in any other state or nation, or any judge of such a court, acting as such, is presumed to have acted in the lawful exercise of its jurisdiction. This presumption applies only when the act of the court or judge is under collateral attack.

667. A person not heard from in seven years is presumed to be dead.

668. An unlawful intent is presumed from the doing of an unlawful act. This presumption is inapplicable in a criminal action to establish the specific intent of the defendant where specific intent is an element of the crime charged.

DIVISION 6. WITNESSES

CHAPTER 1. COMPETENCY

700. Except as otherwise provided by statute, every person is qualified to be a witness and no person is disqualified to testify to any matter.

701. A person is disqualified to be a witness if he is:

(a) Incapable of expressing himself concerning the matter so as to be understood, either directly or through interpretation by one who can understand him; or

(b) Incapable of understanding the duty of a witness to tell the truth.

- 702.(a) Subject to Section 801, the testimony of a witness concerning a particular matter is inadmissible unless he has personal knowledge of the matter. Against the objection of a party, such personal knowledge must be shown before the witness may testify concerning the matter.
- (b) A witness' personal knowledge of a matter may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his own testimony.
- (a) Before the judge presiding at the trial of an action may be called to testify in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings held out of the presence and hearing of the jury, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.
- (b) Against the objection of a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may not testify in that trial as a

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

witness. Upon such objection, the judge shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial before another judge.

(c) The calling of the judge presiding at a trial to testify in that trial as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a judge shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.

(d) In the absence of objection by a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may testify in that trial as

a witness.

- 704. (a) Before a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be called to testify before the jury in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings conducted by the court out of the presence and hearing of the remaining jurors, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.
- (b) Against the objection of a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may not testify before the jury in that trial as a witness. Upon such objection, the court shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial before another jury.
- (c) The calling of a juror to testify before the jury as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a juror shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.
- (d) In the absence of objection by a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be compelled to testify in that trial as a witness.

CHAPTER 2. OATH AND CONFRONTATION

- 710. Every witness before testifying shall take an oath or make an affirmation or declaration in the form provided by law.
- 711. At the trial of an action, a witness can be heard only in the presence and subject to the examination of all the parties to the action, if they choose to attend and examine.

CHAPTER 3. EXPERT WITNESSES

Article 1. Expert Witnesses Generally

720. (a) A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert.

(b) A witness' special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be shown by any otherwise admissible

evidence, including his own testimony.

721. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), a witness testifying as an expert may be cross-examined to the same extent as

any other witness and, in addition, may be fully cross-examined as to (1) his qualifications, (2) the subject to which his expert testimony relates, and (3) the matter upon which his opinion is based and the reasons for his opinion.

(b) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies in the form of an opinion, he may not be cross-examined in regard to the content or tenor of any scientific, technical, or professional

text, treatise, journal, or similar publication unless:

(1) The witness referred to, considered, or relied upon such publication in arriving at or forming his opinion; or

(2) Such publication has been admitted in evidence.

(a) The fact of the appointment of an expert witness by the court may be revealed to the trier of fact.

(b) The compensation and expenses paid or to be paid to an expert witness by the party calling him is a proper subject of inquiry by any adverse party as relevant to the credibility of the witness and the weight of his testimony.

The court may, at any time before or during the trial of an action, limit the number of expert witnesses to be called

by any party.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Article 2. Appointment of Expert Witness by Court

- When it appears to the court, at any time before or during the trial of an action, that expert evidence is or may be required by the court or by any party to the action, the court on its own motion or on motion of any party may appoint one or more experts to investigate, to render a report as may be ordered by the court, and to testify as an expert at the trial of the action relative to the fact or matter as to which such expert evidence is or may be required. The court may fix the compensation for such services, if any, rendered by any person appointed under this section, in addition to any service as a witness, at such amount as seems reasonable to the court.
- (a) In all criminal actions and juvenile court proceedings, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall be a charge against the county in which such action or proceeding is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.
- (b) In any county in which the board of supervisors so provides, the compensation fixed under Section 730 for medical experts in civil actions in such county shall be a charge against and paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.
- (c) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in all civil actions, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall, in the first instance, be apportioned and charged to the several parties in such proportion as the court may determine and may thereafter be taxed and allowed in like manner as other costs.

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

732. Any expert appointed by the court under Section 730 may be called and examined by the court or by any party to the action. When such witness is called and examined by the court, the parties have the same right as is expressed in Section 775 to cross-examine the witness and to object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced.

733. Nothing contained in this article shall be deemed or construed to prevent any party to any action from producing other expert evidence on the same fact or matter mentioned in Section 730; but, where other expert witnesses are called by a party to the action, their fees shall be paid by the party calling them and only ordinary witness fees shall be taxed as costs in the action.

CHAPTER 4. INTERPRETERS AND TRANSLATORS

750. A person who serves as an interpreter or translator in any action is subject to all the rules of law relating to witnesses.

751. (a) An interpreter shall take an oath that he will make a true interpretation to the witness in a language that the witness understands and that he will make a true interpretation of the witness' answers to questions to counsel, court, or jury, in the English language, with his best skill and judgment.

(b) A translator shall take an oath that he will make a true translation in the English language of any writing he is to decipher or translate.

752. (a) When a witness is incapable of hearing or understanding the English language or is incapable of expressing himself in the English language so as to be understood directly by counsel, court, and jury, an interpreter whom he can understand and who can understand him shall be sworn to interpret for him.

(b) The interpreter may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3.

753. (a) When the written characters in a writing offered in evidence are incapable of being deciphered or understood directly, a translator who can decipher the characters or understand the language shall be sworn to decipher or translate the writing.

(b) The translator may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3.

754. (a) As used in this section, "deaf person" means a person with a hearing loss so great as to prevent his understanding language spoken in a normal tone.

(b) In any criminal action where the defendant is a deaf person, all of the proceedings of the trial shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.

- (c) In any action where the mental condition of a deaf person is being considered and where such person may be committed to a mental institution, all of the court proceedings pertaining to him shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.

 (d) Interpreters appointed under this section shall be paid
 - (d) Interpreters appointed under this section shall be paid for their services a reasonable sum to be determined by the court, which shall be a charge against the county in which such action is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.

8

9

10

CHAPTER 5. METHOD AND SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

14 15

Article 1. Definitions

16 17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27

28

29 30 760. "Direct examination" is the first examination of a witness upon a matter that is not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.

761. "Cross-examination" is the examination of a witness by a party other than the direct examiner upon a matter that is within the scope of the direct examination of the witness.

762. "Redirect examination" is an examination of a witness by the direct examiner subsequent to the cross-examina-

tion of the witness.

763. "Re-cross-examination" is an examination of a witness by a cross-examiner subsequent to a redirect examination of the witness.

764. A "leading question" is a question that suggests to the witness the answer that the examining party desires.

31 32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

 $\frac{42}{43}$

 $\frac{44}{45}$

46 47

 $\frac{48}{49}$

50

Article 2. Examination of Witnesses

- 765. The court shall exercise reasonable control over the mode of interrogation of a witness so as (a) to make such interrogation as rapid, as distinct, and as effective for the ascertainment of the truth, as may be, and (b) to protect the witness from undue harassment or embarrassment.
- 766. A witness must give responsive answers to questions, and answers that are not responsive shall be stricken on motion of any party.

767. Except under special circumstances where the interests of justice otherwise require:

- (a) A leading question may not be asked of a witness on direct or redirect examination.
- (b) A leading question may be asked of a witness on cross-examination or re-cross-examination.
- 768. (a) In examining a witness concerning a writing, it is not necessary to show, read, or disclose to him any part of the writing.

3

4

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 52

(b) If a writing is shown to a witness, all parties to the action must be given an opportunity to inspect it before any question concerning it may be asked of the witness.

In examining a witness concerning a statement or other conduct by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing, it is not necessary to disclose to him any information concerning the statement or other conduct.

770. Unless the interests of justice otherwise require, extrinsic evidence of a statement made by a witness that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing shall be excluded unless:

(a) The witness was so examined while testifying as to give him an opportunity to explain or to deny the statement; or

(b) The witness has not been excused from giving further

testimony in the action.

- 771. (a) Subject to subdivision (c), if a witness, either while testifying or prior thereto, uses a writing to refresh his memory with respect to any matter about which he testifies, such writing must be produced at the hearing at the request of an adverse party and, unless the writing is so produced, the testimony of the witness concerning such matter shall be stricken.
- (b) If the writing is produced at the hearing, the adverse party may, if he chooses, inspect the writing, cross-examine the witness concerning it, and introduce it in evidence in evidence such portion of it as may be pertinent to the testimony of the witness.
- (c) Production of the writing is excused, and the testimony of the witness shall not be stricken, if the writing:
- (1) Is not in the possession or control of the witness or the party who produced his testimony concerning the matter; and

(2) Was not reasonably procurable by such party through

32 the use of the court's process or other available means. 33

(a) The examination of a witness shall proceed in the following phases: direct examination, cross-examination, redirect examination, re-cross-examination, and continuing thereafter by redirect and re-cross-examination.

(b) Unless for good cause the court otherwise directs, each phase of the examination of a witness must be concluded be-

fore the succeeding phase begins.

(c) Subject to subdivision (d), a party may, in the discretion of the court, interrupt his cross-examination, redirect examination, or re-cross-examination of a witness, in order to examine the witness upon a matter not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.

(d) If the witness is the defendant in a criminal action, the witness may not, without his consent, be examined under

direct examination by another party.

(a) A witness examined by one party may be crossexamined upon any matter within the scope of the direct examination by each other party to the action in such order as the court directs.

5

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

- (b) The cross-examination of a witness by any party whose interest is not adverse to the party calling him is subject to the same rules that are applicable to the direct examination.
- 774. A witness once examined cannot be reexamined as to the same matter without leave of the court, but he may be reexamined as to any new matter upon which he has been examined by another party to the action. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.
- 775. The court, on its own motion or on the motion of any party, may call witnesses and interrogate them the same as if they had been produced by a party to the action, and the parties may object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced the same as if such witnesses were called and examined by an adverse party. Such witnesses may be cross-examined by all parties to the action in such order as the court directs.
- 776. (a) A party to the record of any civil action, or a person identified with such a party, may be called and examined as if under cross-examination by any adverse party at any time during the presentation of evidence by the party calling the witness.
- (b) A witness examined by a party under this section may be cross-examined by all other parties to the action in such order as the court directs; but the witness may be examined only as if under redirect examination by:
- (1) In the case of a witness who is a party, his own counsel and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the witness.
- (2) In the case of a witness who is not a party, counsel for the party with whom the witness is identified and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the party with whom the witness is identified.
- (c) For the purpose of this section, parties represented by the same counsel are deemed to be a single party.
- (d) For the purpose of this section, a person is identified with a party if he is:
- (1) A person for whose immediate benefit the action is prosecuted or defended by the party.
- (2) A director, officer, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or managing agent of the party or of a person specified in paragraph (1), or any public employee of a public entity when such public entity is the party.
- (3) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time of the act or omission giving rise to the cause of action.
- (4) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time he obtained knowledge of the matter concerning which he is sought to be examined under this section.
- 777. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), the court may exclude from the courtroom any witness not at the time under examination so that such witness cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses.

 MJN 3414

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4 5

6 7

9

10

- (b) A party to the action cannot be excluded under this section.
- (c) If a person other than a natural person is a party to the action, an officer or employee designated by its attorney is entitled to be present.
 - 778. After a witness has been excused from giving further testimony in the action, he cannot be recalled without leave of the court. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.

CHAPTER 6. CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

11 12 13

Article 1. Credibility Generally

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

 $\frac{36}{37}$

- 780. Except as otherwise provided by statute, the court or jury may consider in determining the credibility of a witness any matter that has any tendency in reason to prove or disprove the truthfulness of his testimony at the hearing, including but not limited to any of the following:
- (a) His demeanor while testifying and the manner in which he testifies.
 - (b) The character of his testimony.
- (e) The extent of his capacity to perceive, to recollect, or to communicate any matter about which he testifies.
- (d) The extent of his opportunity to perceive any matter about which he testifies.
 - (e) His character for honesty or veracity or their opposites.
- (f) The existence or nonexistence of a bias, interest, or other motive.
- (g) A statement previously made by him that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing.
- (h) A statement made by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing.
- (i) The existence or nonexistence of any fact testified to by him.
- (j) His attitude toward the action in which he testifies or toward the giving of testimony.
 - (k) His admission of untruthfulness.

38 39 40

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

Article 2. Attacking or Supporting Credibility

41 42 43

785. The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by any party, including the party calling him.

786. Evidence of traits of his character other than honesty or veracity, or their opposites, is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.

787. Subject to Section 788, evidence of specific instances of his conduct relevant only as tending to prove a trait of his character is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.

788. For the purpose of attacking the credibility of a witness, it may be shown by the examination of the witness or by

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\frac{15}{16}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 41 \\ 42 \end{array}$

 the record of the judgment that he has been convicted of a felony unless:

- (a) A pardon based on his innocence has been granted to the witness by the jurisdiction in which he was convicted.
- (b) A certificate of rehabilitation and pardon has been granted to the witness under the provisions of Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code.
- (c) The accusatory pleading against the witness has been dismissed under the provisions of Penal Code Section 1203.4.
- (d) The conviction was under the laws of another jurisdiction and the witness has been relieved of the penalties and disabilities arising from the conviction pursuant to a procedure substantially equivalent to that referred to in subdivision (b) or (c).
- 789. Evidence of his religious belief or lack thereof is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.
- 790. Evidence of the good character of a witness is inadmissible to support his credibility unless evidence of his bad character has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility.
- 791. Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing is inadmissible to support his credibility unless it is offered after:
- (a) Evidence of a statement made by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility, and the statement was made before the alleged inconsistent statement; or
- (b) An express or implied charge has been made that histestimony at the hearing is recently fabricated or is influenced by bias or other improper motive, and the statement was made before the bias, motive for fabrication, or other improper motive is alleged to have arisen.

DIVISION 7. OPINION TESTIMONY AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 1. EXPERT AND OTHER OPINION TESTIMONY

Article 1. Expert and Other Opinion Testimony Generally

- 800. If a witness is not testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is permitted by law, including but not limited to an opinion that is:
 - (a) Rationally based on the perception of the witness; and
 - (b) Helpful to a clear understanding of his testimony.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\tilde{6}$

 $\frac{30}{31}$

 $\frac{43}{44}$

- 801. If a witness is testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is:
- (a) Related to a subject that is sufficiently beyond common experience that the opinion of an expert would assist the trier of fact; and
 - (b) Based on matter (including his special knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) perceived by or personally known to the witness or made known to him at or before the hearing, whether or not admissible, that is of a type that reasonably may be relied upon by an expert in forming an opinion upon the subject to which his testimony relates, unless an expert is precluded by law from using such matter as a basis for his opinion.
- 802. A witness testifying in the form of an opinion may state on direct examination the reasons for his opinion and the matter (including, in the case of an expert, his special knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) upon which it is based, unless he is precluded by law from using such reasons or matter as a basis for his opinion. The court in its discretion may require that a witness before testifying in the form of an opinion be first examined concerning the matter upon which his opinion is based.
- 803. The court may, and upon objection shall, exclude testimony in the form of an opinion that is based in whole or in significant part on matter that is not a proper basis for such an opinion. In such case, the witness may, if there remains a proper basis for his opinion, then state his opinion after excluding from consideration the matter determined to be improper.
- 804. (a) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies that his opinion is based in whole or in part upon the opinion or statement of another person, such other person may be called and examined by any adverse party as if under cross-examination concerning the opinion or statement.
- (b) This section is not applicable if the person upon whose opinion or statement the expert witness has relied is (1) a party, (2) a person identified with a party within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 776, or (3) a witness who has testified in the action concerning the subject matter of the opinion or statement upon which the expert witness has relied.
- (c) Nothing in this section makes admissible an expert opinion that is inadmissible because it is based in whole or in part on the opinion or statement of another person.
- (d) An expert opinion otherwise admissible is not made inadmissible by this section because it is based on the opinion or statement of a person who is unavailable for examination pursuant to this section.
- 805. Testimony in the form of an opinion that is otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces the ultimate issue to be decided by the trier of fact,

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Article 2. Opinion Testimony on Particular Subjects

870. A witness may state his opinion as to the sanity of a person when:

(a) The witness is an intimate acquaintance of the person whose sanity is in question;

(b) The witness was a subscribing witness to a writing, the validity of which is in dispute, signed by the person whose sanity is in question and the opinion relates to the sanity of such person at the time the writing was signed; or

(c) The witness is qualified under Section 800 or 801 to

testify in the form of an opinion.

CHAPTER 2. BLOOD TESTS TO DETERMINE PATERNITY

 $\frac{46}{47}$

 890. This chapter may be cited as the Uniform Act on Blood Tests to Determine Paternity.

891. This act shall be so interpreted and construed as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law of those states which enact it.

892. In a civil action in which paternity is a relevant fact, the court may upon its own initiative or upon suggestion made by or on behalf of any person whose blood is involved, and shall upon motion of any party to the action made at a time so as not to delay the proceedings unduly, order the mother, child, and alleged father to submit to blood tests. If any party refuses to submit to such tests, the court may resolve the question of paternity against such party or enforce its order if the rights of others and the interests of justice so require.

893. The tests shall be made by experts qualified as examiners of blood types who shall be appointed by the court. The experts shall be called by the court as witnesses to testify to their findings and shall be subject to cross-examination by the parties. Any party or person at whose suggestion the tests have been ordered may demand that other experts, qualified as examiners of blood types, perform independent tests under order of the court, the results of which may be offered in evidence. The number and qualifications of such experts shall be determined by the court.

894. The compensation of each expert witness appointed by the court shall be fixed at a reasonable amount. It shall be paid as the court shall order. The court may order that it be paid by the parties in such proportions and at such times as it shall prescribe, or that the proportion of any party be paid by the county, and that, after payment by the parties or the county or both, all or part or none of it be taxed as costs in the action.

895. If the court finds that the conclusions of all the experts, as disclosed by the evidence based upon the tests, are that the alleged father is not the father of the child, the question of paternity shall be resolved accordingly. If the experts

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 disagree in their findings or conclusions, the question shall be submitted upon all the evidence.

896. This chapter applies to criminal actions subject to the following limitations and provisions:

(a) An order for the tests shall be made only upon application of a party or on the court's initiative.

(b) The compensation of the experts shall be paid by the county under order of court.

(c) The court may direct a verdict of acquittal upon the conclusions of all the experts under the provisions of Section 895; otherwise, the case shall be submitted for determination upon all the evidence.

897. Nothing contained in this chapter shall be deemed or construed to prevent any party to any action from producing other expert evidence on the matter covered by this chapter; but, where other expert witnesses are called by a party to the action, their fees shall be paid by the party calling them and only ordinary witness fees shall be taxed as costs in the action.

DIVISION 8. PRIVILEGES

Chapter 1. Definitions

900. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, the definitions in this chapter govern the construction of this division. They do not govern the construction of any other division.

901. "Proceeding" means any action, hearing, investigation, inquest, or inquiry (whether conducted by a court, administrative agency, hearing officer, arbitrator, legislative body, or any other person authorized by law) in which, pursuant to law, testimony can be compelled to be given.

902. "Civil proceeding" means any proceeding except a criminal proceeding.

903. "Criminal proceeding" means:

(a) A criminal action; and

(b) A proceeding pursuant to Article 3 (commencing with Section 3060) of Chapter 7 of Division 4 of Title 1 of the Government Code to determine whether a public officer should be removed from office for wilful or corrupt misconduct in office.

905. "Presiding officer" means the person authorized to rule on a claim of privilege in the proceeding in which the claim is made.

CHAPTER 2. APPLICABILITY OF DIVISION

910. Except as otherwise provided by statute, the provisions of this division apply in all proceedings. The provisions of any statute making rules of evidence inapplicable in particular proceedings, or limiting the applicability of rules of

draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.

(b) The court, at the request of a party who may be adversely affected because an unfavorable inference may be drawn by the jury because a privilege has been exercised, shall instruct the jury that no presumption arises because of the exercise of the privilege and that the jury may not draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.

914. (a) The presiding officer shall determine a claim of privilege in any proceeding in the same manner as a court determines such a claim under Article 2 (commencing with Sec-

tion 400) of Chapter 4 of Division 3.

(b) No person may be held in contempt for failure to disclose information claimed to be privileged unless he has failed to comply with an order of a court that he disclose such information. This subdivision does not apply to any governmental agency that has constitutional contempt power, nor does it apply to hearings and investigations of the Industrial Accident Commission, nor does it impliedly repeal Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 9400) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 2 of the Government Code. If no other statutory procedure is applicable, the procedure prescribed by Section 1991 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall be followed in seeking an order of a court that the person disclose the information claimed to be privileged.

915. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), the presiding officer may not require disclosure of information claimed to be privileged under this division in order to rule on the claim of

30 privilege.

- (b) When a court is ruling on a claim of privilege under Article 9 (commencing with Section 1040) of Chapter 4 (official information and identity of informer) or under Section 1060 (trade secret) and is unable to do so without requiring disclosure of the information claimed to be privileged, the court may require the person from whom disclosure is sought or the person authorized to claim the privilege, or both, to disclose the information in chambers out of the presence and hearing of all persons except the person authorized to claim the privilege and such other persons as the person authorized to claim the privilege is willing to have present. If the judge determines that the information is privileged, neither he nor any other person may ever disclose, without the consent of a person authorized to permit disclosure, what was disclosed in the course of the proceedings in chambers.
- 46 916. (a) The presiding officer, on his own motion or on the 47 motion of any party, shall exclude information that is sub-48 ject to a claim of privilege under this division if:
 - (1) The person from whom the information is sought is not a person authorized to claim the privilege; and
 - (2) There is no party to the proceeding who is a person authorized to claim the privilege.

(b) The presiding officer may not exclude information under this section if:

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 $\frac{11}{12}$

13

14 15

16

17

 $\frac{18}{19}$

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27

 $\frac{28}{29}$

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

51

52

- (1) He is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure; or
- (2) The proponent of the evidence establishes that there is no person authorized to claim the privilege in existence.
- 917. Whenever a privilege is claimed on the ground that the matter sought to be disclosed is a communication made in confidence in the course of the lawyer-client, physician-patient, psychotherapist-patient, clergyman-penitent, or husband-wife relationship, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish that the communication was not confidential.
- 918. A party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege only if he is the holder of the privilege, except that a party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege by his spouse under Section 970 or 971.
- 919. Evidence of a statement or other disclosure of privileged information is inadmissible against a holder of the privilege if:
- (a) A person authorized to claim the privilege claimed it but nevertheless disclosure erroneously was required to be made; or
- (b) The presiding officer did not exclude the privileged information as required by Section 916.
- 920. Nothing in this division shall be construed to repeal by implication any other statute relating to privileges.

CHAPTER 4. PARTICULAR PRIVILEGES

Article 1. Privilege of Defendant in Criminal Case

930. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a defendant in a criminal case has a privilege not to be called as a witness and not to testify.

Article 2. Privilege Against Self-incrimination

940. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose any matter that may tend to incriminate him.

Article 3. Lawyer-client Privilege

- 950. As used in this article, "lawyer" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the client to be authorized, to practice law in any state or nation.
- 951. As used in this article, "client" means a person who. directly or through an authorized representativ MJN 3421

 $\frac{14}{15}$

17.

 $\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$

38 -

lawyer for the purpose of retaining the lawyer or securing legal service or advice from him in his professional capacity, and includes an incompetent (a) who himself so consults the lawyer or (b) whose guardian or conservator so consults the lawyer in behalf of the incompetent.

952. As used in this article, "confidential communication between client and lawyer" means information transmitted between a client and his lawyer in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the client is aware, discloses the information to no third person other than those who are present to further the interest of the client in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer is consulted, and includes advice given by the lawyer in the course of that relationship.

953. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:

- (a) The client when he has no guardian or conservator.
- (b) A guardian or conservator of the client when the client has a guardian or conservator.
- (c) The personal representative of the client if the client is dead.
- (d) A successor, assign, trustee in dissolution, or any similar representative of a firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public entity that is no longer in existence.
- 954. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the client, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer if the privilege is claimed by:
 - (a) The holder of the privilege;
- (b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or
- (c) The person who was the lawyer at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.
- 955. The lawyer who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 954.
- 956. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the lawyer were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.
- 957. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased client, regardless of whether the

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{11}{12}$

24.

claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

958. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the lawyer or by the client, of a duty arising out of the lawyer-client relationship.

959. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention or competence of a client executing an attested document of which the lawyer is an attesting witness, or concerning the execution or attestation of such a document.

960. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a client, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the client, purporting to affect an interest in property.

961. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a client, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

962. Where two or more clients have retained or consulted a lawyer upon a matter of common interest, none of them may claim a privilege under this article as to a communication made in the course of that relationship when such communication is offered in a civil proceeding between such clients.

Article 4. Privilege Not to Testify Against Spouse

970. Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person has a privilege not to testify against his spouse in any proceeding.

971. Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person whose spouse is a party to a proceeding has a privilege not to be called as a witness by an adverse party to that proceeding without the prior express consent of the spouse having the privilege under this section unless the party calling the spouse does so in good faith without knowledge of the marital relationship.

972. A married person does not have a privilege under this article in:

- (a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.
- (b) A proceeding to commit or otherwise place his spouse or his spouse's property, or both, under the control of another because of the spouse's alleged mental or physical condition.
- (c) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of a spouse to establish his competence.
- (d) A proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.
- (e) A criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged with:

MJN 3423

3

4

5

6 7

8

 $\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

11

12

13

14

15

16

 $\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 18 \end{array}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28

29 30

31

33 34

35

36

 $\frac{37}{38}$

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50 51

32 +

(1) A crime against the person or property of the other spouse or of a child of either, whether committed before or during marriage.

(2) A crime against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse, whether committed before or during marriage.

(3) Bigamy or adultery.

(4) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.

973. (a) Unless erroneously compelled to do so, a married person who testifies in a proceeding to which his spouse is a party, or who testifies against his spouse in any proceeding, does not have a privilege under this article in the proceeding in which such testimony is given.

(b) There is no privilege under this article in a civil proceeding brought or defended by a married person for the immediate benefit of his spouse or of himself and his spouse.

Article 5. Privilege for Confidential Marital Communications

980. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, a spouse (or his guardian or conservator when he has a guardian or conservator), whether or not a party, has a privilege during the marital relationship and afterwards to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a communication if he claims the privilege and the communication was made in confidence between him and the other spouse while they were husband and wife.

981. There is no privilege under this article if the communication was made, in whole or in part, to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

982. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

983. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish his competence.

984. There is no privilege under this article in:

- (a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.
- (b) A proceeding between a surviving spouse and a person who claims through the deceased spouse, regardless of whether such claim is by testate or intestate succession or by intervivos transaction.

985. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged with:

(a) A crime committed at any time against the person or property of the other spouse or of a child of either.

- (b) A crime committed at any time against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse.
 - (c) Bigamy or adultery.

 $\frac{2}{3}$

 $\frac{6}{7}$

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

8

- (d) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.
- 986. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.
- 987. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which the communication is offered in evidence by a defendant who is one of the spouses between whom the communication was made.

Article 6. Physician-Patient Privilege

- 990. As used in this article, "physician" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation.
- 991. As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a physician or submits to an examination by a physician for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his physical or mental or emotional condition.
- 992. As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and physician" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his physician in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the physician is consulted, and includes advice given by the physician in the course of that relationship.
- 993. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:
 - (a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.
- (b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.
- (c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.
- 994. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and physician if the privilege is claimed by:
 - (a) The holder of the privilege;

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42 **4**3

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by

the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the physician at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

- 995. The physician who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 994.
- There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the condition of the patient if such issue has been tendered by:

(a) The patient;

- (b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;
- (c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or
- (d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.
- There is no privilege under this article if the services of the physician were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.
- 998. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding.
- 30 There is no privilege under this article in a proceed-31 ing to recover damages on account of conduct of the patient 32 which constitutes a crime.
 - There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.
 - 1001. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the physician or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the physician-patient relationship.
 - There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.
 - There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit the patient or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his

competence.

1006. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the physician or the patient is required to report to a public employee, or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceed-1007. ing brought by a public entity to determine whether a right, authority, license, or privilege (including the right or privilege to be employed by the public entity or to hold a public office) should be revoked, suspended, terminated, limited, or condi-

tioned.

Article 7. Psychotherapist-patient Privilege

1010. As used in this article, "psychotherapist" means:

(a) A person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation who devotes, or is reasonably believed by the patient to devote, a substantial portion of his time to the practice of psychiatry; or

(b) A person certified as a psychologist under Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 2900) of Division 2 of the Business

and Professions Code.

As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a psychotherapist or submits to an examination by a psychotherapist for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his mental or emotional condition or who submits to an examination of his mental or emotional condition for the purpose of scientific

research on mental or emotional problems.

As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his psychotherapist in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or examination or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose of the consultation or examination, and includes advice given by the psychotherapist in the course of that 50 relationship.

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

9

- 1 1013. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:
 - (a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.
 - (b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.
 - (c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.
 - 1014. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

- (b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or
- (c) The person who was the psychotherapist at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.
- 1015. The psychotherapist who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 1014.
- 1016. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the mental or emotional condition of the patient if such issue has been tendered by:

(a) The patient;

- (b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;
- (c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or
- (d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.
- 1017. There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist is appointed by order of a court to examine the patient, but this exception does not apply where the psychotherapist is appointed by order of the court upon the request of the lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding in order to provide the lawyer with information needed so that he may advise the defendant whether to enter a plea based on insanity or to present a defense based on his mental or emotional condition.
- 1018. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the psychotherapist were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.
- 1019. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1020. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the psychotherapist or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the psychotherapist-patient relationship.

1021. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1022. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1023. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 1367) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Penal Code initiated at the request of the defendant in a criminal action to determine his sanity.

1024. There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist has reasonable cause to believe that the patient is in such mental or emotional condition as to be dangerous to himself or to the person or property of another and that disclosure of the communication is necessary to prevent the threatened danger.

1025. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his competence.

1026. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the psychotherapist or the patient is required to report to a public employee or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

Article 8. Clergyman-Penitent Privileges

1030. As used in this article, "clergyman" means a priest, minister, religious practitioner, or similar functionary of a church or of a religious denomination or religious organization.

1031. As used in this article, "penitent" means a personwho has made a penitential communication to a clergyman.

1032. As used in this article, "penitential communication" means a communication made in confidence, in the presence of no third person so far as the penitent is aware, to a clergyman who, in the course of the discipline or practice of his church, denomination, or organization, is authorized or accustomed to hear such communications and has a duty to keep them secret.

1033. Subject to Section 912, a penitent, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent

 $rac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{13}{14}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

another from disclosing, a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

1034. Subject to Section 912, a clergyman, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

Article 9. Official Information and Identity of Informer

1040. (a) As used in this section, "official information" means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made.

(b) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information, and to prevent another from disclosing such information, if the privilege is claimed by a person authorized

by the public entity to do so and:

(1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of

the United States or a statute of this state; or

(2) Disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the information be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the information is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

1041. (a) Except as provided in this section, a public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose the identity of a person who has furnished information as provided in subdivision (b) purporting to disclose a violation of a law of the United States or of this state or a public entity in this state, and to prevent another from disclosing such identity, if the privilege is clamed by a person authorized by the public entity to do so and:

(1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of the United States or a statute of this state; or

(2) Disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of his identity that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the identity of the informer be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

(b) This section applies only if the information is furnished

in confidence by the informer to:

(1) A law enforcement officer;

(2) A representative of an administrative agency charged with the administration or enforcement of the law alleged to be violated; or

(3) Any person for the purpose of transmittal to a person

listed in paragraph (1) or (2).

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{37}{38}$

(c) There is no privilege under this section to prevent the

informer from disclosing his identity.

- 1042. (a) Except where disclosure is forbidden by an Act of the Congress of the United States, if a claim of privilege under this article by the State or a public entity in this State is sustained in a criminal proceeding, the presiding officer shall make such order or finding of fact adverse to the public entity bringing the proceeding as is required by law upon any issue in the proceeding to which the privileged information is material.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant official information or the identity of an informer in order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a result of it.

Article 10. Political Vote

1050. If he claims the privilege, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose the tenor of his vote at a public election where the voting is by secret ballot unless he voted illegally or he previously made an unprivileged disclosure of the tenor of his vote.

Article 11. Trade Secret

1060. If he or his agent or employee claims the privilege, the owner of a trade secret has a privilege to refuse to disclose the secret, and to prevent another from disclosing it, if the allowance of the privilege will not tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice.

CHAPTER 5. IMMUNITY OF NEWSMAN FROM CITATION FOR CONTEMPT

1070. A publisher, editor, reporter, or other person connected with or employed upon a newspaper, or by a press association or wire service, cannot be adjudged in contempt by a court, the Legislature, or any administrative body, for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for publication and published in a newspaper.

Nor can a radio or television news reporter or other person connected with or employed by a radio or television station be so adjudged in contempt for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for and used for news or news

commentary purposes on radio or television.

DIVISION 9. EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

CHAPTER 1. EVIDENCE OF CHARACTER, HABIT, OR CUSTOM

5 6

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{30}{31}$

1100. Except as otherwise provided by statute, any otherwise admissible evidence (including evidence in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, and evidence of specific instances of such person's conduct) is admissible to prove a person's character or a trait of his character.

1101. (a) Except as provided in this section and in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a person's character or a trait of his character (whether in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of his conduct) is inadmissible when offered to prove his conduct on a specified occasion.

- (b) Nothing in this section prohibits the admission of evidence that a person committed a crime, civil wrong, or other act when relevant to prove some fact (such as motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident) other than his disposition to commit such acts.
- (c) Nothing in this section affects the admissibility of evidence offered to support or attack the credibility of a witness.
- 1102. In a criminal action, evidence of the defendant's character or a trait of his character in the form of an opinion or evidence of his reputation is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:
- (a) Offered by the defendant to prove his conduct in conformity with such character or trait of character.
- (b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced by the defendant under subdivision (a).
- 1103. In a criminal action, evidence of the character or a trait of character (in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of conduct) of the victim of the crime for which the defendant is being prosecuted is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:
- (a) Offered by the defendant to prove conduct of the victim in conformity with such character or trait of character.
- (b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced by the defendant under subdivision (a).
- 1104. Except as provided in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a trait of a person's character with respect to care or skill is inadmissible to prove the quality of his conduct on a specified occasion.
- 1105. Any otherwise admissible evidence of habit or custom is admissible to prove conduct on a specified occasion in conformity with the habit or custom.

$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$

5

6

7

8

 $\frac{9}{10}$

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 24

25

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{30}{31}$

32 33

34

35

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

CHAPTER 2. OTHER EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

1150. (a) Upon an inquiry as to the validity of a verdict, any otherwise admissible evidence may be received as to statements made, or conduct, conditions, or events occurring, either within or without the jury room, of such a character as is likely to have influenced the verdict improperly. No evidence is admissible to show the effect of such statement, conduct, condition, or event upon a juror either in influencing him to assent to or dissent from the verdict or concerning the mental processes by which it was determined.

(b) Nothing in this code affects the law relating to the competence of a juror to give evidence to impeach or support a

verdict.

1151. When, after the occurrence of an event, remedial or precautionary measures are taken, which, if taken previously, would have tended to make the event less likely to occur, evidence of such subsequent measures is inadmissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event.

1152. (a) Evidence that a person has, in compromise or from humanitarian motives, furnished or offered or promised to furnish money or any other thing, act, or service to another who has sustained or claims to have sustained loss or damage, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove his liability for the loss or damage or any part of it.

(b) This section does not affect the admissibility of evi-

28 (b) Th 29 dence of:

(1) Partial satisfaction of an asserted claim or demand without questioning its validity when such evidence is offered to prove the validity of the claim; or

(2) A debtor's payment or promise to pay all or a part of his pre-existing debt when such evidence is offered to prove the creation of a new duty on his part or a revival of his pre-

36 existing duty.

1153. Evidence of a plea of guilty, later withdrawn, or of an offer to plead guilty to the crime charged or to any other crime, made by the defendant in a criminal action is inadmissible in any action or in any proceeding of any nature, including proceedings before agencies, commissions, boards, and tribunals.

1154. Evidence that a person has accepted or offered or promised to accept a sum of money or any other thing, act, or service in satisfaction of a claim, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove the invalidity of the claim or any part of it.

1155. Evidence that a person was, at the time a harm was suffered by another, insured wholly or partially against loss arising from liability for that harm is inadmissible to prove

negligence or other wrongdoing.

 $\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 26 \end{array}$

9 0

1156. (a) In-hospital medical staff committees of a licensed hospital may engage in research and medical study for the purpose of reducing moribidity or mortality, and may make findings and recommendations relating to such purpose. Except as provided in subdivision (b), the written records of interviews, reports, statements, or memoranda of such inhospital medical staff committees relating to such medical studies are subject to Sections 2016 to 2036, inclusive, of the Code of Civil Procedure (relating to discovery proceedings) but, subject to subdivisions (c) and (d), shall not be admitted as evidence in any action or before any administrative body, agency, or person.

(b) The disclosure, with or without the consent of the patient, of information concerning him to such in-hospital medical staff committee does not make unprivileged any information that would otherwise be privileged under Section 994 or 1014; but, notwithstanding Sections 994 and 1014, such information is subject to discovery under subdivision (a) except that the identity of any patient may not be discovered under subdivision (a) unless the patient consents to such disclosure.

(c) This section does not affect the admissibility in evidence of the original medical records of any patient.

(d) This section does not exclude evidence which is relevant evidence in a criminal action.

DIVISION 10. HEARSAY EVIDENCE

Chapter 1. General Provisions

1200. (a) "Hearsay evidence" is evidence of a statement that was made other than by a witness while testifying at the hearing and that is offered to prove the truth of the matter stated.

- (b) Except as provided by law, hearsay evidence is inadmissible.
- (c) This section shall be known and may be cited as the hearsay rule.

1201. A statement within the scope of an exception to the hearsay rule is not inadmissible on the ground that the evidence is hearsay evidence if the hearsay evidence of such statement consists of one or more statements each of which meets the requirements of an exception to the hearsay rule.

1202. Evidence of a statement or other conduct by a declarant that is inconsistent with a statement by such declarant received in evidence as hearsay evidence is not inadmissible for the purpose of attacking the credibility of the declarant though he is not given and has not had an opportunity to explain or to deny such inconsistent statement or other conduct. Any other evidence offered to attack or support the credibility of the declarant is admissible if it would have been admissible had the declarant been a witness at the hearing. For the purposes of this section, the deponent of a deposition

taken in the action in which it is offered shall be deemed to be a hearsay declarant.

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

 $\frac{29}{30}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{42}{43}$

- 1203. (a) The declarant of a statement that is admitted as hearsay evidence may be called and examined by any adverse party as if under cross-examination concerning the statement.
- (b) This section is not applicable if the declarant is (1) a party, (2) a person identified with a party within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 776, or (3) a witness who has testified in the action concerning the subject matter of the statement.
- (c) This section is not applicable if the statement is one described in Article 1 (commencing with Section 1220), Article 3 (commencing with Section 1235), or Article 10 (commencing with Section 1300) of Chapter 2 of this division.
- (d) A statement that is otherwise admissible as hearsay evidence is not made inadmissible by this section because the declarant who made the statement is unavailable for examination pursuant to this section.
- 1204. A statement that is otherwise admissible as hearsay evidence is inadmissible against the defendant in a criminal action if the statement was made, either by the defendant or by another, under such circumstances that it is inadmissible against the defendant under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California.
- 1205. Nothing in this division shall be construed to repeal by implication any other statute relating to hearsay evidence.

CHAPTER 2. EXCEPTIONS TO THE HEARSAY RULE

Article 1. Confessions and Admissions

- 1220. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered against the declarant in an action to which he is a party in either his individual or representative capacity, regardless of whether the statement was made in his individual or representative capacity.
- 1221. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is one of which the party, with knowledge of the content thereof, has by words or other conduct manifested his adoption or his belief in its truth.
- 1222. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:
- (a) The statement was made by a person authorized by the party to make a statement or statements for him concerning the subject matter of the statement; and
- (b) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of such authority or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{33}{34}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

1223. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The statement was made by the declarant while participating in a conspiracy to commit a crime or civil wrong and in furtherance of the objective of that conspiracy;

(b) The statement was made prior to or during the time that the party was participating in that conspiracy; and

(c) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the facts specified in subdivisions (a) and (b) or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

1224. When the liability, obligation, or duty of a party to a civil action is based in whole or in part upon the liability, obligation, or duty of the declarant, or when the claim or right asserted by a party to a civil action is barred or diminished by a breach of duty by the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that liability, obligation, duty, or breach of duty.

1225. When a right, title, or interest in any property or claim asserted by a party to a civil action requires a determination that a right, title, or interest exists or existed in the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant during the time the party now claims the declarant was the holder of the right, title, or interest is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that right, title, or interest.

1226. Evidence of a statement by a minor child is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 of the Code of Civil Procedure for injury to such minor child.

1227. Evidence of a statement by the deceased is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action for wrongful death brought under Section 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Article 2. Declarations Against Interest

1230. Evidence of a statement by a declarant having sufficient knowledge of the subject is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and the statement, when made, was so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far subjected him to the risk of civil or criminal liability, or so far tended to render invalid a claim by him against another, or created such a risk of making him an object of hatred, ridicule, or social disgrace in the community, that a reasonable man in his position would not have made the statement unless he believed it to be true.

$\frac{1}{2}$

Article 3. Prior Statements of Witnesses

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

1235. Evidence of a statement made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is inconsistent with his testimony at the hearing and is offered in compliance with Section 770.

1236. Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is consistent with his testimony at the hearing and is

10 offered in compliance with Section 791. 11 1237. (a) Evidence of a statement

- 1237. (a) Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement would have been admissible if made by him while testifying, the statement concerns a matter as to which the witness has insufficient present recollection to enable him to testify fully and accurately, and the statement is contained in a writing which:
- (1) Was made at a time when the fact recorded in the writing actually occurred or was fresh in the witness' memory;
- (2) Was made (i) by the witness himself or under his direction or (ii) by some other person for the purpose of recording the witness' statement at the time it was made;

(3) Is offered after the witness testifies that the statement he made was a true statement of such fact; and

(4) Is offered after the writing is authenticated as an accurate record of the statement.

(b) The writing may be read into evidence, but the writing itself may not be received in evidence unless offered by an adverse party.

1238. Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement would have been admissible if made by him while testifying and:

(a) The statement is an identification of a party or another as a person who participated in a crime or other occurrence;

(b) The statement was made at a time when the crime or other occurrence was fresh in the witness' memory; and

(c) The evidence of the statement is offered after the witness testifies that he made the identification and that it was a true reflection of his opinion at that time.

Article 4. Spontaneous, Contemporaneous, and Dying Declarations

1240. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement:

(a) Purports to narrate, describe, or explain an act, condition, or event perceived by the declarant; and

(b) Was made spontaneously while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by such perception. $\frac{1}{2}$

1241. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement:

(a) Purports to qualify or explain Is offered to explain, qualify, or make understandable conduct of the declarant; and

(b) Was made while the declarant was engaged in such conduct.

1242. Evidence of a statement made by a dying person respecting the cause and circumstances of his death is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement was made upon his personal knowledge and under a sense of immediately impending death.

Article 5. Statements of Mental or Physical State

1250. (a) Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when:

(1) The evidence is offered to prove the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation at that time or at any other time when it is itself an issue in the action; or

(2) The evidence is offered to prove or explain acts or conduct of the declarant.

(b) This section does not make admissible evidence of a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed.

1251. Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) at a time prior to the statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The declarant is unavailable as a witness; and

(b) The evidence is offered to prove such prior state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation when it is itself an issue in the action and the evidence is not offered to prove any fact other than such state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation.

1252. Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this article if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 6. Statements Relating to Wills and to Claims Against Estates

1260. (a) Evidence of a statement made by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness that he has or has not made a will, or has or has not revoked his will, or that identifies his will, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1 2 b 3 o 4 v 5 t 6

1261. (a) Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in an action upon a claim or demand against the estate of the declarant if the statement was made upon the personal knowledge of the declarant at a time when the matter had been recently perceived by him and while his recollection was clear.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 7. Business Records

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{29}{30}$

1270. As used in this article, "a business" includes every kind of business, governmental activity, profession, occupation, calling, or operation of institutions, whether carried on for profit or not.

1271. Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:

(a) The writing was made in the regular course of a business;

(b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event:

(c) The custodian or other qualified witness testifies to its identity and the mode of its preparation; and

(d) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustworthiness.

1272. Evidence of the absence from the records of a business of a record of an asserted act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the nonoccurrence of the act or event, or the nonexistence of the condition, if:

(a) It was the regular course of that business to make records of all such acts, conditions, or events at or near the time of the act, condition, or event and to preserve them; and

(b) The sources of information and method and time of preparation of the records of that business were such that the absence of a record of an act, condition, or event is a trustworthy indication that the act or event did not occur or the condition did not exist.

Article 8. Official Records and Other Official Writings

1280. Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:

(a) The writing was made by and within the scope of duty of a public employee;

(b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event; and

(c) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustworthings

MJN 3439

 $\frac{1}{2}$

5

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

1281. Evidence of a writing made as a record of a birth, fetal death, death, or marriage is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the maker was required by law to file the writing in a designated public office and the writing was made and filed as required by law.

1282. A written finding of presumed death made by an employee of the United States authorized to make such finding pursuant to the Federal Missing Persons Act (56 Stats. 143, 1092, and P.L. 408, Ch. 371, 2d Sess. 78th Cong.; 50 U.S.C. App. 1001–1016), as enacted or as heretofore or hereafter amended, shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence of the death of the person therein found to be dead and of the date, circumstances, and place of his disappearance.

1283. An official written report or record that a person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive, made by an employee of the United States authorized by any law of the United States to make such report or record shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence that such person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive.

1284. Evidence of a writing made by the public employee who is the official custodian of the records in a public office, reciting diligent search and failure to find a record, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the absence of a record in that office.

Article 9. Former Testimony

1290. As used in this article, "former testimony" means testimony given under oath in:

(a) Another action or in a former hearing or trial of the same action:

(b) A proceeding to determine a controversy conducted by or under the supervision of an agency that has the power to determine such a controversy and is an agency of the United States or a public entity in the United States;

(c) A deposition taken in compliance with law in another action; or

(d) An arbitration proceeding if the evidence of such former testimony is a verbatim transcript thereof.

1291. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:

(1) The former testimony is offered against a person who offered it in evidence in his own behalf on the former occasion or against the successor in interest of such person; or

- (2) The party against whom the former testimony is offered was a party to the action or proceeding in which the testimony was given and had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which he has at the hearing.
- (b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to:
- (1) Objections to the form of the question which were not made at the time the former testimony was given.
- (2) Objections based on competency or privilege which did not exist at the time the former testimony was given.
- 1292. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:
 - (1) The declarant is unavailable as a witness;
- (2) The former testimony is offered in a civil action or against the prosecution in a criminal action; and
- (3) The issue is such that the party to the action or proceeding in which the former testimony was given had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which the party against whom the testimony is offered has at the hearing.
- (b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to objections based on competency or privilege which did not exist at the time the former testimony was given.

Article 10. Judgments

1300. Evidence of a final judgment adjudging a person guilty of a crime punishable as a felony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in a civil action to prove any fact essential to the judgment unless the judgment was based on a plea of nolo contendere.

1301. Evidence of a final judgment is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered by the judgment debtor to prove any fact which was essential to the judgment in an action in which he seeks to:

- (a) Recover partial or total indemnity or exoneration for money paid or liability incurred because of the judgment;
- (b) Enforce a warranty to protect the judgment debtor against the liability determined by the judgment; or
- (c) Recover damages for breach of warranty substantially the same as the warranty determined by the judgment to have been breached.
- 1302. When the liability, obligation, or duty of a third person is in issue in a civil action, evidence of a final judgment against that person is not made inadmissible by the

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

5

 $\frac{42}{43}$

hearsay rule when offered to prove such liability, obligation, or duty.

Article 11. Family History

1310. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness concerning his own birth, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood or marriage, race, ancestry, or other similar fact of his family history is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter declared.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to

indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1311. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement concerning the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a person other than the declarant is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:

(1) The declarant was related to the other by blood or

marriage; or

(2) The declarant was otherwise so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have had accurate information concerning the matter declared and made the statement (i) upon information received from the other or from a person related by blood or marriage to the other or (ii) upon repute in the other's family.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to

indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1312. Evidence of entries in family bibles or other family books or charts, engravings on rings, family portraits, engravings on urns, crypts, or tombstones, and the like, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood or marriage.

1313. Evidence of reputation among members of a family is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood

44 or marriage.

1314. Evidence of reputation in a community concerning the date or fact of birth, marriage, divorce, or death of a person resident in the community at the time of the reputation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

1315. Evidence of a statement concerning a person's birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of family history which is contained in a writing made as a record of a

Article 13. Dispositive Instruments and Ancient Writings

interest in real or personal property is not made inadmissible

1330. Evidence of a statement contained in a deed of conveyance or a will or other writing purporting to affect an

(a) The matter stated was relevant to the purpose of the

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16 **17**

18 19

20 21

22 23. 24

25 26 27

28 29 30

31 32

33 34

35 36 37

38 39

40 41 42

43 44

45 46

47 **48**

49

51

52

writing; (b) The matter stated would be relevant to an issue as to

ment.

an interest in the property; and (c) The dealings with the property since the statement was made have not been inconsistent with the truth of the state-

by the hearsay rule if:

Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is contained in a writing more than 30 years old and the statement has been since generally acted upon as true by persons having an interest in the matter.

> Article 14. Commercial, Scientific, and Similar Publications

1340. Evidence of a statement, other than an opinion, contained in a tabulation, list, directory, register, or other published compilation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the compilation is generally used and relied upon as accurate in the course of a business as defined in Section 1270.

1341. Historical works, books of science or art, and published maps or charts, made by persons indifferent between the parties, are not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove facts of general notoriety and interest.

DIVISION 11. WRITINGS

Chapter 1. Authentication and Proof of Writings

Article 1. Requirement of Authentication

1400. Authentication of a writing means (a) the introduction of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding that it is the writing that the proponent of the evidence claims it is or (b) the establishment of such facts by any other means provided by law.

1401. (a) Authentication of a writing is required before it may be received in evidence.

(b) Authentication of a writing is required before secondary evidence of its content may be received in evidence.

The party producing a writing as genuine which has been altered, or appears to have been altered, after its 50 execution, in a part material to the question in dispute, must account for the alteration or appearance thereof. He may show that the alteration was made by another, without his

--- 53 ---**SB** 110

concurrence, or was made with the consent of the parties affected by it, or otherwise properly or innocently made, or that the alteration did not change the meaning or language of the instrument. If he does that, he may give the writing in evidence, but not otherwise.

4 6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50 51

1

2 3

Means of Authenticating and Proving Writings Article 2.

Nothing in this article shall be construed to limit the means by which a writing may be authenticated or proved.

Except as provided by statute, the testimony of a subscribing witness is not required to authenticate a writing.

If the testimony of a subscribing witness is required by statute to authenticate a writing and the subscribing witness denies or does not recollect the execution of the writing, the writing may be authenticated by other evidence.

A writing may be authenticated by anyone who saw the writing made or executed, including a subscribing witness.

A writing may be authenticated by evidence that:

- (a) The party against whom it is offered has at any time admitted its authenticity; or
- (b) The writing has been acted upon as authentic by the party against whom it is offered.

A writing may be authenticated by evidence of the genuineness of the handwriting of the maker.

A witness who is not otherwise qualified to testify as an expert may state his opinion whether a writing is in the handwriting of a supposed writer if the court finds that he has personal knowledge of the handwriting of the supposed writer. Such personal knowledge may be acquired from:

(a) Having seen the supposed writer write;

(b) Having seen a writing purporting to be in the handwriting of the supposed writer and upon which the supposed writer has acted or been charged;

(c) Having received letters in the due course of mail purporting to be from the supposed writer in response to letters duly addressed and mailed by him to the supposed writer; or

(d) Any other means of obtaining personal knowledge of

the handwriting of the supposed writer.

1417. The genuineness of handwriting, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by the trier of fact with handwriting (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.

The genuineness of writing, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by an expert witness with writing (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.

MJN 3444

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{22}{23}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

affixed is accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (a) the person who executed the writing or (b) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation, authenticated by the seal of his office.

CHAPTER 2. SECONDARY EVIDENCE OF WRITINGS

Article 1. Best Evidence Rule

1500. Except as otherwise provided by statute, no evidence other than the writing itself is admissible to prove the content of a writing. This section shall be known and may be cited as the best evidence rule.

1501. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is lost or has been destroyed without fraudulent intent on the part of the proponent of the evidence.

1502. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing was not reasonably procurable by the proponent by use of the court's process or by other available means.

1503. (a) A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if, at a time when the writing was under the control of the opponent, the opponent was expressly or impliedly notified, by the pleadings or otherwise, that the writing would be needed at the hearing, and on request at the hearing the opponent has failed to produce the writing. In a criminal action, the request at the hearing to produce the writing may not be made in the presence of the jury.

(b) Though a writing requested by one party is produced by another, and is thereupon inspected by the party calling for it, the party calling for the writing is not obliged to introduce it as evidence in the action.

1504. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is not closely related to the controlling issues and it would be inexpedient to require its production.

1505. If the proponent does not have in his possession or under his control a copy of a writing described in Section 1501, 1502, 1503, or 1504, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evi-

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 19 \end{array}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 $\frac{28}{29}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

dence rule. This section does not apply to a writing that is also described in Section 1506 or 1507.

1506. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is a record or other writing that is in the custody of a public entity.

1507. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been recorded in the public records and the record or an attested or a certified copy thereof is made evidence of the writing by statute.

1508. If the proponent does not have in his possession a copy of a writing described in Section 1506 or 1507 and could not in the exercise of reasonable diligence have obtained a copy, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule.

1509. Secondary evidence, whether written or oral, of the content of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing consists of numerous accounts or other writings that cannot be examined in court without great loss of time, and the evidence sought from them is only the general result of the whole; but the court in its discretion may require that such accounts or other writings be produced for inspection by the adverse party.

1510. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been produced at the hearing and made available for inspection by the adverse party.

Article 2. Official Writings and Recorded Writings

1530. (a) A purported copy of a writing in the custody of a public entity, or of an entry in such a writing, is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of such writing or entry if:

(1) The copy purports to be published by the authority of the nation or state, or public entity therein, in which the writing is kept;

(2) The office in which the writing is kept is within the United States or within the Panama Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the Ryukyu Islands, and the copy is attested or certified as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a public employee, or a deputy of a public employee, having the legal custody of the writing; or

(3) The office in which the writing is kept is not within the United States or any other place described in paragraph (2) and the copy is attested as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a person having authority to make the attestation. The attestation must be accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (i) the person who attested the copy as a correct copy or (ii) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar

__ 57 __ **SB** 110

certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation in which the writing is kept, authenticated by the seal of his office.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{48}{49}$

(b) The presumptions established by this section are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1531. For the purpose of evidence, whenever a copy of a writing is attested or certified, the attestation or certificate must state in substance that the copy is a correct copy of the original, or of a specified part thereof, as the case may be.

1532. (a) The official record of a writing is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the original recorded writing if:

(1) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and

(2) A statute authorized such a writing to be recorded in that office.

(b) The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

Article 3. Photographic Copies of Writings

1550. A photostatic, microfilm, microcard, miniature photographic or other photographic copy or reproduction, or an enlargement thereof, of a writing is as admissible as the writing itself if such copy or reproduction was made and preserved as a part of the records of a business (as defined by Section 1270) in the regular course of such business. The introduction of such copy, reproduction, or enlargement does not preclude admission of the original writing if it is still in existence.

1551. A print, whether enlarged or not, from a photographic film (including a photographic plate, microphotographic film, photostatic negative, or similar reproduction) of an original writing destroyed or lost after such film was taken is as admissible as the original writing itself if, at the time of the taking of such film, the person under whose direction and control it was taken attached thereto, or to the sealed container in which it was placed and has been kept, or incorporated in the film, a certification complying with the provisions of Section 1531 and stating the date on which, and the fact that, it was so taken under his direction and control.

Article 4. Hospital Records

1560. (a) As used in this article, "hospital" means a hospital located in this State that is operated by a public entity or any licensed hospital located in this state.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

 $\frac{9}{10}$

 $\frac{11}{12}$

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

- (b) Except as provided in Section 1564, when a subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital in an action in which the hospital is neither a party nor the place where any cause of action is alleged to have arisen and such subpoena requires the production of all or any part of the records of the hospital relating to the care or treatment of a patient in such hospital, it is sufficient compliance therewith if the custodian or other officer of the hospital, within five days after the receipt of such subpoena, delivers by mail or otherwise a true and correct copy (which may be a photographic or microphotographic reproduction) of all the records described in such subpoena to the clerk of court or to the court if there be no clerk or to such other person as described in subdivision (a) of Section 2018 of the Code of Civil Procedure, together with the affidavit described in Section 1561.
- (c) The copy of the records shall be separately enclosed in an inner envelope or wrapper, sealed, with the title and number of the action, name of witness, and date of subpoena clearly inscribed thereon; the sealed envelope or wrapper shall then be enclosed in an outer envelope or wrapper, sealed, directed as follows:
- (1) If the subpoena directs attendance in court, to the clerk of such court, or to the judge thereof if there be no clerk.
- (2) If the subpoena directs attendance at a deposition or other hearing, to the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken, at the place designated in the subpoena for the taking of the deposition or at his place of business.
- (3) In other cases, to the officer, body, or tribunal conducting the hearing, at a like address.
- (d) Unless the parties to the proceeding otherwise agree, or unless the sealed envelope or wrapper is returned to a witness who is to appear personally, the copy of the records shall remain sealed and shall be opened only at the time of trial, deposition, or other hearing, upon the direction of the judge, officer, body, or tribunal conducting the proceeding, in the presence of all parties who have appeared in person or by counsel at such trial, deposition, or hearing. Records which are not introduced in evidence or required as part of the record shall be returned to the person or entity from whom received.
- 1561. (a) The records shall be accompanied by the affidavit of the custodian or other qualified witness, stating in substance each of the following:
- (1) That the affiant is the duly authorized custodian of the records and has authority to certify the records.
- (2) That the copy is a true copy of all the records described in the subpoena.
- (3) That the records were prepared by the personnel of the hospital, staff physicians, or persons acting under the control of either, in the ordinary course of hospital business at or near the time of the act, condition, or event.

__ 59 __ SB 110

(b) If the hospital has none of the records described, or only part thereof, the custodian shall so state in the affidavit, and deliver the affidavit and such records as are available in the manner provided in Section 1560.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{6}{7}$

1562. The copy of the records is admissible in evidence to the same extent as though the original thereof were offered and the custodian had been present and testified to the matters stated in the affidavit. The affidavit is admissible in evidence and as evidence of the matters stated therein pursuant to Section 1561 and the matters so stated are presumed true. When more than one person has knowledge of the facts, more than one affidavit may be made. The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1563. This article shall not be interpreted to require tender or payment of more than one witness and mileage fee or other charge unless there is an agreement to the contrary.

1564. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required if the subpoena duces tecum contains a clause which reads:

"The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1560, and Sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena."

1565. If more than one subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital and the personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness is required pursuant to Section 1564, the witness shall be deemed to be the witness of the party serving the first such subpoena duces tecum.

1566. This article applies in any proceeding in which testimony can be compelled.

CHAPTER 3. OFFICIAL WRITINGS AFFECTING PROPERTY

1600. The official record of a document purporting to establish or affect an interest in property is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the original recorded document and its execution and delivery by each person by whom it purports to have been executed if:

(a) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and

(b) A statute authorized such a document to be recorded in that office.

1601. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), when in any action it is desired to prove the contents of the official record of any writing lost or destroyed by conflagration or other public calamity, after proof of such loss or destroyed in the content of the conflagration of the public calamity, after proof of such loss or destroyed in the conflagration.

MJN 3449

 $\frac{15}{16}$

.18

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{51}{52}$

the following may, without further proof, be admitted in evidence to prove the contents of such record:

(1) Any abstract of title made and issued and certified as correct prior to such loss or destruction, and purporting to have been prepared and made in the ordinary course of business by any person engaged in the business of preparing and making abstracts of title prior to such loss or destruction; or

- (2) Any abstract of title, or of any instrument affecting title, made, issued, and certified as correct by any person engaged in the business of insuring titles or issuing abstracts of title to real estate, whether the same was made, issued, or certified before or after such loss or destruction and whether the same was made from the original records or from abstract and notes, or either, taken from such records in the preparation and upkeeping of its plant in the ordinary course of its business.
- (b) No proof of the loss of the original writing is required other than the fact that the original is not known to the party desiring to prove its contents to be in existence.
- (c) Any party desiring to use evidence admissible under this section shall give reasonable notice in writing to all other parties to the action who have appeared therein, of his intention to use such evidence at the trial of the action, and shall give all such other parties a reasonable opportunity to inspect the evidence, and also the abstracts, memoranda, or notes from which it was compiled, and to take copies thereof.

1602. If a patent for mineral lands within this state issued or granted by the United States of America, contains a statement of the date of the location of a claim or claims upon which the granting or issuance of such patent is based, such statement is prima facie evidence of the date of such location.

1603. A deed of conveyance of real property, purporting to have been executed by a proper officer in pursuance of legal process of any of the courts of record of this state, acknowledged and recorded in the office of the recorder of the county wherein the real property therein described is situated, or the record of such deed, or a certified copy of such record, is prima facie evidence that the property or interest therein described was thereby conveyed to the grantee named in such deed.

1604. A certificate of purchase, or of location, of any lands in this state, issued or made in pursuance of any law of the United States or of this state, is prima facie evidence that the holder or assignee of such certificate is the owner of the land described therein; but this evidence may be overcome by proof that, at the time of the location, or time of filing a preemption claim on which the certificate may have been issued, the land was in the adverse possession of the adverse party, or those under whom he claims, or that the adverse party is holding the land for mining purposes.

1605. Duplicate copies and authenticated translations of original Spanish title papers relating to land claims in this

state, derived from the Spanish or Mexican governments, prepared under the supervision of the Keeper of Archives, authenticated by the Surveyor-General or his successor and by the Keeper of Archives, and filed with a county recorder, in accordance with Chapter 281 of the Statutes of 1865–66, are receivable as prima facie evidence with like force and effect as the originals and without proving the execution of such originals.

Sec. 2-3. Section 2904 of the Business and Professions Code is repealed.

Sec. 3. 4. Section 5012 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

5012. The board shall have a seal.

 $\frac{11}{12}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 31 \\ 32 \end{array}$

Sec. 4. 5. Section 25009 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

25009. Any defendant in any action brought under this chapter or any person who may be a witness therein under Sections 2016, 2018, and 2019 of the Code of Civil Procedure or Section 776 of the Evidence Code, and the books and records of any such defendant or witness, may be brought into court and the books and records may be introduced by reference into evidence, but no information so obtained may be used against the defendant or any such witness as a basis for a misdemeanor prosecution under this chapter.

Sec. 5. 6. Section 53 of the Civil Code is amended to read: 53. (a) Every provision in a written instrument relating to real property which purports to forbid or restrict the conveyance, encumbrance, leasing, or mortgaging of such real property to any person of a specified race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin, is void and every restriction or prohibition as to the use or occupation of real property because of the user's or occupier's race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin is void.

(b) Every restriction or prohibition, whether by way of covenant, condition upon use or occupation, or upon transfer of title to real property, which restriction or prohibition directly or indirectly limits the acquisition, use or occupation of such property because of the acquirer's, user's, or occupier's race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin is void.

(c) In any action to declare that a restriction or prohibition specified in subdivision (a) or (b) of this section is void, the court takes judicial notice of the recorded instrument or instruments containing such prohibitions or restrictions in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 6. 7. Section 164.5 is added to the Civil Code, to read:

164.5. The presumption that property acquired during marriage is community property does not apply to any property to which legal or equitable title is held by a person at the time of his death if the marriage during which the property was

9

14

15

16

17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

 $\frac{32}{33}$

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

1 acquired was terminated by divorce more than four years 2 prior to such death.

Sec. 7. 8. Section 193 of the Civil Code is repealed.

SEC. 8. 9. Section 194 of the Civil Code is repealed. SEC. 9. 10. Section 195 of the Civil Code is repealed.

6 SEC. 10. Section 3544 is added to the Civil Code, to read:
7 3544. A person intends the ordinary consequences of his voluntary act.

Sec. 11. Section 3545 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 3545. Private transactions are fair and regular.

3545. Private transactions are fair and regular.
Sec. 12. Section 3546 is added to the Civil Code, to read:
3546. Things happen according to the ordinary course of
nature and the ordinary habits of life.

SEC. 13. Section 3547 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 3547. A thing continues to exist as long as is usual with things of that nature.

SEC. 14. Section 3548 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 3548. The law has been obeyed.

SEC. 15. Section 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

1. This act shall be known as the Code of Civil Procedure, and is divided into four parts, as follows:

Part I. Of Courts of Justice.

II. Of Civil Actions.

III. Of Special Proceedings of a Civil Nature.

IV. Miscellaneous Provisions.

Sec. 16. Section 117g of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

117g. No attorney at law or other person than the plaintiff and defendant shall take any part in the filing or the prosecution or defense of such litigation in the small claims court. The plaintiff and defendant shall have the right to offer evidence in their behalf by witnesses appearing at such hearing, or at any other time. The presence of the plaintiff or defendant, whether individual or corporate, at the hearing shall not be required to permit the proof of the items of an account but such proof shall be in accordance with the provisions of Sections 1270 and 1271 of the Evidence Code. The judge or justice may also informally make any investigation of the controversy between the parties either in or out of court and give judgment and make such orders as to time of payment or otherwise as may, by him, be deemed to be right and just. The provisions of Section 579 of the Code of Civil Procedure are hereby made applicable to small claims court actions.

Sec. 17. Section 125 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

125. In an action for divorce or seduction, the court may direct the trial of any issue of fact joined therein to be private, and may exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their witnesses, and counsel. Nothing in this section prevents the exclusion of a witness pursuant to Evidence Code Section 777.

- 1 Sec. 18. Section 153 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 2 amended to read:
 - 153. Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, the seal of a court need not be affixed to any proceeding therein, or to any document, except:
 - 1. To a writ;

- 2. To a summons;
- 3. To a warrant of arrest;
- 9 4. To the certificate of probate of a will or of the appoint-10 ment of an executor, administrator, or guardian.
- 11 Sec. 19. Section 433 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 12 amended to read:
 - 433. When any of the matters enumerated in Section 430 do not appear upon the face of the complaint, the objection may be taken by answer; except that when the ground of demurrer is that there is another action or proceeding pending between the same parties for the same cause and the court may take judicial notice of the other action or proceeding under Division 4 (commencing with Section 450) of the Evidence Code, an affidavit may be filed with the demurrer for the sole purpose of establishing such fact or invoking such notice.
- 23 Sec. 20. Section 631.7 is added to the Code of Civil Pro-24 cedure, to read:
- 25 631.7. Ordinarily, unless the court otherwise directs, the 26 trial of a civil action tried by the court without a jury shall 27 proceed in the order specified in Section 607.
- 28 Sec. 21. Section 1256.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 29 repealed.
- 30 Sec. 22. Section 1747 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 31 amended to read:
 - 1747. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 124 of the Code of Civil Procedure, all superior court hearings or conferences in proceedings under this chapter shall be held in private and the court shall exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their counsel and witnesses. Conferences may be held with each party and his counsel separately and in the discretion of the judge, commissioner or counselor conducting the conference or hearing, counsel for one party may be excluded when the adverse party is present. All communications, verbal or written, from parties to the judge, commissioner or counselor in a proceeding under this chapter shall be deemed to be official information within the meaning of Section 1040 of the Evidence Code.

The files of the conciliation court shall be closed. The petition, supporting affidavit, reconciliation agreement and any court order made in the matter may be opened to inspection by any party or his counsel upon the written authority of the judge of the conciliation court.

SEC. 23. The heading of Part IV of the Code of Civil Pro-

cedure is amended to read:

1	PAR	T IV. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
$\frac{2}{3}$	Sec. 24.	Section 1823 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
4	pealed.	
5	Sec. 25.	Section 1824 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
6	pealed.	•
7	Sec. 26.	Section 1825 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
8	pealed.	
9	Sec. 27.	Section 1826 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
10	$\underset{\sim}{\text{pealed}}$.	Q .1. 400 7 4.3. Q 3. 4.01.13.73
11	Sec. 28.	Section 1827 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
12	pealed.	Costion 1000 of the Code of Circl Duce James is no
13	SEC. 29. pealed.	Section 1828 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\frac{14}{15}$	SEC. 30.	Section 1829 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
16	pealed.	beetion 1023 of the Code of Olvir 110cedule is re-
17	Sec. 31.	Section 1830 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
18	pealed.	South 1999 of the Court of Civil 11000date is to
19	Sec. 32.	Section 1831 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\overline{20}$	pealed.	
21	Sec. 33.	Section 1832 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
22	pealed.	
23	Sec. 34.	Section 1833 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
24	pealed.	
25	SEC. 35.	Section 1834 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
2 6	pealed.	Cart's 1000 clair C 7 cl C'-'l Dans I ac'
27	SEC. 36.	Section 1836 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\frac{28}{29}$	pealed. Sec. 37.	Section 1837 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
30	pealed.	occion 1001 of the code of Civil 1 locedule is 10-
31	Sec. 38.	Section 1838 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\frac{32}{32}$	pealed.	
33	Sec. 39.	Section 1839 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
34	pealed.	
35	Sec. 40.	Section 1844 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
36	pealed.	
37	Sec. 41.	Section 1845 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
38	pealed.	Chatter 1945 5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
39	SEC. 42.	Section 1845.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
$\frac{40}{41}$	repealed. SEC. 42.	Section 1845.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
$\frac{41}{42}$		and amended to read:
43	1845.5.	and amended to read.
44		n an eminent domain proceeding a witness, other-
45		ed, may testify with respect to the value of the real
46	property inc	cluding the improvements situated thereon or the
47	value of any	y interest in real property to be taken, and may
4 8		rect examination as to his knowledge of the amount
49		parable property or property interests. In render-
50	ing his opini	ion as to highest and best use and market value of
51	the property	r sought to be condemned the witness shall be pernsider and give evidence as to the nature and value
5 2	mitted to cor	usider and give evidence as to the nature and value

1 of the improvements and the character of the existing uses being made of the properties in the general vicinity of the $\mathbf{2}$ $\mathbf{3}$ property sought to be condemned. Nothing in this section makes inadmissible any evidence that is admissible under Sec-4 5 tions 800 to 805, inclusive, of the Evidence Code or under any 6 other provision of the Evidence Code.

7 Sec. 43. Section 1846 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed.

- 9 SEC. 44. Section 1847 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-10 pealed.
- Section 1848 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-11 Sec. 45. 12 pealed.
- 13 Sec. 46. Section 1849 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-14 pealed.
- Sec. 47. Section 1850 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-15 16 pealed.
- Sec. 48. Section 1851 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-17 18 pealed.
- Sec. 49. Section 1852 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-19 20 pealed.
- Sec. 50. Section 1853 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-2122 pealed.
- Section 1854 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-23 Sec. 51. 24 pealed.
- Sec. 52. Section 1855 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-25
- 26 pealed. Section 1855a of the Code of Civil Procedure is 27 Sec. 53.
- 28 repealed. 29 Sec. 54. Section 1863 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 30 pealed.
- Sec. 55. Section 1867 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-31 pealed. 32
- Section 1868 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-33 Sec. 56. 34 pealed.
- Section 1869 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-35 Sec. 57. 36 pealed.
- Section 1870 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-37 Sec. 58. pealed.
- 38 Section 1871 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-39 Sec. 59.
- pealed. 40 41 Sec. 60. Section 1872 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- pealed. 42
- Section 1875 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-43 Sec. 61. 44 pealed.
- 45Sec. 62. Section 1879 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-46 pealed.
- Section 1880 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-47 Sec. 63.
- 48 pealed. Section 1881 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-Sec. 64. 49
- 50 pealed.

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

 $\frac{17}{18}$

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 $\frac{28}{29}$

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

44

45

46

47

1 Sec. 65. Section 1883 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

2 pealed. Sec.

Sec. 66. Section 1884 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

4 pealed.
5 Sec. 67. Section 1885 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 68. Section 1893 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

1893. Every public officer having the custody of a public writing, which a citizen has a right to inspect, is bound to give him, on demand, a certified copy of it, on payment of the legal fees therefor. If a public officer having custody of public writings of a particular type fails to find a demanded writing of that type after diligent search, he shall furnish, upon demand, a writing so stating and affix his signature thereto in his official capacity, on payment of a fee therefor in like amount as the minimum fee that would have been required for the preparation and certification of a nonphotographic copy of the demanded writing.

SEC. 69. Section 1901 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 70. Section 1903 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 71. Section 1905 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 72. Section 1906 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 73. Section 1907 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 74.. Section 1908.5 is added to the Code of Civil Procedure, to read:

1908.5. When a judgment or order of a court is conclusive, the judgment or order must be alleged in the pleadings if there be an opportunity to do so; if there be no such opportunity, the judgment or order may be used as evidence.

Sec. 75. Section 1918 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 76. Section 1919 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 77. Section 1919a of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

42 Sec. 78. Section 1919b of the Code of Civil Procedure is 43 repealed.

Sec. 79. Section 1920 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 80. Section 1920a of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

48 Sec. 81. Section 1920b of the Code of Civil Procedure is 49 repealed.

50 Sec. 82. Section 1921 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

- 1 Sec. 83. Section 1922 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- SEC. 84. Section 1923 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 5 Sec. 85. Section 1924 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed.
- 7 SEC. 86. Section 1925 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed.
- 9 Sec. 87. Section 1926 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-10 pealed.
- 11 Sec. 88. Section 1927 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 13 Sec. 89. Section 1927.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 14 repealed.
- 15 Sec. 90. Section 1928 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 17 Sec. 91. Article 2.1 (commencing with Section 1928.1) of 18 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 19 is repealed.
- 20 Sec. 92. Section 1936 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 22 Sec. 93. Section 1936.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
 - Sec. 94. Section 1937 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- pealed.
 Sec. 95. Section 1938 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

- 28 Sec. 96. Section 1939 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-29 pealed.
- 30 Sec. 97. Section 1940 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 32 Sec. 98. Section 1941 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-33 pealed.
- 34 Sec. 99. Section 1942 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-35 pealed.
- SEC. 100. Section 1943 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 38 Sec. 101. Section 1944 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 40 Sec. 102. Section 1945 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 41 repealed.
- 42 Sec. 103. Section 1946 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 43 repealed.
- 44 Sec. 104. Section 1947 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 45 repealed.
- SEC. 105. Section 1948 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 48 Sec. 106. Section 1951 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 49 repealed.
- 50 Sec. 107. Article 5 (commencing with Section 1953e) of 51 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 52 is repealed.

 MJN 3457

5

 $\frac{6}{7}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

24

25

 $\frac{26}{27}$

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

37

38

39

40

 $\frac{41}{42}$

43

44

45

46

49

50

51

52

SEC. 108. Article 6 (commencing with Section 1953i) of Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 109. Chapter 4 (consisting of Section 1954) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 110. Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 1957) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Sec. 111. Section 1967 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 112. Section 1968 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

repealed.

SEC. 113. Section 1973 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 114. Section 1974 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

15 amended to read:

1974. No person is liable upon a representation as to the credit of a third person, unless such representation, or some memorandum thereof, be in writing, and either subscribed by or in the handwriting of the party to be held liable.

Sec. 115. Chapter 7 (consisting of Section 1978) of Title

2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. SEC. 116. Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 1980.1) of

Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Sec. 117. Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1981) of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 118. Section 1998 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 119. Section 1998.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

repealed.

Sec. 120. Section 1998.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 121. Section 1998.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

SEC. 122. Section 1998.4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

35 repealed. 36 Sec. 12

SEC. 123. Section 1998.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 124. Section 2009 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

2009. An affidavit may be used to verify a pleading or a paper in a special proceeding, to prove the service of a summons, notice, or other paper in an action or special proceeding, to obtain a provisional remedy, the examination of a witness, or a stay of proceedings, and in uncontested proceedings to establish a record of birth, or upon a motion, and in any other case expressly permitted by statute.

Sec. 125. Section 2016 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

47 Sec. 125. Sect 48 amended to read:

2016. (a) Any party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition upon oral examination or written interrogatories for the purpose of discovery or for use as evidence in the action or for both purposes. Such depositions

3

4

5

6

 $\frac{7}{8}$

 $\frac{9}{10}$

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

 $\frac{30}{31}$

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

may be taken in an action at any time after the service of the summons or in a special proceeding after the service of the petition or after the appearance of the defendant or respondent. After commencement of the action or proceedings, the deposition may be taken without leave of court, except that leave of court, granted with or without notice, and for good cause shown, must be obtained if the notice of the taking of the deposition is served by the plaintiff within 20 days after service of the summons or petition on, or appearance of, the defendant or respondent. The attendance of witnesses or the production of books, documents, or other things at depositions may be compelled by the use of subpoena as provided in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985), Title 3, Part 4 of this code.

(b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court as provided by subdivision (b) or (d) of Section 2019 of this code, the deponent may be examined regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the examining party, or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of relevant facts. It is not ground for objection that the testimony will be inadmissible at the trial if the testimony sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. All matters which are privileged against disclosure upon the trial under the law of this state are privileged against disclosure through any discovery procedure. This article shall not be construed to change the law of this state with respect to the existence of any privilege, whether provided for by statute or by judicial decision.

The work product of an attorney shall not be discoverable unless the court determines that denial of discovery will unfairly prejudice the party seeking discovery in preparing his claim or defense or will result in an injustice, and any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories shall not be discoverable under any circumstances.

(c) Examination and cross-examination of deponents may proceed as permitted at the trial.

(d) At the trial or upon the hearing of a motion or an interlocutory proceeding, any part or all of a deposition, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence, may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or who had due notice thereof, in accordance with any one of the following provisions:

(1) Any deposition may be used by any party for the purpose of contradicting or impeaching the testimony of deponent as a witness.

2

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

(2) The deposition of a party to the record of any civil action or proceeding or of a person for whose immediate benefit said action or proceeding is prosecuted or defended, or of anyone who at the time of taking the deposition was an officer, director, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or managing agent of any such party or person may be used by an adverse party for any purpose.

(3) The deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, may be used by any party for any purpose if the court finds: (i) that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code or (ii) upon application and notice, that such exceptional circumstances exist as to make it desirable, in the interest of justice and with due regard to the importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses or ally in open court, to allow the deposition to be

(4) Subject to the requirements of this section, a party may offer in evidence all or any part of a deposition, and if such party introduces only part of such deposition, any party may introduce any other parts.

Substitution of parties does not affect the right to use depositions previously taken; and, when an action in any court of the United States or of any state has been dismissed and another action involving the same subject matter is afterward brought between the same parties or their representatives or successors in interest, all depositions lawfully taken and duly filed in the former action may be used in the latter as if originally taken therefor.

(e) Subject to the provisions of subdivision (c) of Section 2021 of this code, objection may be made at the trial or hearing to receiving in evidence any deposition or part thereof for any reason which would require the exclusion of the evidence if the witness were then present and testifying.

(f) A party shall not be deemed to make a person his own witness for any purpose by taking his deposition. Except where the deposition is used under the provisions of paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of this section, the introduction in evidence of the deposition or any part thereof for any purpose other than that of contradicting or impeaching the deponent, or for explaining or clarifying portions of the said deposition offered by an adverse party, makes the deponent the witness of the party introducing the deposition, as to the portions of the deposition introduced by said party. At the trial or hearing any party may rebut any relevant evidence contained in a deposition whether introduced by him or by another party.

(g) It is the policy of this state (i) to preserve the rights of attorneys to prepare cases for trial with that degree of privacy necessary to encourage them to prepare their cases thoroughly and to investigate not only the favorable but the unfavorable aspects of such cases and (ii) to prevent an attorney from taking undue advantage of his adversary's industry or efforts.

52

—71— SB 110

SEC. 126. Article 6 (commencing with Section 2042) of Chapter 3 of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

amended to read:

Sec. 127. Title 4 (consisting of Section 2061) of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 128. Section 2065 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 129. Section 2066 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

9 repealed.
10 Sec. 130. Section 2078 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
11 repealed.

Sec. 131. Section 2079 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 132. Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 2101) of Title 6 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Sec. 133. Section 6602 of the Corporations Code is

6602. If any action or proceeding, the court takes judicial notice, in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code, of the official acts affecting corporations of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the state or place under the laws of which the corporation purports to be incorporated.

SEC. 134. Section 25310 of the Corporations Code is amended to read:

25310. The commissioner shall adopt a seal bearing the inscription: "Commissioner of Corporations, State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs, orders, permits, and certificates issued by him, and to such other instruments as he directs.

Sec. 135. Section 11513 of the Government Code is amended to read:

11513. (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.

- (b) Each party shall have these rights: to call and examine witnesses; to introduce exhibits; to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination; to impeach any witness regardless of which party first called him to testify; and to rebut the evidence against him. If respondent does not testify in his own behalf he may be called and examined as if under cross-examination.
- (c) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule which might make improper the admission of such evidence over objection in civil actions. Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

in civil actions. The rules of privilege shall be effective to the extent that they are otherwise required by statute to be recognized at the hearing, and irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded.

Sec. 136. Section 19580 of the Government Code is amended to read:

19580. Either by deposition or at the hearing the employee may be examined and may examine or cause any person to be examined under Section 776 of the Evidence Code.

Sec. 137. Section 3197 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

3197. In any prosecution for a violation of any provision of this article, or any rule or regulation of the board made pursuant to this article, or in any quarantine proceeding authorized by this article, or in any habeas corpus or other proceeding in which the legality of such quarantine is questioned, any physician, health officer, spouse, or other person shall be competent and may be required to testify against any person against whom such prosecution or other proceeding was instituted, and the privileges provided by Sections 970, 971, 980, 994, and 1014 of the Evidence Code are not applicable to or in any such prosecution or proceeding.

SEC. 137.5. Section 5708 of the Labor Code is amended to read:

5708. (a) All hearing and investigations before the commission, panel, a commissioner, or a referee, are governed by this division and by the rules of practice and procedure adopted by the commission. In the conduct thereof they shall not be bound by the common law or statutory rules of evidence and procedure, but may make inquiry in the manner, through oral testimony and records, which is best calculated to ascertain the substantial rights of the parties and carry out justly the spirit and provisions of this division. All oral testimony, objections, and rulings shall be taken down in shorthand by a competent phonographic reporter.

(b) Except as provided in subdivision (c), the Evidence Code does not apply to the hearings and investigations described in subdivision (a).

(e) The rules of privilege provided by Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) of the Evidence Code shall be recognized in such hearings and investigations to the extent they are required by Division 8 to be recognized, but subdivision (b) of Section 914 of the Evidence Code does not apply in such hearings and investigations.

Sec. 138. Section 270e of the Penal Code is amended to read:

270e. No other evidence shall be required to prove marriage of husband and wife, or that a person is the lawful father or mother of a child or children, than is or shall be required to prove such facts in a civil action. In all prosecutions under either Section 270a or 270 of this code, Sections 970, 971, and 980 of the Evidence Code do not apply, and both

husband and wife shall be competent to testify to any and all 1 2 relevant matters, including the fact of marriage and the par-3 entage of a child or children. Proof of the abandonment and 4 nonsupport of a wife, or of the omission to furnish necessary food, clothing, shelter, or of medical attendance for a child or 5 children is prima facie evidence that such abandonment and 6 nonsupport or omission to furnish necessary food, clothing, 7 8 shelter or medical attendance is wilful. In any prosecution under Section 270, it shall be competent for the people to prove 9 10 nonaccess of husband to wife or any other fact establishing nonpaternity of a husband. In any prosecution pursuant to 11 12 Section 270, the final establishment of paternity or nonpater-13 nity in another proceeding shall be admissible as evidence of 14 paternity or nonpaternity. 15

Sec. 139. Section 686 of the Penal Code is amended to

16 read:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

37

38

39

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

In a criminal action the defendant is entitled:

1. To a speedy and public trial.

2. To be allowed counsel as in civil actions, or to appear and defend in person and with counsel.

- 3. To produce witnesses on his behalf and to be confronted with the witnesses against him, in the presence of the court, except that:
- (a) Hearsay evidence may be admitted to the extent that it is otherwise admissible in a criminal action under the law of
- (b) The deposition of a witness taken in the action may be read to the extent that it is otherwise admissible under the law of this state.

Sec. 140. Section 688 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

688. No person charged with a public offense may be subjected, before conviction, to any more restraint than is necessary for his detention to answer the charge.

Section 939.6 of the Penal Code is amended to Sec. 141.

36 read:

- (a) Subject to subdivision (b), in the investigation of a charge, the grand jury shall receive no other evidence than such as is:
- 40 (1) Given by witnesses produced and sworn before the 41 grand jury; 42

(2) Furnished by writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses; or

(3) Contained in a deposition that is admissible under subdivision 3 of Section 686.

(b) The grand jury shall receive none but evidence that would be admissible over objection at the trial of a criminal action, but the fact that evidence which would have been excluded at trial was received by the grand jury does not render the indictment void where sufficient competent evidence to support the indictment was received by the grand jury.

51 6-sb 110

13₁

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

1 Sec. 142. Section 961 of the Penal Code is amended to 2 read:

961. Neither presumptions of law, nor matters of which judicial notice is authorized or required to be taken, need be stated in an accusatory pleading.

Sec. 143. Section 963 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

963. In pleading a private statute, or an ordinance of a county or a municipal corporation, or a right derived therefrom, it is sufficient to refer to the statute or ordinance by its title and the day of its passage, and the court must thereupon take judicial notice thereof in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 144. Section 1120 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1120. If a juror has any personal knowledge respecting a fact in controversy in a cause, he must declare the same in open court during the trial. If, during the retirement of the jury, a juror declare a fact which could be evidence in the cause, as of his own knowledge, the jury must return into court. In either of these cases, the juror making the statement must be sworn as a witness and examined in the presence of the parties in order that the court may determine whether good cause exists for his discharge as a juror.

SEC. 145. Section 1322 of the Penal Code is repealed.

Sec. 146. Section 1323 of the Penal Code is repealed.

Sec. 147. Section 1323.5 of the Penal Code is repealed. Sec. 148. Section 1345 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1345. The deposition, or a certified copy thereof, may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question or answer contained in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

SEC. 149. Section 1362 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1362. The depositions taken under the commission may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question in the interrogatories or to an answer in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

Sec. 150. Section 306 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

306. The office of the commission shall be in the City and County of San Francisco. The office shall always be open, legal holidays and nonjudicial days excepted. The commission shall hold its sessions at least once in each calendar month in the City and County of San Francisco. The commission may also

meet at such other times and in such other places as may be expedient and necessary for the proper performance of its duties, and for that purpose may rent quarters or offices. Except for the commission's deliberative conferences, the sessions and meetings of the commission shall be open and public and all persons shall be permitted to attend.

The commission shall have a seal, bearing the inscription "Public Utilities Commission State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs and authentications of copies of records and to such other instruments as the commission shall

11 direct.

The commission may procure all necessary books, maps, charts, stationery, instruments, office furniture, apparatus, and appliances.

Sec. 151. Sections 2 to 150 of this act shall become opera-

16 tive on January 1, 1967.

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 1, 1965 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 23, 1965 AMENDED IN SENATE FEBRUARY 24, 1965 AMENDED IN SENATE FEBRUARY 18, 1965

SENATE BILL

No. 110

Introduced by Senator Cobey (Coauthor: Assemblyman Song)

January 14, 1965

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

An act to establish an Evidence Code, thereby consolidating and revising the law relating to evidence; amending various sections of the Business and Professions Code, Civil Code, Code of Civil Procedure, Corporations Code, Government Code, Health and Safety Code, Penal Code, and Public Utilities Code to make them consistent therewith; adding Sections 164.5, 3544, 3545, 3546, 3547, and 3548 to the Civil Code; adding Sections 631.7 and 1908.5 to the Code of Civil Procedure; and repealing legislation inconsistent therewith.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

Sec. 1. This act shall be known as the Cobey-Song Evidence Act.

Sec. 2. The Evidence Code is enacted, to read:

3 4 5

1

EVIDENCE CODE

 $_{7}^{6}$

DIVISION 1. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS AND CONSTRUCTION

 $\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

11

12

13

14

15 16 1. This code shall be known as the Evidence Code.

2. The rule of the common law, that statutes in derogation thereof are to be strictly construed, has no application to this code. This code establishes the law of this state respecting the subject to which it relates, and its provisions are to be liberally construed with a view to effecting its objects and promoting justice.

 $\frac{23}{24}$

- 3. If any provision or clause of this code or application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the code which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this code are declared to be severable.
- 4. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these preliminary provisions and rules of construction shall govern the construction of this code.
- 5. Division, chapter, article, and section headings do not in any manner affect the scope, meaning, or intent of the provisions of this code.
- 6. Whenever any reference is made to any portion of this code or of any other statute, such reference shall apply to all amendments and additions heretofore or hereafter made.
 - 7. Unless otherwise expressly stated:
 - (a) "Division" means a division of this code.
- (b) "Chapter" means a chapter of the division in which that term occurs.
- (c) "Article" means an article of the chapter in which that term occurs.
 - (d) "Section" means a section of this code.
- (e) "Subdivision" means a subdivision of the section in which that term occurs.
- (f) "Paragraph" means a paragraph of the subdivision in which that term occurs.
- 8. The present tense includes the past and future tenses; and the future, the present.
 - 9. The masculine gender includes the feminine and neuter.
- 10. The singular number includes the plural; and the plural, the singular.
 - 11. "Shall" is mandatory and "may" is permissive.
- 12. (a) This code shall become operative on January 1, 1967, and shall govern proceedings in actions brought on or after that date and, except as provided in subdivision (b), further proceedings in actions pending on that date.
- (b) Subject to subdivision (c), a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, shall not be governed by this code. For the purpose of this subdivision:
- (1) A trial is commenced when the first witness is sworn or the first exhibit is admitted into evidence and is terminated when the issue upon which such evidence is received is submitted to the trier of fact. A new trial, or a separate trial of a different issue, commenced on or after January 1, 1967, shall be governed by this code.
- (2) If an appeal is taken from a ruling made at a trial commenced before January 1, 1967, the appellate court shall apply the law applicable at the time of the commencement of the trial.
- (c) The provisions of Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) relating to privileges shall govern any claim of privilege made after December 31, 1966.

DIVISION 2. WORDS AND PHRASES DEFINED

Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, these definitions govern the construction of this code.

"Action" includes a civil action and a criminal action. 105.

"Burden of producing evidence" means the obligation of a party to introduce evidence sufficient to avoid a ruling against him on the issue.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

51 52

"Burden of proof" means the obligation of a party to establish by evidence a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court. The burden of proof may require a party to raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Except as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

"Civil action" includes civil proceedings. 120.

"Conduct" includes all active and passive behavior, both verbal and nonverbal.

130. "Criminal action" includes criminal proceedings. "Declarant" is a person who makes a statement. 135.

"Evidence" means testimony, writings, material ob-**14**0. jects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

145. "The hearing" means the hearing at which a question under this code arises, and not some earlier or later hearing.

"Hearsay evidence" is defined in Section 1200.

"Law" includes constitutional, statutory, and de-160. cisional law.

165. "Oath" includes affirmation or declaration under penalty of perjury.

170. "Perceive" means to acquire knowledge through one's

senses. 35

"Person" includes a natural person, firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public entity.

"Personal property" includes money, goods, chattels,

things in action, and evidences of debt.

"Property" includes both real and personal property. 185.

"Proof" is the establishment by evidence of a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court.

"Public employee" means an officer, agent, or em-

ployee of a public entity.

"Public entity" includes a nation, state, county, city and county, city, district, public authority, public agency, or any other political subdivision or public corporation, whether foreign or domestic.

"Real property" includes lands, tenements, and her-

editaments. MJN 3468 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 $\frac{40}{41}$

8

0

210. "Relevant evidence" means evidence, including evidence relevant to the credibility of a witness or hearsay declarant, having any tendency in reason to prove or disprove any disputed fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action.

220. "State" means the State of California, unless applied to the different parts of the United States. In the latter case, it includes any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States.

225. "Statement" means (a) oral or written verbal expression or (b) nonverbal conduct of a person intended by him

as a substitute for oral or written verbal expression.

230. "Statute" includes a treaty and a constitutional provision.

235. "Trier of fact" includes (a) the jury and (b) the court when the court is trying an issue of fact other than one relating to the admissibility of evidence.

240. (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b), "unavailable as a witness" means that the declarant is:

(1) Exempted or precluded on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the matter to which his statement is relevant;

(2) Disqualified from testifying to the matter;

(3) Dead or unable to attend or to testify at the hearing because of then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity;

(4) Absent from the hearing and the court is unable to

compel his attendance by its process; or

(5) Absent from the hearing and the proponent of his statement has exercised reasonable diligence but has been unable to procure his attendance by the court's process.

(b) A declarant is not unavailable as a witness if the exemption, preclusion, disqualification, death, inability, or absence of the declarant was brought about by the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of his statement for the purpose of preventing the declarant from attending or testifying.

250. "Writing" means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof.

DIVISION 3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER 1. APPLICABILITY OF CODE

300. Except as otherwise provided by statute, this code applies in every action before the Supreme Court or a district court of appeal, superior court, municipal court, or justice court, including proceedings in such actions conducted by a referee, court commissioner, or similar officer, but does not apply in grand jury proceedings.

CHAPTER 2. PROVINCE OF COURT AND JURY

 $\frac{1}{2}$

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28.

29

30

31

310. (a) All questions of law (including but not limited to questions concerning the construction of statutes and other writings, the admissibility of evidence, and other rules of evidence) are to be decided by the court. Determination of issues of fact preliminary to the admission of evidence are to be decided by the court as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 400) of Chapter 4.

(b) Determination of the law of an organization of nations or of the law of a foreign nation or a public entity in a foreign nation is a question of law to be determined in the manner pro-

vided in Division 4 (commencing with Section 450).

311. If the law of an organization of nations, a foreign nation or a state other than this state, or a public entity in a foreign nation or a state other than this state, is applicable and such law cannot be determined, the court may, as the ends of justice require, either:

(a) Apply the law of this state if the court can do so consistently with the Constitution of the United States and the

Constitution of this state; or

- (b) Dismiss the action without prejudice or, in the case of a reviewing court, remand the case to the trial court with directions to dismiss the action without prejudice.
- 312. Except as otherwise provided by law, where the trial is by jury:

(a) All questions of fact are to be decided by the jury.

(b) Subject to the control of the court, the jury is to determine the effect and value of the evidence addressed to it, including the credibility of witnesses and hearsay declarants.

CHAPTER 3. ORDER OF PROOF

320. Except as otherwise provided by law, the court in its discretion shall regulate the order of proof.

36 37 38

CHAPTER 4. ADMITTING AND EXCLUDING EVIDENCE

38 39

Article 1. General Provisions

 $\frac{40}{41}$ $\frac{42}{42}$

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

51

350. No evidence is admissible except relevant evidence.

351. Except as otherwise provided by statute, all relevant evidence is admissible.

352. The court in its discretion may exclude evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will (a) necessitate undue consumption of time or (b) create substantial danger of undue prejudice, of confusing the issues, or of misleading the jury.

353. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason

of the erroneous admission of evidence unless:

13 .

(a) There appears of record an objection to or a motion to exclude or to strike the evidence that was timely made and so stated as to make clear the specific ground of the objection or motion; and

4 motion; and 5 (b) The o

(b) The court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the admitted evidence should have been excluded on the ground stated and that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice.

354. A verdict or finding shall not be set aside, nor shall the judgment or decision based thereon be reversed, by reason of the erroneous exclusion of evidence unless the court which passes upon the effect of the error or errors is of the opinion that the error or errors complained of resulted in a miscarriage of justice and it appears of record that:

(a) The substance, purpose, and relevance of the excluded evidence was made known to the court by the questions asked,

an offer of proof, or by any other means;

(b) The rulings of the court made compliance with subdivision (a) futile; or

(c) The evidence was sought by questions asked during cross-examination or re-cross-examination.

355. When evidence is admissible as to one party or for one purpose and is inadmissible as to another party or for another purpose, the court upon request shall restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.

356. Where part of an act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence by one party, the whole on the same subject may be inquired into by an adverse party; when a letter is read, the answer may be given; and when a detached act, declaration, conversation, or writing is given in evidence, any other act, declaration, conversation, or writing which is necessary to make it understood may also be given in evidence.

Article 2. Preliminary Determinations on Admissibility of Evidence

400. As used in this article, "preliminary fact" means a fact upon the existence or nonexistence of which depends the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence. The phrase "the admissibility or inadmissibility of evidence" includes the qualification or disqualification of a person to be a witness and the existence or nonexistence of a privilege.

401. As used in this article, "proffered evidence" means evidence, the admissibility or inadmissibility of which is dependent upon the existence or nonexistence of a preliminary

46 fact.

402. (a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, its existence or nonexistence shall be determined as provided in this article.

(b) The court may hear and determine the question of the admissibility of evidence out of the presence or hearing of the jury; but in a criminal action, the court shall hear and deter-

mine the question of the admissibility of a confession or admission of the defendant out of the presence and hearing of the jury if any party so requests.

(c) A ruling on the admissibility of evidence implies whatever flucing of fact is prerequisite thereto; a separate or

formal finding is unnecessary unless required by statute.

403. (a) The proponent of the proffered evidence has the burden of producing evidence as to the existence of the preliminary fact, and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless the court finds that there is evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the existence of the preliminary fact, when:

(1) The relevance of the proffered evidence depends on the

existence of the preliminary fact;

(2) The preliminary fact is the personal knowledge of a witness concerning the subject matter of his testimony;

(3) The preliminary fact is the authenticity of a writing; or (4) The proffered evidence is of a statement or other con-

(4) The proffered evidence is of a statement or other conduct of a particular person and the preliminary fact is whether that person made the statement or so conducted himself.

(b) Subject to Section 702, the court may admit conditionally the proffered evidence under this section, subject to evidence of the preliminary fact being supplied later in the course of the trial.

(c) If the court admits the proffered evidence under this

section, the court:

5

 $\frac{45}{46}$

- (1) May, and on request shall, instruct the jury to determine whether the preliminary fact exists and to disregard the proffered evidence unless the jury finds that the preliminary fact does exist.
- (2) Shall instruct the jury to disregard the proffered evidence if the court subsequently determines that a jury could not reasonably find that the preliminary fact exists.
- 404. Whenever the proffered evidence is claimed to be privileged under Section 940, the person claiming the privilege has the burden of showing that the proffered evidence might tend to incriminate him; and the proffered evidence is inadmissible unless it clearly appears to the court that the proffered evidence cannot possibly have a tendency to incriminate the person claiming the privilege.

405. With respect to preliminary fact determinations not

governed by Section 403 or 404:

- (a) When the existence of a preliminary fact is disputed, the court shall indicate which party has the burden of producing evidence and the burden of proof on the issue as implied by the rule of law under which the question arises. The court shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the preliminary fact and shall admit or exclude the proffered evidence as required by the rule of law under which the question arises.
 - (b) If a preliminary fact is also a fact in issue in the action:
- (1) The jury shall not be informed of the court's determination as to the existence or nonexistence of the preliminary fact.

2

3

4

5 6

(2) If the proffered evidence is admitted, the jury shall not be instructed to disregard the evidence if its determination of the fact differs from the court's determination of the preliminary fact.

This article does not limit the right of a party to introduce before the trier of fact evidence relevant to weight

or credibility.

7 8 9

WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE GENERALLY CHAPTER 5.

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

410. As used in this chapter, "direct evidence" means evidence that directly proves a fact, without an inference or presumption, and which in itself, if true, conclusively establishes that fact.

411. Except where additional evidence is required by statute, the direct evidence of one witness who is entitled to full

credit is sufficient for proof of any fact.

412. If weaker and less satisfactory evidence is offered when it was within the power of the party to produce stronger and more satisfactory evidence, the evidence offered should be viewed with distrust.

413. In determining what inferences to draw from the evidence or facts in the case against a party, the trier of fact may consider, among other things, the party's failure to explain or to deny by his testimony such evidence or facts in the case against him, or his wilful suppression of evidence relating thereto, if such be the case.

27 28 29

DIVISION 4. JUDICIAL NOTICE

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Judicial notice may not be taken of any matter unless authorized or required by law.

Judicial notice shall be taken of:

- (a) The decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law of this state and of the United States and the provisions of any charter described in Section $7\frac{1}{2}$ or 8 of Article XI of the California Constitution.
- (b) Any matter made a subject of judicial notice by Section 11383, 11384, or 18576 of the Government Code or by Section 307 of Title 44 of the United States Code.
- (c) Rules of professional conduct for members of the bar adopted pursuant to Section 6076 of the Business and Professions Code and rules of practice and procedure for the courts of this state adopted by the Judicial Council.
- (d) Rules of pleading, practice, and procedure prescribed by the United States Supreme Court, such as the Rules of the United States Supreme Court, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Admiralty Rules, the Rules of the Court of Claims, the Rules of the Customs Court, and the General Orders and Forms in Bankruptcy.

51

(e) The true signification of all English words and phrases and of all legal expressions.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

(f) Facts and propositions of generalized knowledge that are so universally known that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.

Judicial notice may be taken of the following matters to the extent that they are not embraced within Section 451:

- (a) The decisional, constitutional, and statutory law of any state of the United States and the resolutions and private acts of the Congress of the United States and of the Legislature of
- (b) Regulations and legislative enactments issued by or under the authority of the United States or any public entity in the United States.
 - (c) Official acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state of the United States.
 - (d) Records of (1) any court of this state or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States.
- (e) Rules of court of (1) any court of this state or (2) any court of record of the United States or of any state of the United States.
 - (f) The law of an organization of nations and of foreign nations and public entities in foreign nations.
 - (g) Facts and propositions that are of such common knowledge within the territorial jurisdiction of the court that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute.
 - (h) Facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy.
- The trial court shall take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452 if a party requests it and:
- (a) Gives each adverse party sufficient notice of the request, through the pleadings or otherwise, to enable such adverse party to prepare to meet the request; and
- (b) Furnishes the court with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter.
- (a) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof:
- (1) Any source of pertinent information, including the 42 advice of persons learned in the subject matter, may be con-43 sulted or used, whether or not furnished by a party.
 - (2) Exclusionary rules of evidence do not apply except for Section 352 and the rules of privilege.
 - (b) Where the subject of judicial notice is the law of an organization of nations, a foreign nation, or a public entity in a foreign nation and the court resorts to the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, such advice, if not received in open court, shall be in writing.

- 455. With respect to any matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action:
- (a) If the trial court has been requested to take or has taken or proposes to take judicial notice of such matter, the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity, before the jury is instructed or before the cause is submitted for decision by the court, to present to the court information relevant to (1) the propriety of taking judicial notice of the matter and (2) the tenor of the matter to be noticed.
- (b) If the trial court resorts to any source of information not received in open court, including the advice of persons learned in the subject matter, such information and its source shall be made a part of the record in the action and the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

456. If the trial court denies a request to take judicial notice of any matter, the court shall at the earliest practicable time so advise the parties and indicate for the record that it has denied the request.

457. If a matter judicially noticed is a matter which would otherwise have been for determination by the jury, the trial court may, and upon request shall, instruct the jury to accept as a fact the matter so noticed.

458. The failure or refusal of the trial court to take judicial notice of a matter, or to instruct the jury with respect to the matter, does not preclude the trial court in subsequent proceedings in the action from taking judicial notice of the matter in accordance with the procedure specified in this division.

459. (a) The reviewing court shall take judicial notice of (1) each matter properly noticed by the trial court and (2) each matter that the trial court was required to notice under Section 451 or 453. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452. The reviewing court may take judicial notice of a matter in a tenor different from that noticed by the trial court.

(b) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter, or the tenor thereof, the reviewing court has the same power as the trial court under Section 454.

(c) When taking judicial notice under this section of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, the reviewing court shall comply with the provisions of subdivision (a) of Section 455 if the matter was not theretofore judicially noticed in the action.

(d) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter specified in Section 452 or in subdivision (f) of Section 451 that is of substantial consequence to the determination of the action, or the tenor thereof, if the reviewing court resorts to any source of information not received in open court or not included in the record of the action, including the

advice of persons learned in the subject matter, the reviewing court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to meet such information before judicial notice of the matter may be taken.

460. Where the advice of persons learned in the subject matter is required in order to enable the court to take judicial notice of a matter, the court on its own motion or on motion of any party may appoint one or more such persons to provide such advice. If the court determines to appoint such a person, he shall be appointed and compensated in the manner provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3 of Division 6.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

DIVISION 5. BURDEN OF PROOF; BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE; PRESUMPTIONS AND INFERENCES

16 17 18

CHAPTER 1. BURDEN OF PROOF

 $\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$

Article 1. General

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27

28

29

 $\frac{30}{31}$

 $\frac{32}{33}$

34

- 500. Except as otherwise provided by law, a party has the burden of proof as to each fact the existence or nonexistence of which is essential to the claim for relief or defense that he is asserting.
- 501. Insofar as any statute, except Section 522, assigns the burden of proof in a criminal action, such statute is subject to Penal Code Section 1096.
- 502. The court on all proper occasions shall instruct the jury as to which party bears the burden of proof on each issue and as to whether that burden requires that a party raise a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact or that he establish the existence or nonexistence of a fact by a preponderance of the evidence, by clear and convincing proof, or by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

35 36 37

Article 2. Burden of Proof on Specific Issues

38 39

520. The party claiming that a person is guilty of crime or wrongdoing has the burden of proof on that issue.

 $\frac{40}{41}$

521. The party claiming that a person did not exercise a requisite degree of care has the burden of proof on that issue.

43 44 522. The party claiming that any person, including himself, is or was insane has the burden of proof on that issue.

45 46

CHAPTER 2. BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE

47 48 49

50

550. (a) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is on the party against whom a finding on that fact would be required in the absence of further evidence.

(b) The burden of producing evidence as to a particular fact is initially on the party with the burden of proof as to that fact.

CHAPTER 3. PRESUMPTIONS AND INFERENCES

Article 1. General

 $\frac{21}{22}$

600. (a) A presumption is an assumption of fact that the law requires to be made from another fact or group of facts found or otherwise established in the action. A presumption is not evidence.

(b) An inference is a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from another fact or group of facts found or otherwise established in the action.

601. A presumption is either conclusive or rebuttable. Every rebuttable presumption is either (a) a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence or (b) a presumption affecting the burden of proof.

602. A statute providing that a fact or group of facts is prima facie evidence of another fact establishes a rebuttable

presumption.

603. A presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is a presumption established to implement no public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the par-

ticular action in which the presumption is applied.

604. The effect of a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is to require the trier of fact to assume the existence of the presumed fact unless and until evidence is introduced which would support a finding of its nonexistence, in which case the trier of fact shall determine the existence or nonexistence of the presumed fact from the evidence and without regard to the presumption. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the drawing of any inference that may be appropriate.

605. A presumption affecting the burden of proof is a presumption established to implement some public policy other than to facilitate the determination of the particular action in which the presumption is applied, such as the policy in favor of the legitimacy of children, the validity of marriage, the stability of titles to property, or the security of those who entrust themselves or their property to the administration of

others.

606. The effect of a presumption affecting the burden of proof is to impose upon the party against whom it operates the burden of proof as to the nonexistence of the presumed fact.

607. When a presumption affecting the burden of proof operates in a criminal action to establish presumptively any fact that is essential to the defendant's guilt, the presumption

operates only if the facts that give rise to the presumption have been found or otherwise established beyond a reasonable doubt and, in such case, the defendant need only raise a reasonable doubt as to the existence of the presumed fact.

 $\frac{4}{5}$

1

 2

Article 2. Conclusive Presumptions

7 8 9

10

 $\frac{11}{12}$

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

 $\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \end{array}$

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

48 49 620. The presumptions established by this article, and all other presumptions declared by law to be conclusive, are conclusive presumptions.

621. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the issue of a wife cohabiting with her husband, who is not impotent,

is conclusively presumed to be legitimate.

622. The facts recited in a written instrument are conclusively presumed to be true as between the parties thereto, or their successors in interest; but this rule does not apply to the recital of a consideration.

623. Whenever a party has, by his own statement or conduct, intentionally and deliberately led another to believe a particular thing true and to act upon such belief, he is not, in any litigation arising out of such statement or conduct, permitted to contradict it.

624. A tenant is not permitted to deny the title of his landlord at the time of the commencement of the relation.

Article 3. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Producing Evidence

630. The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 603, are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

631. Money delivered by one to another is presumed to

have been due to the latter.

632. A thing delivered by one to another is presumed to have belonged to the latter.

633. An obligation delivered up to the debtor is presumed

to have been paid.

634. A person in possession of an order on himself for the payment of money, or delivery of a thing, is presumed to have paid the money or delivered the thing accordingly.

635. An obligation possessed by the creditor is presumed

not to have been paid.

636. The payment of earlier rent or installments is presumed from a receipt for later rent or installments.

637. The things which a person possesses are presumed to

47 be owned by him.

638. A person who exercises acts of ownership over property is presumed to be the owner of it.

7

8

9

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$

 $\frac{12}{13}$

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

1 639. A judgment, when not conclusive, is presumed to cor-2 rectly determine or set forth the rights of the parties, but 3 there is no presumption that the facts essential to the judg-4 ment have been correctly determined.

640. A writing is presumed to have been truly dated.

641. A letter correctly addressed and properly mailed is presumed to have been received in the ordinary course of mail.

642. A trustee or other person, whose duty it was to convey real property to a particular person, is presumed to have actually conveyed to him when such presumption is necessary to perfect title of such person or his successor in interest.

643. A deed or will or other writing purporting to create, terminate, or affect an interest in real or personal property is

presumed to be authentic if it:

(a) Is at least 30 years old;

(b) Is in such condition as to create no suspicion concerning its authenticity:

(c) Was kept, or if found was found, in a place where such writing, if authentic, would be likely to be kept or found; and

(d) Has been generally acted upon as authentic by persons having an interest in the matter.

644. A book, purporting to be printed or published by public authority, is presumed to have been so printed or published.

645. A book, purporting to contain reports of cases adjudged in the tribunals of the state or nation where the book is published, is presumed to contain correct reports of such cases.

Article 4. Presumptions Affecting the Burden of Proof

660. The presumptions established by this article, and all other rebuttable presumptions established by law that fall within the criteria of Section 605, are presumptions affecting the burden of proof.

661. A child of a woman who is or has been married, born during the marriage or within 300 days after the dissolution thereof, is presumed to be a legitimate child of that marriage. This presumption may be disputed only by the people of the State of California in a criminal action brought under Section 270 of the Penal Code or by the husband or wife, or the descendant of one or both of them. In a civil action, this presumption may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.

662. The owner of the legal title to property is presumed to be the owner of the full beneficial title. This presumption may be rebutted only by clear and convincing proof.

663. A ceremonial marriage is presumed to be valid,

664. It is presumed that official duty has been regularly performed. This presumption does not apply on an issue as to the lawfulness of an arrest if it is found or otherwise established that the arrest was made without a warrant.

665. A person is presumed to intend the ordinary consequences of his voluntary act. This presumption is inapplicable in a criminal action to establish the specific intent of the defendant where specific intent is an element of the crime charged.

666. Any court of this State or the United States, or any court of general jurisdiction in any other state or nation, or any judge of such a court, acting as such, is presumed to have acted in the lawful exercise of its jurisdiction. This presumption applies only when the act of the court or judge is under collateral attack.

667. A person not heard from in seven years is presumed to be dead.

668. An unlawful intent is presumed from the doing of an unlawful act. This presumption is inapplicable in a criminal action to establish the specific intent of the defendant where specific intent is an element of the crime charged.

DIVISION 6. WITNESSES

CHAPTER 1. COMPETENCY

700. Except as otherwise provided by statute, every person is qualified to be a witness and no person is disqualified to testify to any matter.

701. A person is disqualified to be a witness if he is:

(a) Incapable of expressing himself concerning the matter so as to be understood, either directly or through interpretation by one who can understand him; or

(b) Incapable of understanding the duty of a witness to tell the truth.

702. (a) Subject to Section 801, the testimony of a witness concerning a particular matter is inadmissible unless he has personal knowledge of the matter. Against the objection of a party, such personal knowledge must be shown before the witness may testify concerning the matter.

(b) A witness' personal knowledge of a matter may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his

own testimony.

 $\frac{24}{25}$

703. (a) Before the judge presiding at the trial of an action may be called to testify in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings held out of the presence and hearing of the jury, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.

(b) Against the objection of a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may not testify in that trial as a

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

33.

 $\frac{36}{37}$

witness. Upon such objection, the judge shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial before another judge.

(c) The calling of the judge presiding at a trial to testify in that trial as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a judge shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.

(d) In the absence of objection by a party, the judge presiding at the trial of an action may testify in that trial as a witness.

704. (a) Before a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be called to testify before the jury in that trial as a witness, he shall, in proceedings conducted by the court out of the presence and hearing of the remaining jurors, inform the parties of the information he has concerning any fact or matter about which he will be called to testify.

(b) Against the objection of a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may not testify before the jury in that trial as a witness. Upon such objection, the court shall declare a mistrial and order the action assigned for trial

before another jury.

(c) The calling of a juror to testify before the jury as a witness shall be deemed a consent to the granting of a motion for mistrial, and an objection to such calling of a juror shall be deemed a motion for mistrial.

(d) In the absence of objection by a party, a juror sworn and impaneled in the trial of an action may be compelled to testify in that trial as a witness.

CHAPTER 2. OATH AND CONFRONTATION

710. Every witness before testifying shall take an oath or make an affirmation or declaration in the form provided by law.

711. At the trial of an action, a witness can be heard only in the presence and subject to the examination of all the parties to the action, if they choose to attend and examine.

Chapter 3. Expert Witnesses

Article 1. Expert Witnesses Generally

720. (a) A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates. Against the objection of a party, such special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education must be shown before the witness may testify as an expert.

(b) A witness' special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be shown by any otherwise admissible evidence, including his own testimony.

721. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), a witness testifying as an expert may be cross-examined to the same extent as

__ 17 __ SB 110

any other witness and, in addition, may be fully cross-examined as to (1) his qualifications, (2) the subject to which his expert testimony relates, and (3) the matter upon which his opinion is based and the reasons for his opinion.

(b) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies in the form of an opinion, he may not be cross-examined in regard to the content or tenor of any scientific, technical, or professional

text, treatise, journal, or similar publication unless:

(1) The witness referred to, considered, or relied upon such publication in arriving at or forming his opinion; or

(2) Such publication has been admitted in evidence.

722. (a) The fact of the appointment of an expert witness by the court may be revealed to the trier of fact.

(b) The compensation and expenses paid or to be paid to an expert witness by the party calling him is a proper subject of inquiry by any adverse party as relevant to the credibility of the witness and the weight of his testimony.

723. The court may, at any time before or during the trial of an action, limit the number of expert witnesses to be called by any party.

Article 2. Appointment of Expert Witness by Court

730. When it appears to the court, at any time before or during the trial of an action, that expert evidence is or may be required by the court or by any party to the action, the court on its own motion or on motion of any party may appoint one or more experts to investigate, to render a report as may be ordered by the court, and to testify as an expert at the trial of the action relative to the fact or matter as to which such expert evidence is or may be required. The court may fix the compensation for such services, if any, rendered by any person appointed under this section, in addition to any service as a witness, at such amount as seems reasonable to the court.

731. (a) In all criminal actions and juvenile court proceedings, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall be a charge against the county in which such action or proceeding is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.

- (b) In any county in which the board of supervisors so provides, the compensation fixed under Section 730 for medical experts in civil actions in such county shall be a charge against and paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.
- (c) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in all civil actions, the compensation fixed under Section 730 shall, in the first instance, be apportioned and charged to the several parties in such proportion as the court may determine and may thereafter be taxed and allowed in like manner as other costs.

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

,8

 $\frac{28}{29}$

732. Any expert appointed by the court under Section 730 may be called and examined by the court or by any party to the action. When such witness is called and examined by the court, the parties have the same right as is expressed in Section 775 to cross-examine the witness and to object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced.

733. Nothing contained in this article shall be deemed or construed to prevent any party to any action from producing other expert evidence on the same fact or matter mentioned in Section 730; but, where other expert witnesses are called by a party to the action, their fees shall be paid by the party calling them and only ordinary witness fees shall be taxed as costs in the action.

CHAPTER 4. INTERPRETERS AND TRANSLATORS

750. A person who serves as an interpreter or translator in any action is subject to all the rules of law relating to witnesses.

751. (a) An interpreter shall take an oath that he will make a true interpretation to the witness in a language that the witness understands and that he will make a true interpretation of the witness' answers to questions to counsel, court, or jury, in the English language, with his best skill and judgment.

(b) A translator shall take an oath that he will make a true translation in the English language of any writing he is to decipher or translate.

752. (a) When a witness is incapable of hearing or understanding the English language or is incapable of expressing himself in the English language so as to be understood directly by counsel, court, and jury, an interpreter whom he can understand and who can understand him shall be sworn to interpret for him.

(b) The interpreter may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3.

753. (a) When the written characters in a writing offered in evidence are incapable of being deciphered or understood directly, a translator who can decipher the characters or understand the language shall be sworn to decipher or translate the writing.

(b) The translator may be appointed and compensated as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 730) of Chapter 3.

754. (a) As used in this section, "deaf person" means a person with a hearing loss so great as to prevent his understanding language spoken in a normal tone.

(b) In any criminal action where the defendant is a deaf person, all of the proceedings of the trial shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.

- (c) In any action where the mental condition of a deaf person is being considered and where such person may be committed to a mental institution, all of the court proceedings pertaining to him shall be interpreted to him in a language that he understands by a qualified interpreter appointed by the court.
- (d) Interpreters appointed under this section shall be paid for their services a reasonable sum to be determined by the court, which shall be a charge against the county in which such action is pending and shall be paid out of the treasury of such county on order of the court.

CHAPTER 5. METHOD AND SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Article 1. Definitions

 $\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 17 \end{array}$

760. "Direct examination" is the first examination of a witness upon a matter that is not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.

761. "Cross-examination" is the examination of a witness by a party other than the direct examiner upon a matter that is within the scope of the direct examination of the witness.

762. "Redirect examination" is an examination of a witness by the direct examiner subsequent to the cross-examination of the mitrees.

tion of the witness.

- 763. "Re-cross-examination" is an examination of a witness by a cross-examiner subsequent to a redirect examination of the witness.
- 764. A "leading question" is a question that suggests to the witness the answer that the examining party desires.

Article 2. Examination of Witnesses

- 765. The court shall exercise reasonable control over the mode of interrogation of a witness so as (a) to make such interrogation as rapid, as distinct, and as effective for the ascertainment of the truth, as may be, and (b) to protect the witness from undue harassment or embarrassment.
- 766. A witness must give responsive answers to questions, and answers that are not responsive shall be stricken on motion

of any party.

- 767. Except under special circumstances where the interests of justice otherwise require:
- (a) A leading question may not be asked of a witness on direct or redirect examination.
- (b) A leading question may be asked of a witness on cross-examination or re-cross-examination.
- 768. (a) In examining a witness concerning a writing, it is not necessary to show, read, or disclose to him any part of the writing.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51

(b) If a writing is shown to a witness, all parties to the action must be given an opportunity to inspect it before any question concerning it may be asked of the witness.

In examining a witness concerning a statement or other conduct by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing, it is not necessary to disclose to him any information concerning the statement or other conduct.

Unless the interests of justice otherwise require, extrinsic evidence of a statement made by a witness that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing shall be excluded unless:

(a) The witness was so examined while testifying as to give him an opportunity to explain or to deny the statement; or

(b) The witness has not been excused from giving further

testimony in the action.

- 771. (a) Subject to subdivision (c), if a witness, either while testifying or prior thereto, uses a writing to refresh his memory with respect to any matter about which he testifies, such writing must be produced at the hearing at the request of an adverse party and, unless the writing is so produced, the testimony of the witness concerning such matter shall be stricken.
- (b) If the writing is produced at the hearing, the adverse party may, if he chooses, inspect the writing, cross-examine the witness concerning it, and introduce in evidence such portion of it as may be pertinent to the testimony of the witness.

(c) Production of the writing is excused, and the testimony

of the witness shall not be stricken, if the writing:

(1) Is not in the possession or control of the witness or the party who produced his testimony concerning the matter; and

(2) Was not reasonably procurable by such party through the use of the court's process or other available means.

(a) The examination of a witness shall proceed in the following phases: direct examination, cross-examination, redirect examination, re-cross-examination, and continuing thereafter by redirect and re-cross-examination.

(b) Unless for good cause the court otherwise directs, each phase of the examination of a witness must be concluded be-

fore the succeeding phase begins.

- (c) Subject to subdivision (d), a party may, in the discretion of the court, interrupt his cross-examination, redirect examination, or re-cross-examination of a witness, in order to examine the witness upon a matter not within the scope of a previous examination of the witness.
- (d) If the witness is the defendant in a criminal action, the witness may not, without his consent, be examined under direct examination by another party.
- (a) A witness examined by one party may be crossexamined upon any matter within the scope of the direct examination by each other party to the action in such order as the court directs.

 $\frac{41}{42}$

- (b) The cross-examination of a witness by any party whose interest is not adverse to the party calling him is subject to the same rules that are applicable to the direct examination.
- 774. A witness once examined cannot be reexamined as to the same matter without leave of the court, but he may be reexamined as to any new matter upon which he has been examined by another party to the action. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.
- 775. The court, on its own motion or on the motion of any party, may call witnesses and interrogate them the same as if they had been produced by a party to the action, and the parties may object to the questions asked and the evidence adduced the same as if such witnesses were called and examined by an adverse party. Such witnesses may be cross-examined by all parties to the action in such order as the court directs.
- 776. (a) A party to the record of any civil action, or a person identified with such a party, may be called and examined as if under cross-examination by any adverse party at any time during the presentation of evidence by the party calling the witness.
- (b) A witness examined by a party under this section may be cross-examined by all other parties to the action in such order as the court directs; but the witness may be examined only as if under redirect examination by:

(1) In the case of a witness who is a party, his own counsel and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the witness.

- (2) In the case of a witness who is not a party, counsel for the party with whom the witness is identified and counsel for a party who is not adverse to the party with whom the witness is identified.
- (c) For the purpose of this section, parties represented by the same counsel are deemed to be a single party.
- (d) For the purpose of this section, a person is identified with a party if he is:
- (1) A person for whose immediate benefit the action is prosecuted or defended by the party.
 - (2) A director, officer, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or managing agent of the party or of a person specified in paragraph (1), or any public employee of a public entity when such public entity is the party.
 - (3) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time of the act or omission giving rise to the cause of action.
 - (4) A person who was in any of the relationships specified in paragraph (2) at the time he obtained knowledge of the matter concerning which he is sought to be examined under this section.
 - 777. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), the court may exclude from the courtroom any witness not at the time under examination so that such witness cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses.

MJN 3486

.8

(b) A party to the action cannot be excluded under this section.

(c) If a person other than a natural person is a party to the action, an officer or employee designated by its attorney

5 is, entitled to be present.

778. After a witness has been excused from giving further testimony in the action, he cannot be recalled without leave of the court. Leave may be granted or withheld in the court's discretion.

CHAPTER 6. CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

Article 1. Credibility Generally

 $\frac{20}{21}$

780. Except as otherwise provided by statute, the court or jury may consider in determining the credibility of a witness any matter that has any tendency in reason to prove or disprove the truthfulness of his testimony at the hearing, including but not limited to any of the following:

(a) His demeanor while testifying and the manner in which

he testifies.

(b) The character of his testimony.

(c) The extent of his capacity to perceive, to recollect, or to communicate any matter about which he testifies.

(d) The extent of his opportunity to perceive any matter about which he testifies.

(e) His character for honesty or veracity or their opposites.

(f) The existence or nonexistence of a bias, interest, or other motive.

(g) A statement previously made by him that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing.

(h) A statement made by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing.

(i) The existence or nonexistence of any fact testified to by him.

(j) His attitude toward the action in which he testifies or toward the giving of testimony.

(k) His admission of untruthfulness.

Article 2. Attacking or Supporting Credibility

785. The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by any party, including the party calling him.

786. Evidence of traits of his character other than honesty or veracity, or their opposites, is inadmissible to attack or

support the credibility of a witness.

787. Subject to Section 788, evidence of specific instances of his conduct relevant only as tending to prove a trait of his character is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.

788. For the purpose of attacking the credibility of a witness, it may be shown by the examination of the witness or by

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{35}{36}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

the record of the judgment that he has been convicted of a felony unless:

(a) A pardon based on his innocence has been granted to the witness by the jurisdiction in which he was convicted.

- (b) A certificate of rehabilitation and pardon has been granted to the witness under the provisions of Chapter 3.5, (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code.
- (c) The accusatory pleading against the witness has been dismissed under the provisions of Penal Code Section 1203.4.

This exception shall not apply to any criminal trial where

the witness is being prosecuted for a subsequent offense.

- (d) The conviction was under the laws of another jurisdiction and the witness has been relieved of the penalties and disabilities arising from the conviction pursuant to a procedure substantially equivalent to that referred to in subdivision (b) or (c).
- 789. Evidence of his religious belief or lack thereof is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness.
- 790. Evidence of the good character of a witness is inadmissible to support his credibility unless evidence of his bad character has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility.
- 791. Evidence of a statement previously made by a witness that is consistent with his testimony at the hearing is inadmissible to support his credibility unless it is offered after:
- (a) Evidence of a statement made by him that is inconsistent with any part of his testimony at the hearing has been admitted for the purpose of attacking his credibility, and the statement was made before the alleged inconsistent statement; or
- (b) An express or implied charge has been made that his testimony at the hearing is recently fabricated or is influenced by bias or other improper motive, and the statement was made before the bias, motive for fabrication, or other improper motive is alleged to have arisen.

DIVISION 7. OPINION TESTIMONY AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

CHAPTER 1. EXPERT AND OTHER OPINION TESTIMONY

Article 1. Expert and Other Opinion Testimony Generally

- 800. If a witness is not testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is permitted by law, including but not limited to an opinion that is:
 - (a) Rationally based on the perception of the witness; and
 - (b) Helpful to a clear understanding of his testimony.

3

4

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

If a witness is testifying as an expert, his testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to such an opinion as is:

(a) Related to a subject that is sufficiently beyond common experience that the opinion of an expert would assist the trier

5 of fact; and 6

(b) Based on matter (including his special knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) perceived by or personally known to the witness or made known to him at or before the hearing, whether or not admissible, that is of a type that reasonably may be relied upon by an expert in forming an opinion upon the subject to which his testimony relates, unless an expert is precluded by law from using such matter as a basis for his opinion.

A witness testifying in the form of an opinion may state on direct examination the reasons for his opinion and the matter (including, in the case of an expert, his special) knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education) upon which it is based, unless he is precluded by law from using such reasons or matter as a basis for his opinion. The court in its discretion may require that a witness before testifying in the form of an opinion be first examined concerning the matter upon which his opinion is based.

2223

The court may, and upon objection shall, exclude testimony in the form of an opinion that is based in whole or in significant part on matter that is not a proper basis for such an opinion. In such case, the witness may, if there remains a proper basis for his opinion, then state his opinion after excluding from consideration the matter determined to be improper.

(a) If a witness testifying as an expert testifies that his opinion is based in whole or in part upon the opinion or statement of another person, such other person may be called and examined by any adverse party as if under cross-exam-

ination concerning the opinion or statement.

(b) This section is not applicable if the person upon whose opinion or statement the expert witness has relied is (1) a party, (2) a person identified with a party within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 776, or (3) a witness who has testified in the action concerning the subject matter of the opinion or statement upon which the expert witness has relied.

(e) Nothing in this section makes admissible an expert opinion that is inadmissible because it is based in whole or in

part on the opinion or statement of another person.

(d) An expert opinion otherwise admissible is not made inadmissible by this section because it is based on the opinion or statement of a person who is unavailable for examination pursuant to this section.

Testimony in the form of an opinion that is otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces the ultimate

issue to be decided by the trier of fact.

Article 2. Opinion Testimony on Particular Subjects

870. A witness may state his opinion as to the sanity of a person when:

(a) The witness is an intimate acquaintance of the person whose sanity is in question;

(b) The witness was a subscribing witness to a writing, the validity of which is in dispute, signed by the person whose sanity is in question and the opinion relates to the sanity of such person at the time the writing was signed; or

(c) The witness is qualified under Section 800 or 801 to

testify in the form of an opinion.

CHAPTER 2. BLOOD TESTS TO DETERMINE PATERNITY

 $\frac{46}{47}$

890. This chapter may be cited as the Uniform Act on Blood Tests to Determine Paternity.

891. This act shall be so interpreted and construed as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law of those states which enact it.

892. In a civil action in which paternity is a relevant fact, the court may upon its own initiative or upon suggestion made by or on behalf of any person whose blood is involved, and shall upon motion of any party to the action made at a time so as not to delay the proceedings unduly, order the mother, child, and alleged father to submit to blood tests. If any party refuses to submit to such tests, the court may resolve the question of paternity against such party or enforce its order if the rights of others and the interests of justice so require.

893. The tests shall be made by experts qualified as examiners of blood types who shall be appointed by the court. The experts shall be called by the court as witnesses to testify to their findings and shall be subject to cross-examination by the parties. Any party or person at whose suggestion the tests have been ordered may demand that other experts, qualified as examiners of blood types, perform independent tests under order of the court, the results of which may be offered in evidence. The number and qualifications of such experts shall be determined by the court.

894. The compensation of each expert witness appointed by the court shall be fixed at a reasonable amount. It shall be paid as the court shall order. The court may order that it be paid by the parties in such proportions and at such times as it shall prescribe, or that the proportion of any party be paid by the county, and that, after payment by the parties or the county or both, all or part or none of it be taxed as costs in the action.

895. If the court finds that the conclusions of all the experts, as disclosed by the evidence based upon the tests, are that the alleged father is not the father of the child, the question of paternity shall be resolved accordingly. If the experts

0

disagree in their findings or conclusions, the question shall be submitted upon all the evidence.

896. This chapter applies to criminal actions subject to the following limitations and provisions:

- (a) An order for the tests shall be made only upon application of a party or on the court's initiative.
- (b) The compensation of the experts shall be paid by the county under order of court.
- (c) The court may direct a verdict of acquittal upon the conclusions of all the experts under the provisions of Section 895; otherwise, the case shall be submitted for determination upon all the evidence.
- 897. Nothing contained in this chapter shall be deemed or construed to prevent any party to any action from producing other expert evidence on the matter covered by this chapter; but, where other expert witnesses are called by a party to the action, their fees shall be paid by the party calling them and only ordinary witness fees shall be taxed as costs in the action.

DIVISION 8. PRIVILEGES

CHAPTER 1. DEFINITIONS

- 900. Unless the provision or context otherwise requires, the definitions in this chapter govern the construction of this division. They do not govern the construction of any other division.
- 901. "Proceeding" means any action, hearing, investigation, inquest, or inquiry (whether conducted by a court, administrative agency, hearing officer, arbitrator, legislative body, or any other person authorized by law) in which, pursuant to law, testimony can be compelled to be given.
- 902. "Civil proceeding" means any proceeding except a criminal proceeding.
 - 903. "Criminal proceeding" means:
 - (a) A criminal action; and
- (b) A proceeding pursuant to Article 3 (commencing with Section 3060) of Chapter 7 of Division 4 of Title 1 of the Government Code to determine whether a public officer should be removed from office for wilful or corrupt misconduct in office
- 905. "Presiding officer" means the person authorized to rule on a claim of privilege in the proceeding in which the claim is made.

Chapter 2. Applicability of Division

910. Except as otherwise provided by statute, the provisions of this division apply in all proceedings. The provisions of any statute making rules of evidence inapplicable in particular proceedings, or limiting the applicability of rules of

1 draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.

(b) The court, at the request of a party who may be adversely affected because an unfavorable inference may be drawn by the jury because a privilege has been exercised, shall instruct the jury that no presumption arises because of the exercise of the privilege and that the jury may not draw any inference therefrom as to the credibility of the witness or as to any matter at issue in the proceeding.

914. (a) The presiding officer shall determine a claim of privilege in any proceeding in the same manner as a court determines such a claim under Article 2 (commencing with Sec-

tion 400) of Chapter 4 of Division 3.

(b) No person may be held in contempt for failure to disclose information claimed to be privileged unless he has failed to comply with an order of a court that he disclose such information. This subdivision does not apply to any governmental agency that has constitutional contempt power, nor does it apply to hearings and investigations of the Industrial Accident Commission, nor does it impliedly repeal Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 9400) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 2 of the Government Code. If no other statutory procedure is applicable, the procedure prescribed by Section 1991 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall be followed in seeking an order of a court that the person disclose the information claimed to be privileged.

915. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), the presiding officer may not require disclosure of information claimed to be privileged under this division in order to rule on the claim of

30 privilege.
31 (b) W

(b) When a court is ruling on a claim of privilege under Article 9 (commencing with Section 1040) of Chapter 4 (official information and identity of informer) or under Section 1060 (trade secret) and is unable to do so without requiring disclosure of the information claimed to be privileged, the court may require the person from whom disclosure is sought or the person authorized to claim the privilege, or both, to disclose the information in chambers out of the presence and hearing of all persons except the person authorized to claim the privilege and such other persons as the person authorized to claim the privilege is willing to have present. If the judge determines that the information is privileged, neither he nor any other person may ever disclose, without the consent of a person authorized to permit disclosure, what was disclosed in the course of the proceedings in chambers.

916. (a) The presiding officer, on his own motion or on the motion of any party, shall exclude information that is subject to a claim of privilege under this division if:

(1) The person from whom the information is sought is not a person authorized to claim the privilege; and

(2) There is no party to the proceeding who is a person authorized to claim the privilege.

-- 29 -- **SB** 110

(b) The presiding officer may not exclude information under this section if:

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

9

(1) He is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure; or

(2) The proponent of the evidence establishes that there is no person authorized to claim the privilege in existence.

- 917. Whenever a privilege is claimed on the ground that the matter sought to be disclosed is a communication made in confidence in the course of the lawyer-client, physician-patient, psychotherapist-patient, clergyman-penitent, or husband-wife relationship, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish that the communication was not confidential.
- 918. A party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege only if he is the holder of the privilege, except that a party may predicate error on a ruling disallowing a claim of privilege by his spouse under Section 970 or 971.

919. Evidence of a statement or other disclosure of privileged information is inadmissible against a holder of the privilege if:

- (a) A person authorized to claim the privilege claimed it but nevertheless disclosure erroneously was required to be made; or
- (b) The presiding officer did not exclude the privileged information as required by Section 916.
- 920. Nothing in this division shall be construed to repeal by implication any other statute relating to privileges.

CHAPTER 4. PARTICULAR PRIVILEGES

Article 1. Privilege of Defendant in Criminal Case

930. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a defendant in a criminal case has a privilege not to be called as a witness and not to testify.

Article 2. Privilege Against Self-incrimination

940. To the extent that such privilege exists under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose any matter that may tend to incriminate him.

Article 3. Lawyer-client Privilege

950. As used in this article, "lawyer" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the client to be authorized, to practice law in any state or nation.

951. As used in this article, "client" means a person who, directly or through an authorized representative MJN 3493

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

 $\frac{17}{18}$

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

27

 $\frac{28}{29}$

30

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

lawyer for the purpose of retaining the lawyer or securing legal service or advice from him in his professional capacity, and includes an incompetent (a) who himself so consults the lawyer or (b) whose guardian or conservator so consults the

5 lawyer in behalf of the incompetent. 6 952. As used in this article, "con

952. As used in this article, "confidential communication between client and lawyer" means information transmitted between a client and his lawyer in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the client is aware, discloses the information to no third person other than those who are present to further the interest of the client in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer is consulted, and includes advice given by the lawyer in the course of that relationship.

953. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:

(a) The client when he has no guardian or conservator.

(b) A guardian or conservator of the client when the client has a guardian or conservator.

(c) The personal representative of the client if the client is dead.

(d) A successor, assign, trustee in dissolution, or any similar representative of a firm, association, organization, partnership, business trust, corporation, or public entity that is no longer in existence.

954. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the client, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the lawyer at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit

40 disclosure.

955. The lawyer who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 954.

956. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the lawyer were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone

to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

957. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased client, regardless of whether the

claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the lawyer or by the client, of a duty arising out of the lawyer-client relationship.

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention or competence of a client executing an attested document of which the lawyer is an attesting witness, or concerning the execution or attestation of such a document.

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a client, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the client, purporting to affect an interest in property.

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a client, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

Where two or more clients have retained or consulted a lawyer upon a matter of common interest, none of them may claim a privilege under this article as to a communication made in the course of that relationship when such communication is offered in a civil proceeding between such clients.

Article 4. Privilege Not to Testify Against Spouse

970. Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person has a privilege not to testify against his spouse in any proceeding.

Except as otherwise provided by statute, a married person whose spouse is a party to a proceeding has a privilege not to be called as a witness by an adverse party to that proceeding without the prior express consent of the spouse having the privilege under this section unless the party calling the spouse does so in good faith without knowledge of the marital relationship.

A married person does not have a privilege under 972. this article in:

- (a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.
- (b) A proceeding to commit or otherwise place his spouse or his spouse's property, or both, under the control of another because of the spouse's alleged mental or physical condition.

(c) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of a spouse to establish his competence.

(d) A proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(e) A criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged 51 \ with:

1 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

(1) A crime against the person or property of the other spouse or of a child of either, whether committed before or

during marriage.

(2) A crime against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse, whether committed before or during marriage.

(3) Bigamy or adultery.

(4) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.

(a) Unless erroneously compelled to do so, a married 973.person who testifies in a proceeding to which his spouse is a party, or who testifies against his spouse in any proceeding, does not have a privilege under this article in the proceeding in which such testimony is given.

(b) There is no privilege under this article in a civil proceeding brought or defended by a married person for the immediate benefit of his spouse or of himself and his spouse.

Privilege for Confidential Marital Article 5. Communications

Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, a spouse (or his guardian or conservator when he has a guardian or conservator), whether or not a party, has a privilege during the marital relationship and afterwards to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a communication if he claims the privilege and the communication was made in confidence between him and the other spouse while they were husband and wife.

There is no privilege under this article if the communication was made, in whole or in part, to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit either spouse or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of either spouse to establish his

competence.

There is no privilege under this article in: 984.

- (a) A proceeding brought by or on behalf of one spouse against the other spouse.
- (b) A proceeding between a surviving spouse and a person who claims through the deceased spouse, regardless of whether such claim is by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.
- There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which one spouse is charged with:
- (a) A crime committed at any time against the person or property of the other spouse or of a child of either.

(b) A crime committed at any time against the person or property of a third person committed in the course of committing a crime against the person or property of the other spouse.

(c) Bigamy or adultery.

2

3

4 5

6 7 8

 $\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$

11

12

13

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{18}{19}$

20

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

24

 $\frac{25}{26}$

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

(d) A crime defined by Section 270 or 270a of the Penal Code.

986. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under the Juvenile Court Law, Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 500) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

987. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding in which the communication is offered in evidence by a defendant who is one of the spouses between whom the communication was made.

Article 6. Physician-Patient Privilege

990. As used in this article, "physician" means a person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation.

991. As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a physician or submits to an examination by a physician for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his physical or mental or emotional condition.

- 992. As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and physician" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his physician in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the physician is consulted, and includes advice given by the physician in the course of that relationship.
- 993. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:
 - (a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.
- (b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.
- (c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.
- 994. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and physician if the privilege is claimed by:
 - (a) The holder of the privilege;

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the physician at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

995. The physician who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 994.

996. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the condition of the patient if such issue has been tendered by:

(a) The patient;

(b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;

(c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or

(d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.

997. There is no privilege under this article if the services of the physician were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.

998. There is no privilege under this article in a criminal proceeding.

999. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to recover damages on account of conduct of the patient which constitutes a crime.

1000. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1001. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the physician or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the physician-patient relationship.

1002. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1003. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

51 property.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding to commit the patient or otherwise place him or his property, or both, under the control of another because of his alleged mental or physical condition.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his

7 competence.

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

- 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

1006. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the physician or the patient is required to report to a public employee, or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

There is no privilege under this article in a proceed-1007. ing brought by a public entity to determine whether a right, authority, license, or privilege (including the right or privilege to be employed by the public entity or to hold a public office) should be revoked, suspended, terminated, limited, or condi-

tioned.

Article 7. Psychotherapist-patient Privilege

1010. As used in this article, "psychotherapist" means:

(a) A person authorized, or reasonably believed by the patient to be authorized, to practice medicine in any state or nation who devotes, or is reasonably believed by the patient to devote, a substantial portion of his time to the practice of psychiatry; or

(b) A person certified as a psychologist under Chapter 6.6 (commencing with Section 2900) of Division 2 of the Business

and Professions Code.

1011. As used in this article, "patient" means a person who consults a psychotherapist or submits to an examination by a psychotherapist for the purpose of securing a diagnosis or preventive, palliative, or curative treatment of his mental or emotional condition or who submits to an examination of his mental or emotional condition for the purpose of scientific

research on mental or emotional problems.

1012. As used in this article, "confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist" means information, including information obtained by an examination of the patient, transmitted between a patient and his psychotherapist in the course of that relationship and in confidence by a means which, so far as the patient is aware, discloses the information to no third persons other than those who are present to further the interest of the patient in the consultation or examination or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose of the consultation or examination, and includes advice given by the psychotherapist in the course of that relationship.

1 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

- 1013. As used in this article, "holder of the privilege" means:
 - (a) The patient when he has no guardian or conservator.
- (b) A guardian or conservator of the patient when the patient has a guardian or conservator.
- (c) The personal representative of the patient if the patient is dead.
- 1014. Subject to Section 912 and except as otherwise provided in this article, the patient, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between patient and psychotherapist if the privilege is claimed by:

(a) The holder of the privilege;

(b) A person who is authorized to claim the privilege by the holder of the privilege; or

(c) The person who was the psychotherapist at the time of the confidential communication, but such person may not claim the privilege if there is no holder of the privilege in existence or if he is otherwise instructed by a person authorized to permit disclosure.

The psychotherapist who received or made a communication subject to the privilege under this article shall claim the privilege whenever he is present when the communication is sought to be disclosed and is authorized to claim the privilege under subdivision (c) of Section 1014.

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the mental or emotional condition of the patient if such issue has been tendered by:

(a) The patient;

(b) Any party claiming through or under the patient;

(c) Any party claiming as a beneficiary of the patient through a contract to which the patient is or was a party; or

(d) The plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 or 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure for damages for the injury or death of the patient.

There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist is appointed by order of a court to examine the patient, but this exception does not apply where the psychotherapist is appointed by order of the court upon the request of the lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding in order to provide the lawyer with information needed so that he may advise the defendant whether to enter a plea based on insanity or to present a defense based on his mental or emotional condition.

There is no privilege under this article if the services 1018. of the psychotherapist were sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a tort or to escape detection or apprehension after the commission of a crime or a tort.

There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue between parties all of whom $\frac{2}{3}$

4

6 7

8

9

10

 $\frac{11}{12}$

13 **14**

 $\frac{15}{16}$

17

18

 $\frac{19}{20}$

21

22

23

24

25

 $\frac{26}{27}$

28

 $\frac{29}{30}$

31

32

33

34 35

 $\frac{36}{37}$

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50 51 claim through a deceased patient, regardless of whether the claims are by testate or intestate succession or by inter vivos transaction.

1020. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue of breach, by the psychotherapist or by the patient, of a duty arising out of the psychotherapist-patient relationship.

1021. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the intention of a patient, now deceased, with respect to a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by the patient, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1022. There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the validity of a deed of conveyance, will, or other writing, executed by a patient, now deceased, purporting to affect an interest in property.

1023. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding under Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 1367) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Penal Code initiated at the request of the defendant in a criminal action to determine his sanity.

1024. There is no privilege under this article if the psychotherapist has reasonable cause to believe that the patient is in such mental or emotional condition as to be dangerous to himself or to the person or property of another and that disclosure of the communication is necessary to prevent the threatened danger.

1025. There is no privilege under this article in a proceeding brought by or on behalf of the patient to establish his competence.

1026. There is no privilege under this article as to information that the psychotherapist or the patient is required to report to a public employee or as to information required to be recorded in a public office, if such report or record is open to public inspection.

Article 8. Clergyman-Penitent Privileges

1030. As used in this article, "clergyman" means a priest, minister, religious practitioner, or similar functionary of a church or of a religious denomination or religious organization.

1031. As used in this article, "penitent" means a person who has made a penitential communication to a clergyman.

1032. As used in this article, "penitential communication" means a communication made in confidence, in the presence of no third person so far as the penitent is aware, to a clergyman who, in the course of the discipline or practice of his church, denomination, or organization, is authorized or accustomed to here such communications and has a duty to keep them secret., under the discipline or tenets of his church, denomination, or organization, has a duty to keep such communications secret

 $\frac{1}{2}$

1033. Subject to Section 912, a penitent, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

1034. Subject to Section 912, a clergyman, whether or not a party, has a privilege to refuse to disclose a penitential communication if he claims the privilege.

Article 9. Official Information and Identity of Informer

1040. (a) As used in this section, "official information" means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made.

(b) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information, and to prevent another from disclosing such information, if the privilege is claimed by a person authorized

by the public entity to do so and:

(1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of the United States or a statute of this state; or

(2) Disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the information be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the information is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

- 1041. (a) Except as provided in this section, a public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose the identity of a person who has furnished information as provided in subdivision (b) purporting to disclose a violation of a law of the United States or of this state or a public entity in this state, and to prevent another from disclosing such identity, if the privilege is clamed by a person authorized by the public entity to do so and:
- (1) Disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of the United States or a statute of this state; or
- (2) Disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of his identity that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the identity of the informer be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the identity of the informer is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

- (b) This section applies only if the information is furnished in confidence by the informer to:
 - (1) A law enforcement officer;

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $24 \\ 25 \\ 26$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

- 4 (2) A representative of an administrative agency charged 5 with the administration or enforcement of the law alleged to 6 be violated; or
 - (3) Any person for the purpose of transmittal to a person listed in paragraph (1) or (2).
 - (c) There is no privilege under this section to prevent the

informer from disclosing his identity.

- 1042. (a) Except where disclosure is forbidden by an act of the Congress of the United States, if a claim of privilege under this article by the state or a public entity in this state is sustained in a criminal proceeding, the presiding officer shall make such order or finding of fact adverse to the public entity bringing the proceeding as is required by law upon any issue in the proceeding to which the privileged information is material.
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant official information or the identity of an informer in order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a result of it.

Article 10. Political Vote

1050. If he claims the privilege, a person has a privilege to refuse to disclose the tenor of his vote at a public election where the voting is by secret ballot unless he voted illegally or he previously made an unprivileged disclosure of the tenor of his vote.

Article 11. Trade Secret

1060. If he or his agent or employee claims the privilege, the owner of a trade secret has a privilege to refuse to disclose the secret, and to prevent another from disclosing it, if the allowance of the privilege will not tend to conceal fraud or otherwise work injustice.

CHAPTER 5. IMMUNITY OF NEWSMAN FROM CITATION FOR CONTEMPT

1070. A publisher, editor, reporter, or other person connected with or employed upon a newspaper, or by a press association or wire service, cannot be adjudged in contempt by a court, the Legislature, or any administrative body, for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for publication and published in a newspaper.

Nor can a radio or television news reporter or other person connected with or employed by a radio or television station

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23 24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

1

be so adjudged in contempt for refusing to disclose the source of any information procured for and used for news or news commentary purposes on radio or television.

DIVISION 9. EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

CHAPTER 1. EVIDENCE OF CHARACTER, HABIT, OR CUSTOM

Except as otherwise provided by statute, any otherwise admissible evidence (including evidence in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, and evidence of specific instances of such person's conduct) is admissible to prove a person's character or a trait of his character.

(a) Except as provided in this section and in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a person's character or a trait of his character (whether in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of his conduct) is inadmissible when offered to prove his conduct on a specified occasion.

- (b) Nothing in this section prohibits the admission of evidence that a person committed a crime, civil wrong, or other act when relevant to prove some fact (such as motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident) other than his disposition to commit such acts.
- (c) Nothing in this section affects the admissibility of evidence offered to support or attack the credibility of a witness.
- 1102. In a criminal action, evidence of the defendant's character or a trait of his character in the form of an opinion or evidence of his reputation is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:
- (a) Offered by the defendant to prove his conduct in conformity with such character or trait of character.
- (b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced by the defendant under subdivision (a).
- In a criminal action, evidence of the character or a trait of character (in the form of an opinion, evidence of reputation, or evidence of specific instances of conduct) of the victim of the crime for which the defendant is being prosecuted is not made inadmissible by Section 1101 if such evidence is:
- (a) Offered by the defendant to prove conduct of the victim in conformity with such character or trait of character.
- (b) Offered by the prosecution to rebut evidence adduced by the defendant under subdivision (a).
- Except as provided in Sections 1102 and 1103, evidence of a trait of a person's character with respect to care or skill is inadmissible to prove the quality of his-conduct on a specified occasion.
- Any otherwise admissible evidence of habit or custom is admissible to prove conduct on a specified occasion in conformity with the habit or custom.

CHAPTER 2. OTHER EVIDENCE AFFECTED OR EXCLUDED BY EXTRINSIC POLICIES

1150. (a) Upon an inquiry as to the validity of a verdict, any otherwise admissible evidence may be received as to statements made, or conduct, conditions, or events occurring, either within or without the jury room, of such a character as is likely to have influenced the verdict improperly. No evidence is admissible to show the effect of such statement, conduct, condition, or event upon a juror either in influencing him to assent to or dissent from the verdict or concerning the mental processes by which it was determined.

(b) Nothing in this code affects the law relating to the competence of a juror to give evidence to impeach or support a

verdict.

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

1151. When, after the occurrence of an event, remedial or precautionary measures are taken, which, if taken previously, would have tended to make the event less likely to occur, evidence of such subsequent measures is inadmissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event.

1152. (a) Evidence that a person has, in compromise or from humanitarian motives, furnished or offered or promised to furnish money or any other thing, act, or service to another who has sustained or claims to have sustained loss or damage, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove his liability for the loss or damage or any part of it.

(b) This section does not affect the admissibility of evi-

28 (b) Th 29 dence of:

(1) Partial satisfaction of an asserted claim or demand without questioning its validity when such evidence is offered

to prove the validity of the claim; or

(2) A debtor's payment or promise to pay all or a part of his pre-existing debt when such evidence is offered to prove the creation of a new duty on his part or a revival of his pre-existing duty.

1153. Evidence of a plea of guilty, later withdrawn, or of an offer to plead guilty to the crime charged or to any other crime, made by the defendant in a criminal action is inadmissible in any action or in any proceeding of any nature, including proceedings before agencies, commissions, boards, and tribunals.

1154. Evidence that a person has accepted or offered or promised to accept a sum of money or any other thing, act, or service in satisfaction of a claim, as well as any conduct or statements made in negotiation thereof, is inadmissible to prove the invalidity of the claim or any part of it.

1155. Evidence that a person was, at the time a harm was suffered by another, insured wholly or partially against loss arising from liability for that harm is inadmissible to prove

negligence or other wrongdoing.

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

 $\frac{29}{30}$

 $\frac{41}{42}$

ŧ

1156. (a) In-hospital medical staff committees of a licensed hospital may engage in research and medical study for the purpose of reducing moribidity or mortality, and may make findings and recommendations relating to such purpose. Except as provided in subdivision (b), the written records of interviews, reports, statements, or memoranda of such inhospital medical staff committees relating to such medical studies are subject to Sections 2016 to 2036, inclusive, of the Code of Civil Procedure (relating to discovery proceedings) but, subject to subdivisions (c) and (d), shall not be admitted as evidence in any action or before any administrative body, agency, or person.

(b) The disclosure, with or without the consent of the patient, of information concerning him to such in-hospital medical staff committee does not make unprivileged any information that would otherwise be privileged under Section 994 or 1014; but, notwithstanding Sections 994 and 1014, such information is subject to discovery under subdivision (a) except that the identity of any patient may not be discovered under subdivision (a) unless the patient consents to such disclosure.

(c) This section does not affect the admissibility in evidence of the original medical records of any patient.

(d) This section does not exclude evidence which is relevant evidence in a criminal action.

DIVISION 10. HEARSAY EVIDENCE

Chapter 1. General Provisions

1200. (a) "Hearsay evidence" is evidence of a statement that was made other than by a witness while testifying at the hearing and that is offered to prove the truth of the matter stated.

- (b) Except as provided by law, hearsay evidence is inadmissible.
- (c) This section shall be known and may be cited as the hearsay rule.

1201. A statement within the scope of an exception to the hearsay rule is not inadmissible on the ground that the evidence is hearsay evidence if the hearsay evidence of such statement consists of one or more statements each of which meets the requirements of an exception to the hearsay rule.

1202. Evidence of a statement or other conduct by a declarant that is inconsistent with a statement by such declarant received in evidence as hearsay evidence is not inadmissible for the purpose of attacking the credibility of the declarant though he is not given and has not had an opportunity to explain or to deny such inconsistent statement or other conduct. Any other evidence offered to attack or support the credibility of the declarant is admissible if it would have been admissible had the declarant been a witness at the hearing. For the purposes of this section, the deponent of a deposition

taken in the action in which it is offered shall be deemed to be a hearsay declarant.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ 28 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 31 \\ 32 \end{array}$

 1203. (a) The declarant of a statement that is admitted as hearsay evidence may be called and examined by any adverse party as if under cross-examination concerning the statement.

- (b) This section is not applicable if the declarant is (1) a party, (2) a person identified with a party within the meaning of subdivision (d) of Section 776, or (3) a witness who has testified in the action concerning the subject matter of the statement.
- (c) This section is not applicable if the statement is one described in Article 1 (commencing with Section 1220), Article 3 (commencing with Section 1235), or Article 10 (commencing with Section 1300) of Chapter 2 of this division.
- (d) A statement that is otherwise admissible as hearsay evidence is not made inadmissible by this section because the declarant who made the statement is unavailable for examination pursuant to this section.
- 1204. A statement that is otherwise admissible as hearsay evidence is inadmissible against the defendant in a criminal action if the statement was made, either by the defendant or by another, under such circumstances that it is inadmissible against the defendant under the Constitution of the United States or the State of California.
- 1205. Nothing in this division shall be construed to repeal by implication any other statute relating to hearsay evidence.

CHAPTER 2. EXCEPTIONS TO THE HEARSAY RULE

Article 1. Confessions and Admissions

1220. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered against the declarant in an action to which he is a party in either his individual or representative capacity, regardless of whether the statement was made in his individual or representative capacity.

1221. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is one of which the party, with knowledge of the content thereof, has by words or other conduct manifested his adoption or his belief in its truth.

1222. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

- (a) The statement was made by a person authorized by the party to make a statement or statements for him concerning the subject matter of the statement; and
- (b) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of such authority or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

 2

 1223. Evidence of a statement offered against a party is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The statement was made by the declarant while participating in a conspiracy to commit a crime or civil wrong and in furtherance of the objective of that conspiracy;

(b) The statement was made prior to or during the time

that the party was participating in that conspiracy; and

(c) The evidence is offered either after admission of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding of the facts specified in subdivisions (a) and (b) or, in the court's discretion as to the order of proof, subject to the admission of such evidence.

1224. When the liability, obligation, or duty of a party to a civil action is based in whole or in part upon the liability, obligation, or duty of the declarant, or when the claim or right asserted by a party to a civil action is barred or diminished by a breach of duty by the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that liability, obligation, duty, or breach of duty.

1225. When a right, title, or interest in any property or claim asserted by a party to a civil action requires a determination that a right, title, or interest exists or existed in the declarant, evidence of a statement made by the declarant during the time the party now claims the declarant was the holder of the right, title, or interest is as admissible against the party as it would be if offered against the declarant in an action involving that right, title, or interest.

1226. Evidence of a statement by a minor child is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action brought under Section 376 of the Code of Civil Procedure for injury to such minor child.

1227. Evidence of a statement by the deceased is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if offered against the plaintiff in an action for wrongful death brought under Section 377 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Article 2. Declarations Against Interest

1230. Evidence of a statement by a declarant having sufficient knowledge of the subject is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and the statement, when made, was so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far subjected him to the risk of civil or criminal liability, or so far tended to render invalid a claim by him against another, or created such a risk of making him an object of hatred, ridicule, or social disgrace in the community, that a reasonable man in his position would not have made the statement unless he believed it to be true.

 $rac{1}{2}$

1241. Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement:

- (a) Is offered to explain, qualify, or make understandable conduct of the declarant; and
- (b) Was made while the declarant was engaged in such conduct.

1242. Evidence of a statement made by a dying person respecting the cause and circumstances of his death is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement was made upon his personal knowledge and under a sense of immediately impending death.

Article 5. Statements of Mental or Physical State

- 1250. (a) Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when:
- (1) The evidence is offered to prove the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation at that time or at any other time when it is itself an issue in the action; or
 - (2) The evidence is offered to prove or explain acts or conduct of the declarant.
 - (b) This section does not make admissible evidence of a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed.
 - 1251. Subject to Section 1252, evidence of a statement of the declarant's state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation (including a statement of intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, or bodily health) at a time prior to the statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:
 - (a) The declarant is unavailable as a witness; and
 - (b) The evidence is offered to prove such prior state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation when it is itself an issue in the action and the evidence is not offered to prove any fact other than such state of mind, emotion, or physical sensation.

1252. Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this article if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 6. Statements Relating to Wills and to Claims Against Estates

- 1260. (a) Evidence of a statement made by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness that he has or has not made a will, or has or has not revoked his will, or that identifies his will, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.
- (b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

7

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15 16

21 2223

24 25 26

31 32 33

38 39 40

41 42

43 44

45 46 47

48 49

50 51

52

(a) Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in an action upon a claim or demand against the estate of the declarant if the statement was made upon the personal knowledge of the declarant at a time when the matter had been recently perceived by him and while his recollection was clear.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

Article 7. Business Records

- As used in this article, "a business" includes every kind of business, governmental activity, profession, occupation, calling, or operation of institutions, whether carried on for profit or not.
- 1271. Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:
- (a) The writing was made in the regular course of a business;
- (b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event;
- (c) The custodian or other qualified witness testifies to its identity and the mode of its preparation; and
- (d) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustworthiness.
- Evidence of the absence from the records of a business of a record of an asserted act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the nonoccurrence of the act or event, or the nonexistence of the condition, if:
- (a) It was the regular course of that business to make records of all such acts, conditions, or events at or near the time of the act, condition, or event and to preserve them; and
- (b) The sources of information and method and time of preparation of the records of that business were such that the absence of a record of an act, condition, or event is a trustworthy indication that the act or event did not occur or the condition did not exist.

Article 8. Official Records and Other Official Writings

- Evidence of a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the act, condition, or event if:
- (a) The writing was made by and within the scope of duty of a public employee;
- (b) The writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event; and
- (c) The sources of information and method and time of preparation were such as to indicate its trustwort : MJN 3510

 $\frac{13}{14}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 18 \end{array}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{25}{26}$

 1281. Evidence of a writing made as a record of a birth, fetal death, death, or marriage is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the maker was required by law to file the writing in a designated public office and the writing was made and filed as required by law.

1282. A written finding of presumed death made by an employee of the United States authorized to make such finding pursuant to the Federal Missing Persons Act (56 Stats. 143, 1092, and P.L. 408, Ch. 371, 2d Sess. 78th Cong.; 50 U.S.C. App. 1001–1016), as enacted or as heretofore or hereafter amended, shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence of the death of the person therein found to be dead and of the date, circumstances, and place of his disappearance.

1283. An official written report or record that a person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive, made by an employee of the United States authorized by any law of the United States to make such report or record shall be received in any court, office, or other place in this state as evidence that such person is missing, missing in action, interned in a foreign country, captured by a hostile force, beleaguered by a hostile force, besieged by a hostile force, or detained in a foreign country against his will, or is dead or is alive.

1284. Evidence of a writing made by the public employee who is the official custodian of the records in a public office, reciting diligent search and failure to find a record, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the absence of a record in that office.

Article 9. Former Testimony

1290. As used in this article, "former testimony" means testimony given under oath in:

(a) Another action or in a former hearing or trial of the same action;

(b) A proceeding to determine a controversy conducted by or under the supervision of an agency that has the power to determine such a controversy and is an agency of the United States or a public entity in the United States;

(c) A deposition taken in compliance with law in another action; or

(d) An arbitration proceeding if the evidence of such former testimony is a verbatim transcript thereof.

1291. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:

(1) The former testimony is offered against a person who offered it in evidence in his own behalf on the former occasion or against the successor in interest of such person; or

- (2) The party against whom the former testimony is offered was a party to the action or proceeding in which the testimony was given and had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which he has at the hearing.
- (b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to:
- (1) Objections to the form of the question which were not made at the time the former testimony was given.
- (2) Objections based on competency or privilege which did not exist at the time the former testimony was given.
- 1292. (a) Evidence of former testimony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:
 - (1) The declarant is unavailable as a witness;
 - (2) The former testimony is offered in a civil action; and
- (3) The issue is such that the party to the action or proceeding in which the former testimony was given had the right and opportunity to cross-examine the declarant with an interest and motive similar to that which the party against whom the testimony is offered has at the hearing.
- (b) The admissibility of former testimony under this section is subject to the same limitations and objections as though the declarant were testifying at the hearing, except that former testimony offered under this section is not subject to objections based on competency or privilege which did not exist at the time the former testimony was given.

Article 10. Judgments

- 1300. Evidence of a final judgment adjudging a person guilty of a crime punishable as a felony is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered in a civil action to prove any fact essential to the judgment unless the judgment was based on a plea of nolo contendere.
- 1301. Evidence of a final judgment is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered by the judgment debtor to prove any fact which was essential to the judgment in an action in which he seeks to:
- (a) Recover partial or total indemnity or exoneration for money paid or liability incurred because of the judgment;
- (b) Enforce a warranty to protect the judgment debtor against the liability determined by the judgment; or
- (c) Recover damages for breach of warranty substantially the same as the warranty determined by the judgment to have been breached.
- 1302. When the liability, obligation, or duty of a third person is in issue in a civil action, evidence of a final judgment against that person is not made inadmissible by the

 $\frac{1}{2}$

3

4

 $\bar{\mathbf{5}}$

6

7

8

9 10

11

 $\frac{12}{13}$

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$

24

25

26

27

 $\frac{28}{29}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

 $\frac{47}{48}$

 $\frac{51}{52}$

hearsay rule when offered to prove such liability, obligation, or duty.

Article 11. Family History

1310. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement by a declarant who is unavailable as a witness concerning his own birth, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood or marriage, race, ancestry, or other similar fact of his family history is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter declared.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to

indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1311. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), evidence of a statement concerning the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a person other than the declarant is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and:

(1) The declarant was related to the other by blood or

marriage; or

(2) The declarant was otherwise so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have had accurate information concerning the matter declared and made the statement (i) upon information received from the other or from a person related by blood or marriage to the other or (ii) upon repute in the other's family.

(b) Evidence of a statement is inadmissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to

indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1312. Evidence of entries in family bibles or other family books or charts, engravings on rings, family portraits, engravings on urns, crypts, or tombstones, and the like, is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood or marriage.

1313. Evidence of reputation among members of a family is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns the birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of the family history of a member of the family by blood

44 or marriage.

1314. Evidence of reputation in a community concerning the date or fact of birth, marriage, divorce, or death of a person resident in the community at the time of the reputation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

1315. Evidence of a statement concerning a person's birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of family history which is contained in a writing made as a record of a

church, religious denomination, or religious society is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{20}{21}$

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{47}{48}$

- (a) The statement is contained in a writing made as a record of an act, condition, or event that would be admissible as evidence of such act, condition, or event under Section 1271; and
- (b) The statement is of a kind customarily recorded in connection with the act, condition, or event recorded in the writing.
- 1316. Evidence of a statement concerning a person's birth, marriage, divorce, death, legitimacy, race, ancestry, relationship by blood or marriage, or other similar fact of family history is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is contained in a certificate that the maker thereof performed a marriage or other ceremony or administered a sacrament and:
- (a) The maker was a clergyman, civil officer, or other person authorized to perform the acts reported in the certificate by law or by the rules, regulations, or requirements of a church, religious denomination, or religious society; and
- (b) The certificate was issued by the maker at the time and place of the ceremony or sacrament or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Article 12. Reputation and Statements Concerning Community History, Property Interests, and Character

1320. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns an event of general history of the community or of the state or nation of which the community is a part and the event was of importance to the community.

1321. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns the interest of the public in property in the community and the reputation arose before controversy.

1322. Evidence of reputation in a community is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the reputation concerns boundaries of, or customs affecting, land in the community and the reputation arose before controversy.

1323. Evidence of a statement concerning the boundary of land is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness and had sufficient knowledge of the subject, but evidence of a statement is not admissible under this section if the statement was made under circumstances such as to indicate its lack of trustworthiness.

1324. Evidence of a person's general reputation with reference to his character or a trait of his character at a relevant time in the community in which he then resided or in a group with which he then habitually associated is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule.

MJN 3514

Article 13. Dispositive Instruments and Ancient Writings

3 4 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1330. Evidence of a statement contained in a deed of conveyance or a will or other writing purporting to affect an interest in real or personal property is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if:

(a) The matter stated was relevant to the purpose of the writing:

(b) The matter stated would be relevant to an issue as to an interest in the property; and

(c) The dealings with the property since the statement was made have not been inconsistent with the truth of the statement.

Evidence of a statement is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the statement is contained in a writing more than 30 years old and the statement has been since generally acted upon as true by persons having an interest in the matter.

18 19 20

Article 14. Commercial, Scientific, and Similar Publications

21 22

26

23 24 25

27 28 29 . 30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42 43 44

45 46 47

52

1340. Evidence of a statement, other than an opinion, contained in a tabulation, list, directory, register, or other published compilation is not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule if the compilation is generally used and relied upon as accurate in the course of a business as defined in Section 1270.

Historical works, books of science or art, and published maps or charts, made by persons indifferent between the parties, are not made inadmissible by the hearsay rule when offered to prove facts of general notoriety and interest.

DIVISION 11. WRITINGS

CHAPTER 1. AUTHENTICATION AND PROOF OF WRITINGS

Article 1. Requirement of Authentication

Authentication of a writing means (a) the introduction of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding that it is the writing that the proponent of the evidence claims it is or (b) the establishment of such facts by any other means provided by law.

(a) Authentication of a writing is required before **1**401. it may be received in evidence.

(b) Authentication of a writing is required before secondary evidence of its content may be received in evidence.

The party producing a writing as genuine which has been altered, or appears to have been altered, after its execution, in a part material to the question in dispute, must account for the alteration or appearance thereof. He may show that the alteration was made by another, without his

concurrence, or was made with the consent of the parties affeeted by it, or otherwise properly or innocently made, or that the alteration did not change the meaning or language of the instrument. If he does that, he may give the writing in evidence, but not otherwise.

6 7

Article 2. Means of Authenticating and Proving Writings

8 9 10

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$

13

14

 $\frac{15}{16}$

17

18 19

20

21

22

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48 49

50 51 1410. Nothing in this article shall be construed to limit the means by which a writing may be authenticated or proved.

1411. Except as provided by statute, the testimony of a subscribing witness is not required to authenticate a writing.

- 1412. If the testimony of a subscribing witness is required by statute to authenticate a writing and the subscribing witness denies or does not recollect the execution of the writing, the writing may be authenticated by other evidence.
- 1413. A writing may be authenticated by anyone who saw the writing made or executed, including a subscribing witness.
 - 1414. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that:
 (a) The party against whom it is offered has at any time
- (a) The party against whom it is offered has at any time admitted its authenticity; or
- (b) The writing has been acted upon as authentic by the party against whom it is offered.
- 1415. A writing may be authenticated by evidence of the genuineness of the handwriting of the maker.
- 1416. A witness who is not otherwise qualified to testify as an expert may state his opinion whether a writing is in the handwriting of a supposed writer if the court finds that he has personal knowledge of the handwriting of the supposed writer. Such personal knowledge may be acquired from:
 - (a) Having seen the supposed writer write;
- (b) Having seen a writing purporting to be in the handwriting of the supposed writer and upon which the supposed writer has acted or been charged;
- (c) Having received letters in the due course of mail purporting to be from the supposed writer in response to letters duly addressed and mailed by him to the supposed writer; or
- (d) Any other means of obtaining personal knowledge of the handwriting of the supposed writer.
- 1417. The genuineness of handwriting, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by the trier of fact with handwriting (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.
- 1418. The genuineness of writing, or the lack thereof, may be proved by a comparison made by an expert witness with writing (a) which the court finds was admitted or treated as genuine by the party against whom the evidence is offered or (b) otherwise proved to be genuine to the satisfaction of the court.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

 $42 \cdot$

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

 $\frac{48}{49}$

50

51

52

1419. Where a writing whose genuineness is sought to be proved is more than 30 years old, the comparison under Section 1417 or 1418 may be made with writing purporting to be genuine, and generally respected and acted upon as such, by persons having an interest in knowing whether it is genuine.

1420. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that the writing was received in response to a communication sent to the person who is claimed by the proponent of the evidence to be the author of the writing.

1421. A writing may be authenticated by evidence that the writing refers to or states matters that are unlikely to be known to anyone other than the person who is claimed by the proponent of the evidence to be the author of the writing.

Article 3. Presumptions Affecting Acknowledged Writings and Official Writings

1450. The presumptions established by this article are pre-

sumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1451. A certificate of the acknowledgment of a writing other than a will, or a certificate of the proof of such a writing, is prima facie evidence of the facts recited in the certificate and the genuineness of the signature of each person by whom the writing purports to have been signed if the certificate meets the requirements of Article 3 (commencing with Section 1180) of Chapter 4, Title 4, Part 4, Division 2 of the Civil Code.

1452. A seal is presumed to be genuine and its use author-

ized if it purports to be the seal of:

(a) The United States or a department, agency, or public employee of the United States.

(b) A public entity in the United States or a department,

agency, or public employee of such public entity.

- (c) A nation recognized by the executive power of the United States or a department, agency, or officer of such nation.
- (d) A public entity in a nation recognized by the executive power of the United States or a department, agency, or officer of such public entity.

(e) A court of admiralty or maritime jurisdiction.

(f) A notary public within any state of the United States. 1453. A signature is presumed to be genuine and authorized if it purports to be the signature, affixed in his official capacity, of:

(a) A public employee of the United States.

(b) A public employee of any public entity in the United States.

(c) A notary public within any state of the United States. 1454. A signature is presumed to be genuine and authorized if it purports to be the signature, affixed in his official capacity, of an officer, or deputy of an officer, of a nation or public entity in a nation recognized by the executive power of the United States and the writing to which the signature is

affixed is accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (a) the person who executed the writing or (b) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person executing the writing. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation, authenticated by the seal of his office.

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $\frac{10}{11}$

CHAPTER 2. SECONDARY EVIDENCE OF WRITINGS

Article 1. Best Evidence Rule

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

- 1500. Except as otherwise provided by statute, no evidence other than the writing itself is admissible to prove the content of a writing. This section shall be known and may be cited as the best evidence rule.
- 1501. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is lost or has been destroyed without fraudulent intent on the part of the proponent of the evidence.
- 1502. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing was not reasonably procurable by the proponent by use of the court's process or by other available means.
- 1503. (a) A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if, at a time when the writing was under the control of the opponent, the opponent was expressly or impliedly notified, by the pleadings or otherwise, that the writing would be needed at the hearing, and on request at the hearing the opponent has failed to produce the writing. In a criminal action, the request at the hearing to produce the writing may not be made in the presence of the jury.
- (b) Though a writing requested by one party is produced by another, and is thereupon inspected by the party calling for it, the party calling for the writing is not obliged to introduce it as evidence in the action.
- 1504. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is not closely related to the controlling issues and it would be inexpedient to require its production.
- 1505. If the proponent does not have in his possession or under his control a copy of a writing described in Section 1501, 1502, 1503, or 1504, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evi-

 $\frac{9}{10}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{31}{32}$

dence rule. This section does not apply to a writing that is also described in Section 1506 or 1507.

1506. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing is a record or other writing that is in the custody of a public entity.

1507. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been recorded in the public records and the record or an attested or a certified copy thereof is made evidence of the writing by statute.

1508. If the proponent does not have in his possession a copy of a writing described in Section 1506 or 1507 and could not in the exercise of reasonable diligence have obtained a copy, other secondary evidence of the content of the writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule.

1509. Secondary evidence, whether written or oral, of the content of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing consists of numerous accounts or other writings that cannot be examined in court without great loss of time, and the evidence sought from them is only the general result of the whole; but the court in its discretion may require that such accounts or other writings be produced for inspection by the adverse party.

1510. A copy of a writing is not made inadmissible by the best evidence rule if the writing has been produced at the hearing and made available for inspection by the adverse party.

Article 2. Official Writings and Recorded Writings

1530. (a) A purported copy of a writing in the custody of a public entity, or of an entry in such a writing, is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of such writing or entry if:

(1) The copy purports to be published by the authority of the nation or state, or public entity therein, in which the writing is kept;

(2) The office in which the writing is kept is within the United States or within the Panama Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the Ryukyu Islands, and the copy is attested or certified as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a public employee, or a deputy of a public employee, having the legal custody of the writing; or

(3) The office in which the writing is kept is not within the United States or any other place described in paragraph (2) and the copy is attested as a correct copy of the writing or entry by a person having authority to make the attestation. The attestation must be accompanied by a final statement certifying the genuineness of the signature and the official position of (i) the person who attested the copy as a correct copy or (ii) any foreign official who has certified either the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy or the genuineness of the signature and official position of another foreign official who has executed a similar

certificate in a chain of such certificates beginning with a certificate of the genuineness of the signature and official position of the person attesting the copy. The final statement may be made only by a secretary of an embassy or legation, consul general, consul, vice consul, consular agent, or other officer in the foreign service of the United States stationed in the nation in which the writing is kept, authenticated by the seal of his office.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

5

 $\frac{24}{25}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

 $\frac{37}{38}$

 $\frac{45}{46}$

 $\frac{48}{49}$

(b) The presumptions established by this section are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1531. For the purpose of evidence, whenever a copy of a writing is attested or certified, the attestation or certificate must state in substance that the copy is a correct copy of the original, or of a specified part thereof, as the case may be.

1532. (a) The official record of a writing is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the original recorded writing if:

(1) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and

(2) A statute authorized such a writing to be recorded in that office.

(b) The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

Article 3. Photographic Copies of Writings

1550. A photostatic, microfilm, microcard, miniature photographic or other photographic copy or reproduction, or an enlargement thereof, of a writing is as admissible as the writing itself if such copy or reproduction was made and preserved as a part of the records of a business (as defined by Section 1270) in the regular course of such business. The introduction of such copy, reproduction, or enlargement does not preclude admission of the original writing if it is still in existence.

1551. A print, whether enlarged or not, from a photographic film (including a photographic plate, microphotographic film, photostatic negative, or similar reproduction) of an original writing destroyed or lost after such film was taken is as admissible as the original writing itself if, at the time of the taking of such film, the person under whose direction and control it was taken attached thereto, or to the sealed container in which it was placed and has been kept, or incorporated in the film, a certification complying with the provisions of Section 1531 and stating the date on which, and the fact that, it was so taken under his direction and control.

Article 4. Hospital Records

1560. (a) As used in this article, "hospital" means a hospital located in this State that is operated by a public entity or any licensed hospital located in this state.

 $\frac{46}{47}$

- (b) Except as provided in Section 1564, when a subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital in an action in which the hospital is neither a party nor the place where any cause, of action is alleged to have arisen and such subpoena requires the production of all or any part of the records of the hospital relating to the care or treatment of a patient in such hospital, it is sufficient compliance therewith if the custodian or other officer of the hospital, within five days after the receipt of such subpoena, delivers by mail or otherwise a true and correct copy (which may be a photographic or microphotographic re-production) of all the records described in such subpoena to the clerk of court or to the court if there be no clerk or to such other person as described in subdivision (a) of Section 2018 of the Code of Civil Procedure, together with the affidavit de-scribed in Section 1561.
 - (c) The copy of the records shall be separately enclosed in an inner envelope or wrapper, sealed, with the title and number of the action, name of witness, and date of subpoena clearly inscribed thereon; the sealed envelope or wrapper shall then be enclosed in an outer envelope or wrapper, sealed, directed as follows:
 - (1) If the subpoena directs attendance in court, to the clerk of such court, or to the judge thereof if there be no clerk.
 - (2) If the subpoena directs attendance at a deposition or other hearing, to the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken, at the place designated in the subpoena for the taking of the deposition or at his place of business.
 - (3) In other cases, to the officer, body, or tribunal conducting the hearing, at a like address.
 - (d) Unless the parties to the proceeding otherwise agree, or unless the sealed envelope or wrapper is returned to a witness who is to appear personally, the copy of the records shall remain sealed and shall be opened only at the time of trial, deposition, or other hearing, upon the direction of the judge, officer, body, or tribunal conducting the proceeding, in the presence of all parties who have appeared in person or by counsel at such trial, deposition, or hearing. Records which are not introduced in evidence or required as part of the record shall be returned to the person or entity from whom received.
 - 1561. (a) The records shall be accompanied by the affidavit of the custodian or other qualified witness, stating in substance each of the following:
 - (1) That the affiant is the duly authorized custodian of the records and has authority to certify the records.
 - (2) That the copy is a true copy of all the records described in the subpoena.
 - (3) That the records were prepared by the personnel of the hospital, staff physicians, or persons acting under the control of either, in the ordinary course of hospital business at or near the time of the act, condition, or event.

 $\frac{37}{38}$

 $\frac{40}{41}$

 $\frac{48}{49}$

/ 32

(b) If the hospital has none of the records described, or only part thereof, the custodian shall so state in the affidavit, and deliver the affidavit and such records as are available in the manner provided in Section 1560.

1562. The copy of the records is admissible in evidence to the same extent as though the original thereof were offered and the custodian had been present and testified to the matters stated in the affidavit. The affidavit is admissible as evidence of the matters stated therein pursuant to Section 1561 and the matters so stated are presumed true. When more than one person has knowledge of the facts, more than one affidavit may be made. The presumption established by this section is a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

1563. This article shall not be interpreted to require tender or payment of more than one witness and mileage fee or other charge unless there is an agreement to the contrary.

1564. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required if the subpoena duces tecum contains a clause which reads:

"The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1560, and Sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena."

1565. If more than one subpoena duces tecum is served upon the custodian of records or other qualified witness from a hospital and the personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness is required pursuant to Section 1564, the witness shall be deemed to be the witness of the party serving the first such subpoena duces tecum.

1566. This article applies in any proceeding in which testimony can be compelled.

CHAPTER 3. OFFICIAL WRITINGS AFFECTING PROPERTY

- 1600. The official record of a document purporting to establish or affect an interest in property is prima facie evidence of the existence and content of the original recorded document and its execution and delivery by each person by whom it purports to have been executed if:
- 43 (a) The record is in fact a record of an office of a public entity; and
- 45 (b) A statute authorized such a document to be recorded in that office.
 - 1601. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), when in any action it is desired to prove the contents of the official record of any writing lost or destroyed by conflagration or other public calamity, after proof of such loss or destruction,

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{4}{5}$

 $\frac{19}{20}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

the following may, without further proof, be admitted in evidence to prove the contents of such record:

(1) Any abstract of title made and issued and certified as correct prior to such loss or destruction, and purporting to have been prepared and made in the ordinary course of business by any person engaged in the business of preparing and making abstracts of title prior to such loss or destruction; or

- (2) Any abstract of title, or of any instrument affecting title, made, issued, and certified as correct by any person engaged in the business of insuring titles or issuing abstracts of title to real estate, whether the same was made, issued, or certified before or after such loss or destruction and whether the same was made from the original records or from abstract and notes, or either, taken from such records in the preparation and upkeeping of its plant in the ordinary course of its business.
- (b) No proof of the loss of the original writing is required other than the fact that the original is not known to the party desiring to prove its contents to be in existence.
- (c) Any party desiring to use evidence admissible under this section shall give reasonable notice in writing to all other parties to the action who have appeared therein, of his intention to use such evidence at the trial of the action, and shall give all such other parties a reasonable opportunity to inspect the evidence, and also the abstracts, memoranda, or notes from which it was compiled, and to take copies thereof.

1602. If a patent for mineral lands within this state issued or granted by the United States of America, contains a statement of the date of the location of a claim or claims upon which the granting or issuance of such patent is based, such statement is prima facie evidence of the date of such location.

1603. A deed of conveyance of real property, purporting to have been executed by a proper officer in pursuance of legal process of any of the courts of record of this state, acknowledged and recorded in the office of the recorder of the county wherein the real property therein described is situated, or the record of such deed, or a certified copy of such record, is prima facie evidence that the property or interest therein described was thereby conveyed to the grantee named in such deed.

1604. A certificate of purchase, or of location, of any lands in this state, issued or made in pursuance of any law of the United States or of this state, is prima facie evidence that the holder or assignee of such certificate is the owner of the land described therein; but this evidence may be overcome by proof that, at the time of the location, or time of filing a preemption claim on which the certificate may have been issued, the land was in the adverse possession of the adverse party, or those under whom he claims, or that the adverse party is holding the land for mining purposes.

1605. Duplicate copies and authenticated translations of original Spanish title papers relating to land claims in this

state, derived from the Spanish or Mexican governments, prepared under the supervision of the Keeper of Archives, au-thenticated by the Surveyor-General or his successor and by the Keeper of Archives, and filed with a county recorder, in ac-cordance with Chapter 281 of the Statutes of 1865-66, are re-ceivable as prima facie evidence with like force and effect as the originals and without proving the execution of such originals.

Sec. 3. Section 2904 of the Business and Professions Code is repealed.

11 Sec. 4. Section 5012 of the Business and Professions Code 12 is amended to read:

5012. The board shall have a seal.

 $\frac{16}{17}$

 $\frac{29}{30}$

 $\frac{46}{47}$

 Sec. 5. Section 25009 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

25009. Any defendant in any action brought under this chapter or any person who may be a witness therein under Sections 2016, 2018, and 2019 of the Code of Civil Procedure or Section 776 of the Evidence Code, and the books and records of any such defendant or witness, may be brought into court and the books and records may be introduced by reference into evidence, but no information so obtained may be used against the defendant or any such witness as a basis for a misdemeanor prosecution under this chapter.

SEC. 6. Section 53 of the Civil Code is amended to read:

53. (a) Every provision in a written instrument relating to real property which purports to forbid or restrict the conveyance, encumbrance, leasing, or mortgaging of such real property to any person of a specified race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin, is void and every restriction or prohibition as to the use or occupation of real property because of the user's or occupier's race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin is void.

(b) Every restriction or prohibition, whether by way of covenant, condition upon use or occupation, or upon transfer of title to real property, which restriction or prohibition directly or indirectly limits the acquisition, use or occupation of such property because of the acquirer's, user's, or occupier's race, color, religion, ancestry, or national origin is void.

(c) In any action to declare that a restriction or prohibition specified in subdivision (a) or (b) of this section is void, the court takes judicial notice of the recorded instrument or instruments containing such prohibitions or restrictions in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 7. Section 164.5 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 164.5. The presumption that property acquired during marriage is community property does not apply to any property to which legal or equitable title is held by a person at the time of his death if the marriage during which the property was

4

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

 $\frac{20}{21}$

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

1 acquired was terminated by divorce more than four years 2 prior to such death.

Sec. 8. Section 193 of the Civil Code is repealed.

SEC. 9. Section 194 of the Civil Code is repealed.

SEC. 10. Section 195 of the Civil Code is repealed.

6 Sec. 11. Section 3545 is added to the Civil Code, to read:

7 3545. Private transactions are fair and regular.

SEC. 12. Section 3546 is added to the Civil Code, to read:

9 3546. Things happen according to the ordinary course of 10 nature and the ordinary habits of life.

SEC. 13. Section 3547 is added to the Civil Code, to read: 3547. A thing continues to exist as long as is usual with things of that nature.

SEC. 14. Section 3548 is added to the Civil Code, to read:

3548. The law has been obeyed.

SEC. 15. Section 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

1. This act shall be known as the Code of Civil Procedure, and is divided into four parts, as follows:

Part I. Of Courts of Justice.

II. Of Civil Actions.

III. Of Special Proceedings of a Civil Nature.

IV. Miscellaneous Provisions.

Sec. 16. Section 117g of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

117g. No attorney at law or other person than the plaintiff and defendant shall take any part in the filing or the prosecution or defense of such litigation in the small claims court. The plaintiff and defendant shall have the right, to offer evidence in their behalf by witnesses appearing at such hearing, or at any other time. The presence of the plaintiff or defendant, whether individual or corporate, at the hearing shall not be required to permit the proof of the items of an account but such proof shall be in accordance with the provisions of Sections 1270 and 1271 of the Evidence Code. The judge or justice may also informally make any investigation of the controversy between the parties either in or out of court and give judgment and make such orders as to time of payment or otherwise as may, by him, be deemed to be right and just. The provisions of Section 579 of the Code of Civil Procedure are hereby made applicable to small claims court actions.

Sec. 17. Section 125 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

125. In an action for divorce or seduction, the court may direct the trial of any issue of fact joined therein to be private, and may exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their witnesses, and counsel. Nothing in this section prevents the exclusion of a witness pursuant to Evidence Code Section 777.

Sec. 18. Section 153 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

- 153. Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, the seal of a court need not be affixed to any proceeding therein, or to any document, except:
 - 1. To a writ;

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 11 \end{array}$

 $26 \cdot$

 $\frac{38}{39}$

- 2. To a summons:
- 3. To a warrant of arrest;
- 4. To the certificate of probate of a will or of the appointment of an executor, administrator, or guardian.
- Sec. 19. Section 433 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
- 433. When any of the matters enumerated in Section 430 do not appear upon the face of the complaint, the objection may be taken by answer; except that when the ground of demurrer is that there is another action or proceeding pending between the same parties for the same cause and the court may take judicial notice of the other action or proceeding under Division 4 (commencing with Section 450) of the Evidence Code, an affidavit may be filed with the demurrer for the sole purpose of establishing such fact or invoking such notice.
- SEC. 20. Section 631.7 is added to the Code of Civil Procedure, to read:
- 631.7. Ordinarily, unless the court otherwise directs, the trial of a civil action tried by the court without a jury shall proceed in the order specified in Section 607.
- Sec. 21. Section 1256.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 30 Sec. 22. Section 1747 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 31 amended to read:
 - 1747. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 124 of the Code of Civil Procedure, all superior court hearings or conferences in proceedings under this chapter shall be held in private and the court shall exclude all persons except the officers of the court, the parties, their counsel and witnesses. Conferences may be held with each party and his counsel separately and in the discretion of the judge, commissioner or counselor conducting the conference or hearing, counsel for one party may be excluded when the adverse party is present. All communications, verbal or written, from parties to the judge, commissioner or counselor in a proceeding under this chapter shall be deemed to be official information within the meaning of Section 1040 of the Evidence Code.

The files of the conciliation court shall be closed. The petition, supporting affidavit, reconciliation agreement and any court order made in the matter may be opened to inspection by any party or his counsel upon the written authority of the judge of the conciliation court.

SEC. 23. The heading of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

1	PAI	RT IV.	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
2		~ .	
3	Sec. 24.	Section	n 1823 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
4	pealed.	~	400
5	Sec. 25.	Section	n 1824 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
6	pealed.	~ .	
7	SEC. 26.	Section	n 1825 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
8	pealed.		
9	SEC. 27.	Section	n 1826 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
10	pealed.		
11	SEC. 28.	Section	n 1827 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
12	$\underset{\sim}{\text{pealed}}$.	~ `.	1000 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0
13	SEC. 29.	Section	n 1828 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
14	pealed.	~	1000 4 1 0 1 4 01 1 7
15	Sec. 30.	Section	n 1829 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
16	pealed.	~	1000 4 1 0 1 4 0 1 1 7
17	Sec. 31.	Section	n 1830 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
18	pealed.	۰	1001 4.1 0.1 4.01 11.5
19	Sec. 32.	Section	a 1831 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
20	pealed.	~ .	
21	Sec. 33.	Section	n 1832 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
22	pealed.	~	1000 4.1 0 1 4.0' 11.7
23	Sec. 34.	Section	1833 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
24	pealed.	~	1004 441 011 4011 17
$\frac{25}{25}$	SEC. 35.	Section	n 1834 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
26	pealed.	04:	109C - Cal C Oiil D I i
27	SEC. 36.	Section	1 1836 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
28	pealed. Sec. 37.	Castion	n 1837 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\frac{29}{30}$	pealed.	Section	1 1657 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
30 31	SEC. 38.	Section	1838 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
$\frac{31}{32}$	pealed.	Section	1 1030 of the Code of Civil 1 rocedure is re-
33	SEC. 39.	Section	n 1839 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
ээ 34	pealed.	Section	1 1039 of the Code of Civil 1 rocedure is re-
35	SEC. 40.	Section	1844 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
36	pealed.	Section	1 1011 of the code of civil 1 locedare is re-
37	SEC. 41.	Section	1845 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
38	pealed.	Section	1 1040 of the Code of Civil 1 locedure is 10-
39	SEC. 42.	Section	1845.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is
40			nended to read:
41.			ninent domain proceeding a witness, other-
42			testify with respect to the value of the real
43	nroperty in	eu, may eludino	the improvements situated thereon or the
44	value of an	v int er e	est in real property to be taken, and may
45	testify on A	irect exa	mination as to his knowledge of the amount
46			e property or property interests. In render-
47			o highest and best use and market value of
48			to be condemned the witness shall be per-
49			nd give evidence as to the nature and value
10			

- of the improvements and the character of the existing uses being made of the properties in the general vicinity of the property sought to be condemned. Nothing in this section makes inadmissible any evidence that is admissible under Sections 800 to 805, inclusive, of the Evidence Code or under any other provision of the Evidence Code.
- 7 Sec. 43. Section 1846 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-8 pealed.
- 9 Sec. 44. Section 1847 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 11 Sec. 45. Section 1848 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 13 Sec. 46. Section 1849 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-14 pealed.
- 15 Sec. 47. Section 1850 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 17 Sec. 48. Section 1851 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 19 Sec. 49. Section 1852 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 21 Sec. 50. Section 1853 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 23 Sec. 51. Section 1854 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- pealed.
 Sec. 52. Section 1855 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 26 pealed.
 27 Sec. 53. Section 1855a of the Code of Civil Procedure is
- 28 repealed.
 29 Sec. 54. Section 1863 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 30 pealed.
 31 Sec. 55. Section 1867 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 32 pealed.
- 33 Sec. 56. Section 1868 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-34 pealed.
- 35 SEC. 57. Section 1869 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 36 pealed.
 37 Sec. 58. Section 1870 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 38 pealed.
 39 Sec. 59. Section 1871 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-
- 40 pealed.
- 41 Sec. 60. Section 1872 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- SEC. 61. Section 1875 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.
- 45 Sec. 62. Section 1879 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-46 pealed.
- 47 Sec. 63. Section 1880 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-48 pealed.
- 49 Sec. 64. Section 1881 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-50 pealed.

9

11

15 16

17

18

19 20

24

28

32

33

34 35

36

1 Sec. 65. Section 1883 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-2 pealed.

3 Sec. 66. Section 1884 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-4

pealed.

5 Sec. 67. Section 1885 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed. 7

Sec. 68. Section 1893 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

amended to read:

1893. Every public officer having the custody of a public 10 writing, which a citizen has a right to inspect, is bound to give him, on demand, a certified copy of it, on payment of the legal 12 fees therefor. If a public officer having custody of public writings of a particular type fails to find a demanded writing of 13 that type after diligent search, he shall furnish, upon demand, 14 a writing so stating and affix his signature thereto in his official capacity, on payment of a fee therefor in like amount as the minimum fee that would have been required for the preparation and certification of a nonphotographic copy of the demanded writing.

SEC. 69. Section 1901 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

21pealed.

SEC. 70. Section 1903 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-22 23pealed.

SEC. 71. Section 1905 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

25 pealed.

SEC. 72. Section 1906 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-26 27 pealed.

Sec. 73. Section 1907 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

pealed. 29

Section 1908.5 is added to the Code of Civil Pro-30 Sec. 74.

cedure, to read: 31

When a judgment or order of a court is conclusive. 1908.5. the judgment or order must be alleged in the pleadings if there be an opportunity to do so; if there be no such opportunity, the judgment or order may be used as evidence.

Sec. 75. Section 1918 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

37 pealed.

Section 1919 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-38 Sec. 76. 39 pealed.

SEC. 77. Section 1919a of the Code of Civil Procedure is 40

41 repealed.

42 SEC. 78. Section 1919b of the Code of Civil Procedure is 43

repealed.

Section 1920 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-44 Sec. 79. 45 pealed.

46 Sec. 80.

47 repealed. Section 1920b of the Code of Civil Procedure is SEC. 81.

48 repealed. 49

SEC. 82. Section 1921 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

Section 1920a of the Code of Civil Procedure is

51 pealed.

50

1 Sec. 83. Section 1922 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 84. Section 1923 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

4 pealed.

3

7

14

17

20

22

32

5 Sec. 85. Section 1924 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-6 pealed.

Sec. 86. Section 1925 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

8 pealed.9 Sec.

Sec. 87. Section 1926 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

10 pealed. 11 Sec.

Sec. 88. Section 1927 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

12 pealed. 13 Sec. 89.

repealed.
SEC. 90. Section 1928 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

Section 1927.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

Section 1938 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

15 SEC. 90. 16 pealed.

pealed.
SEC. 91. Article 2.1 (commencing with Section 1928.1) of

18 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 19 is repealed.

SEC. 92. Section 1936 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

21 pealed.

SEC. 93. Section 1936.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

23 repealed.

Sec. 94. Section 1937 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

25 pealed.26 Sec. 95.

27 pealed.
28 Sec. 96. Section 1939 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

29 pealed.

Sec. 97. Section 1940 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

30 Sec. 31 pealed.

Sec. 98. Section 1941 of the Code of Civil Procedure is re-

33 pealed. 34 Sec. 99.

SEC. 99. Section 1942 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

35 pealed. 36 Sec. 100.

Sec. 100. Section 1943 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

37 repealed. 38 Sec. 101.

Sec. 101. Section 1944 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

39 repealed.40 Sec. 10

Sec. 102. Section 1945 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

41 repealed. 42 Sec. 103.

Sec. 103. Section 1946 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

43 repealed.44 Sec. 10

Sec. 104. Section 1947 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

45 repealed. 46 Sec. 1

Sec. 105. Section 1948 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

47 repealed 48 Sec. 1

Sec. 106. Section 1951 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

49 repealed.50 Sec. 10

51

SEC. 107. Article 5 (commencing with Section 1953e) of Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure

52 is repealed.

MJN 3530

10

14

15

17

24

25

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47 48

49

50

51

52

Article 6 (commencing with Section 1953i) of 1 Chapter 3 of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 2 3 is repealed.

4 Sec. 109. Chapter 4 (consisting of Section 1954) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 1957) of 6 Sec. 110. Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. 7 Section 1967 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 8 Sec. 111. 9 repealed.

Sec. 112. Section 1968 of the Code of Civil Procedure is

11 repealed.

Sec. 113. Section 1973 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 12 13 repealed.

Sec. 114. Section 1974 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

16 No person is liable upon a representation as to the credit of a third person, unless such representation, or some 18 memorandum thereof, be in writing, and either subscribed 19 by or in the handwriting of the party to be held liable. 20

Sec. 115. Chapter 7 (consisting of Section 1978) of Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

21 Sec. 116. Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 1980.1) of 22 Title 2 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. 23

Sec. 117. Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 1981) of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Sec. 118. Section 1998 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 26 27 repealed.

SEC. 119. 28 Section 1998.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 29 repealed.

Sec. 120. Section 1998.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 30 repealed. 31

32 Sec. 121. Section 1998.3 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. 33

Sec. 122. Section 1998.4 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 34 35 repealed.

Sec. 123. Section 1998.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 36 repealed. 37

38 Sec. 124. Section 2009 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 39amended to read:

An affidavit may be used to verify a pleading or a paper in a special proceeding, to prove the service of a summons, notice, or other paper in an action or special proceeding, to obtain a provisional remedy, the examination of a witness, or a stay of proceedings, and in uncontested proceedings to establish a record of birth, or upon a motion, and in any other case expressly permitted by statute.

Sec. 125. Section 2016 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:

(a) Any party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition upon oral examination or written interrogatories for the purpose of discovery or for use as evidence in the action or for both purposes. Such depositions may be taken in an action at any time after the service of the summons or in a special proceeding after the service of the petition or after the appearance of the defendant or respondent. After commencement of the action or proceedings, the deposition may be taken without leave of court, except that leave of court, granted with or without notice, and for good cause shown, must be obtained if the notice of the taking of the deposition is served by the plaintiff within 20 days after service of the summons or petition on, or appearance of, the defendant or respondent. The attendance of witnesses or the production of books, documents, or other things at depositions may be compelled by the use of subpoena as provided in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985), Title 3, Part 4 of this code.

(b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court as provided by subdivision (b) or (d) of Section 2019 of this code, the deponent may be examined regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the examining party, or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of relevant facts. It is not ground for objection that the testimony will be inadmissible at the trial if the testimony sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. All matters which are privileged against disclosure upon the trial under the law of this state are privileged against disclosure through any discovery procedure. This article shall not be construed to change the law of this state with respect to the existence of any privilege, whether provided for by statute or by judicial decision.

The work product of an attorney shall not be discoverable unless the court determines that denial of discovery will unfairly prejudice the party seeking discovery in preparing his claim or defense or will result in an injustice, and any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories shall not be discoverable under any circumstances.

- (c) Examination and cross-examination of deponents may proceed as permitted at the trial.
- (d) At the trial or upon the hearing of a motion or an interlocutory proceeding, any part or all of a deposition, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence, may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or who had due notice thereof, in accordance with any one of the following provisions:
- (1) Any deposition may be used by any party for the purpose of contradicting or impeaching the testimony of deponent as a witness.

6-sb 110

2

3

4

5

 $\frac{6}{7}$

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $\frac{31}{32}$

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

 $\frac{12}{13}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 16 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$

(2) The deposition of a party to the record of any civil action or proceeding or of a person for whose immediate benefit said action or proceeding is prosecuted or defended, or of anyone who at the time of taking the deposition was an officer, director, superintendent, member, agent, employee, or managing agent of any such party or person may be used by an adverse party for any purpose.

(3) The deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, may be used by any party for any purpose if the court finds:
(i) that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code or (ii) upon application and notice, that such exceptional circumstances exist as to make it desirable, in the interest of justice and with due regard to the importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses orally in open court, to allow the deposition to be used.

(4) Subject to the requirements of this section, a party may offer in evidence all or any part of a deposition, and if such party introduces only part of such deposition, any party may

introduce any other parts.

Substitution of parties does not affect the right to use depositions previously taken; and, when an action in any court of the United States or of any state has been dismissed and another action involving the same subject matter is afterward brought between the same parties or their representatives or successors in interest, all depositions lawfully taken and duly filed in the former action may be used in the latter as if originally taken therefor.

(e) Subject to the provisions of subdivision (e) of Section 2021 of this code, objection may be made at the trial or hearing to receiving in evidence any deposition or part thereof for any reason which would require the exclusion of the evidence

if the witness were then present and testifying.

(f) A party shall not be deemed to make a person his own witness for any purpose by taking his deposition. Except where the deposition is used under the provisions of paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of this section, the introduction in evidence of the deposition or any part thereof for any purpose other than that of contradicting or impeaching the deponent, or for explaining or clarifying portions of the said deposition offered by an adverse party, makes the deponent the witness of the party introducing the deposition, as to the portions of the deposition introduced by said party. At the trial or hearing any party may rebut any relevant evidence contained in a deposition whether introduced by him or by another party.

(g) It is the policy of this state (i) to preserve the rights of attorneys to prepare cases for trial with that degree of privacy necessary to encourage them to prepare their cases thoroughly and to investigate not only the favorable but the unfavorable aspects of such cases and (ii) to prevent an attorney from taking undue advantage of his adversary's in-

dustry or efforts.

Article 6 (commencing with Section 2042) of 1 Chapter 3 of Title 3 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure 2 3 is repealed. 4

Title 4 (consisting of Section 2061) of Part IV Sec. 127. of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Section 2065 of the Code of Civil Procedure is 6 Sec. 128. 7 repealed.

Sec. 129. Section 2066 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

Section 2078 of the Code of Civil Procedure is Sec. 130. repealed.

Sec. 131. Section 2079 of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed.

13 14

5

8

9

10

11 12

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51 52

Sec. 132. Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 2101) of Title 6 of Part IV of the Code of Civil Procedure is repealed. Sec. 133. Section 6602 of the Corporations Code is amended to read:

If any action or proceeding, the court takes judicial notice, in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of the matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code, of the official acts affecting corporations of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the state or place under the laws of which the corporation purports to be incorporated.

Sec. 134. Section 25310 of the Corporations Code is amended to read:

The commissioner shall adopt a seal bearing the 25310. inscription: "Commissioner of Corporations, State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs, orders, permits, and certificates issued by him, and to such other instruments as he directs.

Sec. 135. Section 11513 of the Government Code is amended to read:

11513. (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.

- (b) Each party shall have these rights: to call and examine witnesses; to introduce exhibits; to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination; to impeach any witness regardless of which party first called him to testify; and to rebut the evidence against him. If respondent does not testify in his own behalf he may be called and examined as if under cross-examination.
- (c) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule which might make improper the admission of such evidence over objection in civil actions. Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection

MJN 3534

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

23

24

25

 $\frac{26}{27}$

28

29

 $\frac{30}{31}$

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49 **5**0 in civil actions. The rules of privilege shall be effective to the extent that they are otherwise required by statute to be recognized at the hearing, and irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded.

Sec. 136. Section 19580 of the Government Code is amended to read:

19580. Either by deposition or at the hearing the employee may be examined and may examine or cause any person to be examined under Section 776 of the Evidence Code.

Sec. 137. Section 3197 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

3197. In any prosecution for a violation of any provision of this article, or any rule or regulation of the board made pursuant to this article, or in any quarantine proceeding authorized by this article, or in any habeas corpus or other proceeding in which the legality of such quarantine is questioned, any physician, health officer, spouse, or other person shall be competent and may be required to testify against any person against whom such prosecution or other proceeding was instituted, and the privileges provided by Sections 970, 971, 980, 994, and 1014 of the Evidence Code are not applicable to or in any such prosecution or proceeding.

Sec. 138. Section 270e of the Penal Code is amended to read:

No other evidence shall be required to prove marriage of husband and wife, or that a person is the lawful father or mother of a child or children, than is or shall be required to prove such facts in a civil action. In all prosecutions under either Section 270a or 270 of this code, Sections 970, 971, and 980 of the Evidence Code do not apply, and both husband and wife shall be competent to testify to any and all relevant matters, including the fact of marriage and the parentage of a child or children. Proof of the abandonment and nonsupport of a wife, or of the omission to furnish necessary food, clothing, shelter, or of medical attendance for a child or children is prima facie evidence that such abandonment and nonsupport or omission to furnish necessary food, clothing, shelter or medical attendance is wilful. In any prosecution under Section 270, it shall be competent for the people to prove nonaccess of husband to wife or any other fact establishing nonpaternity of a husband. In any prosecution pursuant to Section 270, the final establishment of paternity or nonpaternity in another proceeding shall be admissible as evidence of paternity or nonpaternity.

Sec. 139. Section 686 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

686. In a criminal action the defendant is entitled:

1. To a speedy and public trial.

2. To be allowed counsel as in civil actions, or to appear and defend in person and with counsel.

- 3. To produce witnesses on his behalf and to be confronted with the witnesses against him, in the presence of the court, except that:
- (a) Hearsay evidence may be admitted to the extent that it is otherwise admissible in a criminal action under the law of this state.
- (b) The deposition of a witness taken in the action may be read to the extent that it is otherwise admissible under the law of this state.
- Sec. 140. Section 688 of the Penal Code is amended to read:
- 688. No person charged with a public offense may be subjected, before conviction, to any more restraint than is necessary for his detention to answer the charge.

SEC. 141. Section 939.6 of the Penal Code is amended to

16 read: 17 939

 $\frac{1}{2}$

5

- 939.6. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), in the investigation of a charge, the grand jury shall receive no other evidence than such as is:
- (1) Given by witnesses produced and sworn before the grand jury;
- (2) Furnished by writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses; or
- (3) Contained in a deposition that is admissible under subdivision 3 of Section 686.
- (b) The grand jury shall receive none but evidence that would be admissible over objection at the trial of a criminal action, but the fact that evidence which would have been excluded at trial was received by the grand jury does not render the indictment void where sufficient competent evidence to support the indictment was received by the grand jury.

SEC. 142. Section 961 of the l'enal Code is amended to read:

961. Neither presumptions of law, nor matters of which judicial notice is authorized or required to be taken, need be stated in an accusatory pleading.

SEC. 143. Section 963 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

963. In pleading a private statute, or an ordinance of a county or a municipal corporation, or a right derived therefrom, it is sufficient to refer to the statute or ordinance by its title and the day of its passage, and the court must thereupon take judicial notice thereof in the same manner that it takes judicial notice of matters listed in Section 452 of the Evidence Code.

SEC. 144. Section 1120 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1120. If a juror has any personal knowledge respecting a fact in controversy in a cause, he must declare the same in open court during the trial. If, during the retirement of the jury, a juror declare a fact which could be evidence in the

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1 cause, as of his own knowledge, the jury must return into 2 court. In either of these cases, the juror making the statement 3 must be sworn as a witness and examined in the presence of 4 the parties in order that the court may determine whether good cause exists for his discharge as a juror. 5 6

Sec. 145. Section 1322 of the Penal Code is repealed. 7 Sec. 146. Section 1323 of the Penal Code is repealed.

Sec. 147. Section 1323.5 of the Penal Code is repealed.

8 9 Section 1345 of the Penal Code is amended to Sec. 148. 10 read:

1345. The deposition, or a certified copy thereof, may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question or answer contained in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

Section 1362 of the Penal Code is amended to Sec. 149.

18 read:

> The depositions taken under the commission may be read in evidence by either party on the trial if the court finds that the witness is unavailable as a witness within the meaning of Section 240 of the Evidence Code. The same objections may be taken to a question in the interrogatories or to an answer in the deposition as if the witness had been examined orally in court.

> SEC. 150. Section 306 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

The office of the commission shall be in the City and County of San Francisco. The office shall always be open, legal holidays and nonjudicial days excepted. The commission shall hold its sessions at least once in each calendar month in the City and County of San Francisco. The commission may also meet at such other times and in such other places as may be expedient and necessary for the proper performance of its duties, and for that purpose may rent quarters or offices. Except for the commission's deliberative conferences, the sessions and meetings of the commission shall be open and public and all persons shall be permitted to attend.

The commission shall have a seal, bearing the inscription "Public Utilities Commission State of California." The seal shall be affixed to all writs and authentications of copies of records and to such other instruments as the commission shall

direct.

The commission may procure all necessary books, maps, charts, stationery, instruments, office furniture, apparatus, and appliances.

SEC. 151. Sections 2 to 150 of this act shall become opera-

tive on January 1, 1967. 48

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: BERROTERAN v. S.C. (FORD MOTOR COMPANY)

Case Number: **S259522** Lower Court Case Number: **B296639**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.

2. My email address used to e-serve: fcohen@horvitzlevy.com

3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
BRIEF	S259522_OBOM_FordMotorCompany
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	S259522_MJN_FordMotorCompany
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_01 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_02 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_03 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_04 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_05 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_06 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_07 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_08 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_09 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_10 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_11 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_12 of 14- Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_13 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	S259522_14 of 14 - Exhs. to MJN

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Frederic Cohen	fcohen@horvitzlevy.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Horvitz & Levy LLP	-	Serve	PM
56755			
Millie Cowley	mcowley@horvitzlevy.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Horvitz & Levy LLP		Serve	PM
Steve Mikhov	stevem@knightlaw.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Knight Law Group		Serve	PM
224676			
Cynthia Tobisman	ctobisman@gmsr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Greines, Martin, Stein & Richland LLP		Serve	PM
197983			
Connie Gutierrez	connie.gutierrez@dbr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP		Serve	PM

J.Alan Warfield	jalanwarfield@polsinelli.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Polsinelli LLP		Serve	PM
186559			
Matthew Proudfoot	mproudfoot@gogglaw.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Gates, O'Doherty, Gonter & Guy, LLP		Serve	PM
Cara Sherman	csherman@ongaropc.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
ONGARO PC		Serve	PM
269343			
Monique Aguirre	maguirre@gmsr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Greines Martin Stein & Richland LLP		Serve	PM
Julian Senior	admin@sjllegal.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
SJL Law. P.C		Serve	PM
219098			
Jo-Anne Novik	jnovik@horvitzlevy.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Horvitz & Levy LLP		Serve	PM
Alan Lazarus	alan.lazarus@dbr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP		Serve	PM
129767			
Frederick Bennett	fbennett@lacourt.org	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Superior Court of Los Angeles County		Serve	PM
47455			
Justin Sanders	breyes@sandersroberts.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Sanders Roberts LLP		Serve	PM
211488			
Lisa Perrochet	lperrochet@horvitzlevy.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Horvitz & Levy		Serve	PM
132858			
Justin Sanders	jsanders@sandersroberts.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Sanders Roberts LLP		Serve	PM
Edward Xanders	exanders@gmsr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Greines, Martin, Stein & Richland LLP		Serve	PM
145779			
Chris Hsu	chsu@gmsr.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Greines Martin Stein & Richland LLP		Serve	PM
Fred Hiestand	fred@fjh-law.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Attorney at Law		Serve	PM
44241			
John M. Thomas	jthomas@dykema.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Dykema Gossett		Serve	PM
266842			
Lauren Ungs	laurenu@knightlaw.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
		Serve	PM
Bryan Altman	bryan@altmanlawgroup.net	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
		Serve	PM
Christopher Urner	c.urner@altmanlawgroup.net	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
	g	Serve	
Darth Vaughn	dvaughn@sandersroberts.com		5/13/2020 3:42:05
		Serve	I I
Sabrina Narain	snarain@sandersroberts.com	e-	5/13/2020 3:42:05
Cuotinu i tutuni		Serve	
		1561 46	1 171

299471			
FREDERICK BENNETT III	r8-7	e- Serve	5/13/2020 3:42:05 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

5/13/2020			
Date			
/s/Frederic Cohen			
Signature			
Cohen, Frederic (56755)			
Last Name, First Name (PNum)			

Horvitz & Levy LLP

Law Firm