

# SUPREME COURT COPY

**In the Supreme Court of the State of California**

**THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA,**

**Plaintiff and Respondent,**

**v.**

**BILLY RAY WALDON a.k.a. N. I.  
SEQUOYAH,**

**Defendant and Appellant.**

**CAPITAL CASE**

Case No. S025520

**SUPREME COURT  
FILED**

**MAY 30 2014**

**Frank A. McGuire Clerk**

**Deputy**

San Diego County Superior Court  
Case No. CR82986  
The Honorable David M. Gill, Judge

## **RESPONDENT'S BRIEF**

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
DANE R. GILLETTE  
Chief Assistant Attorney General  
JULIE L. GARLAND  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
ARLENE A. SEVIDAL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
COLLETTE C. CAVALIER  
Deputy Attorney General  
State Bar No. 193833  
110 West A Street, Suite 1100  
San Diego, CA 92101  
P.O. Box 85266  
San Diego, CA 92186-5266  
Telephone: (619) 645-2654  
Fax: (619) 645-2581  
Email: Collette.Cavalier@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Respondent*

# DEATH PENALTY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Statement of the Case.....	1
Statement of Facts.....	3
I.    Guilt phase .....	3
A.    Prosecution’s case in chief.....	3
1.    Dawn and Erin Ellerman murders and related crimes (December 7, 1985) .....	3
2.    Carol Franklin robbery (December 14, 1985).....	11
3.    Nancy Ross robbery (December 15, 1985).....	11
4.    Erin Lab burglary, sexual assault & robbery (December 17, 1985).....	12
5.    Diane Thomas robbery (December 19, 1985).....	14
6.    Julia Meredith robbery / police pursuit (December 20, 1985) .....	15
7.    Wells murder, Copeland attempted murder (December 20, 1985) .....	17
8.    Ronald Carr robbery (December 20, 1985).....	20
9.    Evidence found in Waldon’s car .....	21
10.   Ballistics evidence .....	24
11.   Events leading to Waldon’s arrest (June 16, 1986).....	25
12.   Waldon’s background and his activities from September 1985 to June 1986.....	29
13.   Escape attempt.....	32
B.    Defense .....	32
1.    Waldon’s proffered defense .....	32

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
2. Other defense evidence.....	45
3. Character witnesses .....	46
C. Rebuttal.....	47
II. Penalty phase .....	48
A. Prosecution case-in-aggravation.....	48
1. Cynthia Bellinger robbery, shooting (November 15, 1985).....	48
2. Anna Richman murder (November 17, 1985).....	49
3. Tvedt, Hensley robbery, shooting (November 22, 1985).....	50
4. Ballistics evidence .....	52
B. Defense case-in-mitigation .....	53
Argument .....	56
I. The competency proceedings .....	56
A. Events leading up to the competency trial.....	56
B. Evidence presented at the competency trial .....	58
C. Motion for new trial/writ proceedings challenging the competency findings .....	70
II. The jury was properly instructed on the definition of competency .....	71
A. Waldon has forfeited his claim of instructional error.....	72
B. Instructing the jury using the definition of competency set forth in Penal Code 1367 comports with due process.....	73
1. Requirement that incompetency result from mental disorder or developmental disability .....	75
2. Omission of elements from <i>Dusky</i> .....	77

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
C. The omission of the phrase “in a rational manner” from standard instruction did not violate due process.....	79
D. Error, if any, in the jury instruction, was harmless .....	83
E. If the court erred, and the error was not harmless, the case should be remanded for a retrospective competency hearing .....	87
III. The delay in hearing Waldon’s <i>Marsden</i> motion was not structural error requiring reversal .....	88
A. Proceedings.....	89
B. The delay in hearing Waldon’s <i>Marsden</i> motion was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt .....	96
C. There was no constructive denial of counsel.....	100
D. Remedy .....	103
IV. The testimony of a deputy district attorney at the competency hearing does not equate to erroneous jury instruction .....	104
A. Proceedings.....	104
B. There was no instructional error .....	106
C. The claim is forfeited.....	107
D. The testimony was admissible .....	108
E. The alleged errors do not constitute the constructive denial of counsel .....	109
V. The trial court’s remarks regarding Waldon’s testimony were not prejudicial.....	110
A. Proceedings.....	110
B. Waldon forfeited this claim by failing to object at trial .....	112
C. The trial court’s remarks did not violate Waldon’s due process rights.....	112

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
VI. Instructing the jury with BAJI No. 2.02 was not error and not prejudicial .....	116
A. Proceedings.....	116
B. The trial court did not err in instructing the jury using BAJI No. 2.02 .....	117
VII. The trial court was not required to instruct the competency jury as to the consequences of a finding of incompetence .....	120
A. Proceedings.....	120
B. The instruction was not required .....	123
VIII. The trial court properly instructed the jury with CALJIC No. 2.21 .....	125
A. Proceedings.....	125
B. The trial court properly instructed the jury with CALJIC No. 2.21 .....	125
IX. Other competency trial errors .....	127
A. The trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying defense counsel’s request for a continuance .....	128
B. The trial court did not abuse its discretion in allowing the competency jury to learn of the charges, special circumstances, and potential penalty Waldon was facing.....	133
C. The reference to Waldon’s escape attempt was not prosecutorial misconduct and not prejudicial...	136
D. The trial court did not abuse its discretion in limiting the defense cross-examination of DDA Ebert.....	141
E. The trial court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to allow defense counsel to read from legal opinions in closing argument.....	145

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
F. The trial court did not err in limiting the cross-examination of other expert witnesses.....	147
G. The prosecutor did not commit misconduct in telling the jury that trial had been set for June 1 ....	148
X. The trial court properly considered the renewed <i>Faretta</i> motion .....	151
A. Proceedings.....	151
B. Judge Boyle did not impermissibly overrule the earlier denial of Waldon’s motion to represent himself because the withdrawal of counsel constituted a change in circumstance .....	157
C. There was no deprivation of Waldon’s right to counsel, as he was represented by counsel during the <i>Faretta</i> proceedings.....	163
D. There was no error in appointing attorneys to assist Waldon .....	168
1. Proceedings.....	168
2. There was no abuse of discretion .....	173
XI. The trial court properly granted Waldon’s request for self-representation.....	175
A. Waldon’s waiver of counsel was unequivocal .....	176
B. Waldon knowingly and intelligently waived his right to counsel .....	179
XII. The trial court did not err in its consideration of the <i>Faretta</i> motion or in finding Waldon competent to waive counsel.....	189
A. The trial court did not err in agreeing to review only materials submitted by Waldon .....	189
B. The trial court did not err in granting the motion for self-representation without further psychiatric evaluation .....	192

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
C. The trial court did not err in finding Waldon competent to waive counsel.....	193
XIII. Waldon may not directly challenge the Court of Appeal’s February 1990 dismissal of his writ petition; his remedy is limited to raising the underlying issues on direct appeal .....	198
XIV. Waldon was not entitled to separate counsel to represent him in pretrial writ proceedings in the court of appeal.....	200
XV. There was no basis for Judge Edwards’s order appointing mental health experts to examine Waldon as to his competence to represent himself .....	202
XVI. California’s death qualification process is constitutional.....	204
XVII. The court was not required to conduct a second competency hearing .....	207
XVIII. The trial court’s interactions with advisory counsel did not interfere with Waldon’s <i>Faretta</i> rights ....	214
A. The trial court did not breach any duty to monitor and clarify the role of advisory counsel....	214
1. Background.....	215
2. The trial court did not interfere with Waldon’s <i>Faretta</i> rights.....	224
B. The trial court did not permit Chambers to usurp Waldon’s role in planning and preparing his defense .....	228
1. Background.....	229
2. Chambers did not interfere with Waldon’s ability to present his defense .....	240
C. The trial court did not err in failing to replace advisory counsel when Rosenfeld withdrew .....	242
1. Background.....	242

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2. There was no abuse of discretion .....	243
D. There was no interference with Waldon’s <i>Faretta</i> rights .....	245
XIX. The trial court properly excluded the COINTELPRO evidence .....	246
A. The proffered evidence and the trial court’s ruling.....	246
B. The trial court properly exercised its discretion in excluding the proffered testimony.....	252
XX. The actions of courtroom security personnel did not violate Waldon’s rights to due process or a fair trial.....	255
A. Background.....	255
B. The alleged conduct by the courtroom bailiff did not impermissibly influence the jurors .....	260
XXI. Pretrial changes of judges did not violate Waldon’s rights to due process and a fair trial.....	264
XXII. <i>Edwards</i> and <i>Taylor</i> cannot be read to require representation by counsel at all capital trials.....	266
XXIII. California’s death penalty statute does not violate the federal Constitution or international law .....	269
A. Penal Code section 190.2 is not impermissibly broad .....	270
B. The application of section 190.3, factor (a), did not violate Waldon’s constitutional rights.....	270
C. There is no constitutionally required burden of proof at the penalty phase .....	271
1. The jury is not required to find beyond a reasonable doubt that aggravating circumstances exist or that aggravating circumstances outweigh mitigating circumstances or that death is the appropriate penalty .....	271

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
2. No burden of proof is required and the jury is not required to be instructed that there is no burden of proof .....	272
3. There is no requirement the jury make unanimous findings as to the aggravating factors or that Waldon engaged in prior unadjudicated criminal activity .....	273
4. CALJIC No. 8.88 is not impermissibly vague and ambiguous for using the words “so substantial” .....	274
5. CALJIC No. 8.88 is not unconstitutional for failing to inform the jury that the central determination is whether death is the appropriate punishment .....	274
6. The instructions were not constitutionally deficient because they failed to inform the jurors that if mitigation outweighed aggravation, they must return a sentence of life without the possibility of parole .....	275
7. The instructions were not constitutionally deficient in failing to inform the jury as to the standard of proof as to mitigating circumstances .....	275
8. There is no requirement to instruct the jury that there is a presumption of life.....	275
D. Written findings are not constitutionally required .....	276
E. The instructions on mitigating and aggravating circumstances did not violate Waldon’s constitutional rights .....	276
1. The use of the words “extreme” and “substantial” in CALJIC No. 8.85 was permissible.....	276

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
2. There is no constitutional requirement to delete inapplicable sentencing factors .....	277
3. The trial court is not required to inform the jurors that some factors are relevant only in mitigation.....	277
F. Intercase proportionality review is not constitutionally required .....	277
G. California’s capital sentencing scheme does not violate equal protection.....	278
H. California’s death penalty law does not violate international law .....	278
XXIV. There was no cumulative error .....	279
Conclusion .....	280

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Amtower v. Photon Dynamics, Inc.</i> (2008) 158 Cal.App.4th 1582 .....	108
<i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i> (2000) 530 U.S.466, 120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435.....	271
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i> (1991) 499 U.S. 279, 111 S.Ct. 1246, 113 L.Ed.2d 302.....	83, 84, 85
<i>Baqleh v. Superior Court</i> (2002) 100 Cal.App.4th 478.....	117, 119
<i>Barbee v. Appellate Department of Superior Court in and for Los Angeles County</i> (1930) 209 Cal. 435.....	199
<i>Baumgardner v. Yusuf</i> (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 1381 .....	118
<i>Blakely v. Washington</i> (2004) 542 U.S. 296, 124 S.Ct. 2531, 159 L.Ed.2d 403.....	271
<i>Bloom v. Calderon</i> (9th Cir. 1997) 132 F.3d 1267 .....	269
<i>Boyde v. California</i> (1990) 494 U.S. 370, 110 S.Ct. 1190, 108 L.Ed.2d 316.....	81, 82
<i>Bracy v. Gramley</i> (1997) 520 U.S. 899, 138 L.Ed.2d 97, 117 S.Ct. 1793.....	112
<i>Bradley v. Duncan</i> (9th Cir. 2002) 315 F.3d 1091 .....	161
<i>Brookner v. Superior Court</i> (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1390.....	174

<i>Brown v. Payton</i> (2005) 544 U.S. 133, 125 S.Ct. 1432, 161 L.Ed.2d 334.....	81, 82
<i>Buchanan v. Kentucky</i> (1987) 483 U.S. 402, 107 S.Ct. 2906, 97 L.Ed.2d 336.....	205
<i>California v. Trombetta</i> (1984) 467 U.S. 479, 104 S.Ct. 2528, 81 L.Ed.2d 413.....	142
<i>Chambers v. Mississippi</i> (1973) 410 U.S. 284, 93 S.Ct. 1038, 35 L.Ed.2d 297.....	142
<i>Chapman v. California</i> (1967) 386 U.S. 18, 87 S.Ct. 824, 17 L.Ed.2d 705 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Corenevsky v. Superior Court</i> (1984) 36 Cal.3d 307 .....	241
<i>Cunningham v. California</i> (2007) 549 U.S. 270, 127 S.Ct. 856, 166 L.Ed.2d 856.....	271
<i>Delaware v. Van Arsdall</i> (1986) 475 U.S. 673, 106 S.Ct. 1431, 89 L.Ed.2d 674.....	143, 144
<i>Douglas v. California</i> (1963) 372 U.S. 353, 83 S.Ct. 814, 9 L.Ed.2d 811 .....	200
<i>Drope v. Missouri</i> (1975) 420 U.S. 162, 95 S.Ct. 896, 43 L.Ed.2d 103 .....	76
<i>Duckett v. Godinez</i> (9th Cir. 1995) 67 F.3d 734.....	113
<i>Dusky v. United States</i> (1960) 362 U.S. 402, 80 S.Ct. 788, 4 L.Ed.2d 824 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Faretta v. California</i> (1975) 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 45 L.Ed.2d 562.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Florida v. Nixon</i> (2004) 543 U.S. 175, 125 S.Ct. 551, 160 L.Ed.2d 565.....	101
<i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i> (1963) 372 U.S. 335, 83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L.Ed.2d 799 .....	83, 164, 200

<i>Godinez v. Moran</i> (1993) 509 U.S. 389, 113 S.Ct. 2680, 125 L.Ed.2d 321.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Harmon v. Marshall</i> (9th Cir. 1995) 69 F.3d 963.....	84
<i>Henderson v. Kibbe</i> (1977) 431 U.S. 145, 97 S.Ct. 1730, 52 L.Ed.2d 203 .....	73
<i>Herring v. New York</i> (1975) 422 U.S. 853, 95 S.Ct. 2550, 45 L.Ed.2d 593 .....	145
<i>Hicks v. Oklahoma</i> (1980) 447 U.S. 343, 100 S.Ct. 2227, 65 L.Ed.2d 175.....	272
<i>Holmes v. South Carolina</i> (2006) 547 U.S. 319, 126 S.Ct. 1727, 164 L.Ed.2d 503.....	253
<i>Hovey v. Superior Court</i> (1980) 28 Cal.3d 1.....	205, 206
<i>In re Alberto</i> (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 421.....	158, 159
<i>In re Barnett</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466 .....	201
<i>In re Elmer Pratt</i> (1980) 112 Cal.App.3d 795.....	246, 247, 254
<i>In re Morgan</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 932 .....	201
<i>Indiana v. Edwards</i> (2008) 554 U.S. 164, 171 L.Ed.2d 345.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Johnson v. United States</i> (1997) 520 U.S. 461, 117 S.Ct. 1544, 137 L.Ed.2d 718 ..	<i>passim</i>
<i>Kahn v. East Side Union High School Dist.</i> (2003) 31 Cal. 4th 990 .....	108
<i>Liteky v. United States</i> (1994) 510 U.S. 540, 127 L.Ed.2d 474, 114 S.Ct. 1147.....	112

<i>Lockhart v. McCree</i> (1986) 476 U.S. 162, 106 S.Ct. 1758, 90 L.Ed. 2d 137.....	205, 206
<i>Martinez v. Court of Appeal of California, Fourth Appellate District</i> (2000) 528 U.S. 152, 120 S.Ct. 684, 145 L.Ed.2d 597.....	201
<i>McKaskle v. Wiggins</i> (1984) 465 U.S. 168, 104 S.Ct. 944, 79 L.Ed.2d 122.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Mesecher v. County of San Diego</i> (1992) 9 Cal.App.4th 1677.....	160
<i>Middleton v. McNeil</i> (2004) 541 U.S. 433, 124 S.Ct. 1830, 158 L.Ed.2d 701.....	81
<i>Morris v. Slappy</i> (1983) 461 U.S. 1, 103 S.Ct. 1610, 75 L.Ed.2d 610.....	101
<i>Neder v. United States</i> (1999) 527 U.S. 1, 119 S.Ct. 1827, 144 L.Ed.2d 35.....	86
<i>Neumann v. Bishop</i> (1976) 59 Cal.App.3d 451.....	118
<i>Parker v. Gladden</i> (1966) 385 U.S. 363, 87 S.Ct. 468, 17 L.Ed.2d 420.....	262, 263
<i>Pate v. Robinson</i> (1966) 383 U.S. 375, 86 S.Ct. 836, 15 L.Ed.2d 815.....	74, 128, 191
<i>People v. Abbaszadeh</i> (2003) 106 Cal.App.4th 642.....	112
<i>People v. Abilez</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 472.....	101, 205
<i>People v. Alexander</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 846.....	164
<i>People v. Alfaro</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 1277.....	116, 278
<i>People v. Allison</i> (1989) 48 Cal.3d 879.....	126

<i>People v. Anderson</i> (1872) 44 C. 65 .....	146
<i>People v. Anderson</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 543 .....	261
<i>People v. Aranda</i> (2012) 55 Cal.4th 342 .....	84, 85
<i>People v. Arias</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 92 .....	270, 276
<i>People v. Ary</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 510 .....	87, 88
<i>People v. Ashmus</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 932 .....	205
<i>People v. Avila</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 491 .....	276
<i>People v. Baldwin</i> (1954) 42 Cal.2d 858 .....	146
<i>People v. Barnett</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 1044 .....	132, 173
<i>People v. Beames</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 907 .....	131
<i>People v. Beardslee</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 68.....	125
<i>People v. Bell</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 582 .....	115
<i>People v. Bennett</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th.....	272
<i>People v. Berryman</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 1048 .....	102
<i>People v. Bigelow</i> (1984) 37 Cal.3d 731 .....	242, 243, 244

<i>People v. Black</i> (2014) 58 Cal.4th 912 .....	179, 211, 212
<i>People v. Blair</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 686 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Bloom</i> (1989) 48 Cal.3d 1194 .....	163, 190, 269
<i>People v. Bolden</i> (1979) 99 Cal.App.3d 375 .....	106
<i>People v. Bramit</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1221 .....	274, 277
<i>People v. Breaux</i> (1991) 1 Cal.4th 281 .....	275
<i>People v. Brown</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 518 .....	139, 142
<i>People v. Brown</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 382 .....	271
<i>People v. Bunyard</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 836 .....	271
<i>People v. Burgener</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 231 .....	187, 188
<i>People v. Burney</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 203 .....	273, 277
<i>People v. Cain</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 1 .....	81
<i>People v. Caldwell</i> (2013) 212 Cal.App.4th 1262 .....	149
<i>People v. Campbell</i> (1976) 63 Cal.App.3d 599 .....	140, 141
<i>People v. Carpenter</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 312 .....	113, 272

<i>People v. Carrera</i> (1989) 49 Cal.3d 291 .....	205
<i>People v. Carrington</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 145 .....	271, 274
<i>People v. Castello</i> (1998) 65 Cal.App.4th 1242.....	157, 158
<i>People v. Castillo</i> (1997) 16 Cal.4th 1009 .....	81
<i>People v. Chadd</i> (1981) 28 Cal.3d 739 .....	191
<i>People v. Chatman</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 344 .....	139, 140
<i>People v. Chavez</i> (1980) 26 Cal.3d 334 .....	245
<i>People v. Chessman</i> (1951) 38 Cal.2d 166 .....	146
<i>People v. Chue Vang</i> (2009) 171 Cal.App.4th 1120 .....	126
<i>People v. Clark</i> (1990) 50 Cal.3d 583 .....	269
<i>People v. Clark</i> (1992) 3 Cal.4th 41.....	159, 173, 191, 244
<i>People v. Cline</i> (1998) 60 Cal.App.4th 1327.....	146
<i>People v. Coddington</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 529 .....	261
<i>People v. Coffman and Marlow</i> (2004) 34 Cal.4th 1.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Cole</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 1158 .....	127, 273

<i>People v. Cook</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 566 .....	276
<i>People v. Cook</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1334 .....	273, 275
<i>People v. Cornwell</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 50 .....	273, 276, 277
<i>People v. Cowan</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 410 .....	264, 265
<i>People v. Cox</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 618 .....	130, 207, 208
<i>People v. Crandell</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 833 .....	102, 244, 245
<i>People v. Crayton</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 346 .....	102
<i>People v. Crittenden</i> (1994) 9 Cal.4th 83 .....	162
<i>People v. Cromer</i> (2001) 24 Cal.4th 889 .....	142
<i>People v. Croy</i> (1985) 41 Cal.3d 1 .....	73
<i>People v. Cudjo</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 585 .....	143, 147
<i>People v. Cummings</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1233 .....	84
<i>People v. Cunningham</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 926 .....	144
<i>People v. D'Arcy</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 257 .....	131, 173, 243
<i>People v. Daniel</i> (1969) 71 Cal.2d 1119 .....	146

<i>People v. Danielson</i> (1992) 3 Cal.4th 691 .....	207
<i>People v. Danks</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 269 .....	177, 179
<i>People v. Davis</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 539 .....	206
<i>People v. Deere</i> (1991) 41 Cal.3d 353 .....	146, 147, 190
<i>People v. Dennis</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 468 .....	72, 275
<i>People v. Doolin</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 390 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Dunkle</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 861 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Durrant</i> (1897) 119 Cal. 201.....	132
<i>People v. Dykes</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 731 .....	273
<i>People v. Edelbacher</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 983 .....	254
<i>People v. Elliot</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 453 .....	273, 276, 277, 278
<i>People v. Espinoza</i> (1992) 3 Cal.4th 806 .....	266
<i>People v. Farley</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1053 .....	270
<i>People v. Farnam</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 197 .....	277
<i>People v. Faxel</i> (1979) 91 Cal.App.3d 327.....	241

<i>People v. Flood</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 470 .....	83
<i>People v. Foster</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 1301 .....	261
<i>People v. Friend</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 1.....	150
<i>People v. Frierson</i> (1985) 39 Cal.3d 803 .....	105, 108, 142
<i>People v. Frye</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 894 .....	143, 207
<i>People v. Fuiava</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 622 .....	132
<i>People v. Gallego</i> (1990) 52 Cal.3d 115 .....	192, 207
<i>People v. Gamache</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 347 .....	149, 150
<i>People v. Garcia</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 706 .....	131
<i>People v. Garcia</i> (2000) 78 Cal.App.4th 1422.....	224, 225
<i>People v. Gonzales</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 254 .....	241
<i>People v. Gonzalez</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 932 .....	130
<i>People v. Goodwillie</i> (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 695.....	158, 162, 163
<i>People v. Govea</i> (2009) 175 Cal.App.4th 57.....	97, 99
<i>People v. Gray</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 168 .....	139

<i>People v. Green</i> (1980) 27 Cal.3d 1 .....	264
<i>People v. Guerra</i> (2006) 37 Cal.4th 1067 .....	241, 266
<i>People v. Guiton</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1116 .....	127
<i>People v. Guiuan</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 558 .....	80
<i>People v. Gutierrez</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 1083 .....	254
<i>People v. Hale</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 531 .....	190
<i>People v. Hall</i> (1986) 41 Cal.3d 826 .....	143, 253
<i>People v. Halvorsen</i> (2007) 42 Cal.4th 379 .....	264
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 123 .....	279
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (1989) 48 Cal.3d 1142 .....	174
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 863 .....	147, 253, 254
<i>People v. Hart</i> (1999) 20 Cal.4th 546 .....	72
<i>People v. Hayes</i> (1990) 52 Cal.3d 577 .....	272
<i>People v. Hedgecock</i> (1990) 51 Cal.3d 395 .....	263
<i>People v. Hill</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 800 .....	102, 149

<i>People v. Holt</i> (1984) 37 Cal.3d 436 .....	279
<i>People v. Howard</i> (2010) 51 Cal.4th 15 .....	205, 206
<i>People v. Huggins</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 175 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Jablonski</i> (2006) 37 Cal.4th 774 .....	75, 136
<i>People v. Jackson</i> (1996) 3 Cal.4th 1164 .....	128
<i>People v. Jackson</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 662 .....	274
<i>People v. Jenkins</i> (1994) 29 Cal.App.4th 287 .....	81
<i>People v. Jenkins</i> (2000) 22 Cal.4th 900 .....	130, 131
<i>People v. Jennings</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 616 .....	205
<i>People v. Johnson</i> (1986) 190 Cal.App.3d 187 .....	126, 196
<i>People v. Johnson</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 1194 .....	205
<i>People v. Johnson</i> (1992) 3 Cal.4th 1183 .....	206
<i>People v. Johnson</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 519 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Jones</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1115 .....	204
<i>People v. Jones</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 279 .....	143

<i>People v. Jones</i> (2003) 29 Cal.4th 1229 .....	277
<i>People v. Jones</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 1.....	274
<i>People v. Joseph</i> (1983) 34 Cal.3d 936 .....	163
<i>People v. Kelly</i> (1992) 1 Cal.4th 495 .....	81, 123, 191
<i>People v. Kipp</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1100 .....	140, 141
<i>People v. Knox</i> (1979) 95 Cal.App.3d 420.....	114
<i>People v. Koontz</i> (2002) 27 Cal.4th 1041 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Kwolek</i> (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 1521.....	244
<i>People v. Lang</i> (1989) 49 Cal.3d 991 .....	72
<i>People v. Lawley,</i> (2002) 27 Cal.4th 102 .....	117, 173, 180, 207
<i>People v. Lenart</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 1107 .....	272
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 334 .....	114
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 415 .....	211
<i>People v. Lewis and Oliver</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 970 .....	74, 208
<i>People v. Lightsey</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 668 .....	<i>passim</i>

<i>People v. Linden</i> (1959) 52 Cal.2d 1 .....	146
<i>People v. Lloyd</i> (1992) 4 Cal.App.4th 724 .....	98
<i>People v. Lomax</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 530 .....	130
<i>People v. Loy</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 46 .....	275
<i>People v. Lucas</i> (1995) 12 Cal.4th 415 .....	150
<i>People v. Lynch</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 693 .....	176
<i>People v. Manchetti</i> (1946) 29 Cal.2d 452 .....	131
<i>People v. Manriquez</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 547 .....	206, 278
<i>People v. Marks</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 197 .....	124, 208
<i>People v. Marlow</i> (2004) 34 Cal.4th 131 .....	177, 178
<i>People v. Marsden</i> (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Marshall</i> (1990) 50 Cal.3d 907 .....	279
<i>People v. Marshall</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 799 .....	118, 145
<i>People v. Marshall</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 1 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Martinez</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 399 .....	273

<i>People v. Masterson</i> (1994) 8 Cal.4th 965 .....	191
<i>People v. Mattson</i> (1959) 51 Cal.2d 777 .....	174
<i>People v. McDermott</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 946 .....	139
<i>People v. McKinnon</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 610 .....	127, 176
<i>People v. Medina</i> (1995) 11 Cal.4th 694 .....	204, 207, 261
<i>People v. Michaels</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 486 .....	102, 205, 225
<i>People v. Mills</i> (1991) 1 Cal.App.4th 898 .....	81
<i>People v. Mills</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 158 .....	139, 206
<i>People v. Minor</i> (1980) 104 Cal.App.3d 194 .....	103
<i>People v. Montoya</i> (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 1139 .....	114
<i>People v. Moon</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 1 .....	275, 276, 277
<i>People v. Moore</i> (1985) 166 Cal.App.3d 540 .....	123, 124
<i>People v. Moore</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 1104 .....	173, 174, 175, 224
<i>People v. Mungia</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1101 .....	130, 131, 278
<i>People v. Murdoch</i> (2011) 194 Cal.App.4th 230 .....	213

<i>People v. Nesler</i> (1997) 16 Cal.4th 561 .....	261, 263, 264
<i>People v. Ochoa</i> (1998) 19 Cal.4th 353 .....	261
<i>People v. Olivencia</i> (1988) 204 Cal.App.3d 1391.....	103
<i>People v. Ortini</i> (1924) 70 Cal.App. 172 .....	132
<i>People v. Panah</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 395 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Parson</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 332 .....	139, 141
<i>People v. Pearson</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 393 .....	159
<i>People v. Perry</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 302 .....	277
<i>People v. Pinholster</i> (1992) 1 Cal.4th 865 .....	180
<i>People v. Price</i> (1991) 1 Cal.4th 324 .....	81
<i>People v. Prince</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1179 .....	253, 255
<i>People v. Purcell</i> (1937) 22 Cal.App.2d 126.....	142
<i>People v. Quartermain</i> (1997) 16 Cal.4th 600 .....	144
<i>People v. Raley</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 870 .....	128
<i>People v. Ramos</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 1133 .....	107, 269

<i>People v. Ray</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 313 .....	263
<i>People v. Redmond</i> (1981) 29 Cal.3d 904 .....	143
<i>People v. Reyes</i> (1987) 195 Cal.App.3d 957.....	126
<i>People v. Reynolds</i> (2010) 181 Cal.App.4th 1402 .....	160, 168, 190, 203
<i>People v. Riccardi</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 758 .....	273
<i>People v. Riggs</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 248 .....	180, 276
<i>People v. Riva</i> (2003) 112 Cal.App.4th 981.....	158, 159, 203
<i>People v. Roberto V.</i> (2001) 93 Cal.App.4th 1350.....	114
<i>People v. Robinson</i> (2007) 151 Cal.App.4th 606.....	88
<i>People v. Rodrigues</i> (1994) 8 Cal.4th 1060 .....	145
<i>People v. Roehler</i> (1985) 167 Cal.App.3d 353.....	73
<i>People v. Rogers</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1136 .....	274, 275
<i>People v. Roldan</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 646 .....	176
<i>People v. Romero</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 386 .....	271
<i>People v. Rundle</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 76 .....	241

<i>People v. Sakarias</i> (2000) 22 Cal.4th 596 .....	130
<i>People v. Samuel</i> (1981) 29 Cal.3d 489 .....	134, 146, 147
<i>People v. Samuels</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 96 .....	273
<i>People v. San Nicolas</i> (2004) 34 Cal.4th 614 .....	150
<i>People v. Sanchez</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 834 .....	116
<i>People v. Scheid</i> (1997) 16 Cal.4th 1 .....	147, 252
<i>People v. Schmeck</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 240 .....	270
<i>People v. Seijas</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 291 .....	264
<i>People v. Sharp</i> (1972) 7 Cal.3d 448 .....	194
<i>People v. Shuey</i> (1975) 13 Cal.3d 835 .....	162
<i>People v. Smith</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 684 .....	102
<i>People v. Smith</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 581 .....	102
<i>People v. Smith</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 334 .....	277
<i>People v. Smithey</i> (1999) 20 Cal.4th 936 .....	139
<i>People v. Snow</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 43 .....	114, 130, 132

<i>People v. Solorzano</i> (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1063 .....	97, 98, 99
<i>People v. Sons</i> (2008) 164 Cal.App.4th 90.....	159, 162
<i>People v. Stankewitz</i> (1990) 51 Cal.3d 72.....	97
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 764 .....	75, 78, 162
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (2006) 39 Cal. 4th 913 .....	177, 178
<i>People v. Stansbury</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1017 .....	226, 227, 228
<i>People v. Steele</i> (2002) 27 Cal.4th 1230 .....	206
<i>People v. Stevens</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 625 .....	260, 261
<i>People v. Sturm</i> (2006) 37 Cal.4th 1218 .....	112, 113, 115
<i>People v. Sudduth</i> (1967) 65 Cal.2d 543 .....	146
<i>People v. Sullivan</i> (2007) 151 Cal.App.4th 524.....	245
<i>People v. Taylor</i> (1977) 67 Cal.App.3d 403 .....	118
<i>People v. Taylor</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 850 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Taylor</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 574 .....	97, 99, 205
<i>People v. Tena</i> (2007) 156 Cal.App.4th 598.....	177

<i>People v. Teron</i> (1979) 23 Cal.3d 103 .....	191, 192, 193
<i>People v. Thomas</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 489 .....	124
<i>People v. Thomas</i> (2007) 150 Cal.App.4th 461.....	84
<i>People v. Thornton</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 391 .....	279
<i>People v. Tuilaepa</i> (1992) 4 Cal.4th 569 .....	261
<i>People v. Turner</i> (2004) 34 Cal.4th 406 .....	136, 262
<i>People v. Valdez</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 73 .....	80
<i>People v. Valdez</i> (2012) 55 Cal.4th 82 .....	206, 276
<i>People v. Van Winkle</i> (1999) 75 Cal.App.4th 133.....	81
<i>People v. Verdugo</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 263 .....	270
<i>People v. Virgil</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 1210 .....	270
<i>People v. Washington</i> (1994) 27 Cal.App.4th 940.....	97
<i>People v. Watson</i> (1956) 46 Cal.2d 818 .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>People v. Weaver</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 876 .....	203, 207
<i>People v. Welch</i> (1999) 20 Cal.4th 701 .....	191, 212, 270

<i>People v. Wilder</i> (1995) 35 Cal.App.4th 489.....	188
<i>People v. Willard</i> (1983) 155 Cal.App.3d 237.....	114
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 883 .....	264
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1997)16 Cal.4th 153 .....	128
<i>People v. Williams</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 584 .....	160, 168, 190, 203
<i>People v. Wilson</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 309 .....	270
<i>People v. Windham</i> (1977) 19 Cal.3d 121 .....	176
<i>People v. Yeoman</i> (203) 31 Cal.4th 93.....	205
<i>People v. Young</i> (2005) 34 Cal.4th 1149 .....	190
<i>People v. Zatko</i> (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 534.....	192
<i>Price v. Superior Court</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1046 .....	261
<i>Price v. Superior Court</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1046 .....	207
<i>Ring v. Arizona</i> (2002) 536 U.S. 584, 122 S.Ct. 2428, 153 L.Ed.2d 556.....	271
<i>Rushen v. Spain</i> (1983) 464 U.S. 114, 104 S.Ct. 453, 78 L.Ed.2d 267 .....	188
<i>Schneble v. Florida</i> (1972) 405 U.S. 427, 92 S.Ct. 1056, 31 L.Ed.2d 340 .....	279