

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
) **No. S277962**
) **(Sixth District Court of Appeal**
) **H049412)**
)
) **Plaintiff and Appellee,**)
)
) **-vs-**)
)
) **LUISRAMON MANZANO ARELLANIO**)
)
) **Defendant and Appellant.**)
)
)

APPELLANT’S NOTICE OF ERRATA IN

APPELLANT’S ANSWER

**Appeal from the Judgment of the Superior Court
of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara**

THE HONORABLE DANIEL NISHIGAYA

**Peter F. Goldscheider
State Bar Number 53617
600 Allerton Street Ste. 201
Redwood City, CA 94063
(650) 323-8296
Attorney for Appellant
PFGOLDS@aol.com**

DECLARATION OF PETER F. GOLDSCHIEDER

1. I am a attorney for appellant in this matter.

2. I am notifying the Court of errors and omissions in the references to the pagination of several sections in the table of contents in the Answer filed on September 1, 2023.

For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully am seeking leave of the court to substitute the attached corrected table of contents into that Answer..

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct as executed in Redwood City, California,

Dated: September 13, 2023

/s/
Peter F. Goldscheider

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL AND ELECTRONIC FILING

I am a resident of the County of Santa Clara and over the age of eighteen years. I am not a party to the within action. My business address is: 600 Allerton Street, Suite 201, Redwood City, California 94063. On the date referenced below, I served the within:

APPELLANT'S NOTICE OF ERRATA IN APPELLANT'S ANSWER

on the interested parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Postal Service mailbox at Palo Alto, California, addressed as follows:

On, September 13, 2023, I transmitted a PDF version of this document by electronic mail through True Filing to each of the following using the email addresses indicated:

The Office of the Attorney General
SFAG.Docketing@doj.ca.gov

Sixth District Appellate Program
sdapattorneys@sdap.org

Office of the District Attorney
dca@dao.sccgov.org

Luisramon Manzano Arellanio

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct as executed in Redwood City, California.

Dated: September 13, 2023

_____/s/_____

Peter F. Goldscheider

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	5
INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	10
LEGAL BACKGROUND	13
A The Statutory Framework	13
B. Cases Decided by the Court of Appeal	14
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	19
Appellant’s Conviction	19
B.Proceedings Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1172.6	20
THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEAL	20
STATEMENT OF THE EVIDENCE.....	21
ARGUMENT	23
NEITHER PRINCIPLES OF STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION NOR THE TEXT AND STATUTORY PURPOSE OF THE NEW LAW REQUIRE OR PERMIT RESENTENCING COURTS TO IMPOSE ENHANCEMENTS IN DESIGNATING THE TARGET OFFENSE FOLLOWING A VACATED MURDER CONVICTION.. . .	23
A. Applicable Principles of Statutory Construction	24
B. Neither the Text nor the Structure of Section 1172.6 Suggests	

that Resentencing Courts Should Depart from the Literal Language of the Statute26
C. Nothing in the Legislative History Provides any Basis for an Interpretation of the Statute to Give the Resentencing Court the Power to Add Enhancements that were Neither Charged nor Proven in the Original Trial Court Proceedings30
D. Section 1172.6 is a Special Proceeding and Because it Does Not Explicitly Provide for Adding Enhancements as Part of the Target Offense the Trial Court Had No Authority to Do So32
E. To Permit Resentencing Court the "Flexibility" Respondent Proposes Would Result in Constitutional Infirmities in the New Law or in the Alternative Would Require this Court to Judicially Legislate Procedures not Enacted by the Legislature35
1. To Permit a Trial Court to Designate an Enhancement as Part of the Target Offense Would Violate Principles of Due Process37
2. Right to a Jury Trial38
3. Allowing "Flexibility" in Designating an Enhancement as Part of the Target Offense Would Allow a Trial Court to Base its Ruling on Inadmissible or Unreliable Evidence40
4. In Order for the Trial Court To Find a Weapons Enhancement True Proof Beyond a Reasonable is Required.43
5. Rather than Remand this Matter to the Trial Court the Failure of Proof as well as Principles of Double Jeopardy Require that the Weapons Enhancement be Stricken from the Judgement45

	PAGE
CONCLUSION.....	46
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	47
DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL AND ELECTRONIC FILING....	48

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Supreme Court of California

Case Name: **PEOPLE v.
ARELLANO**

Case Number: **S277962**

Lower Court Case Number: **H049413**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
2. My email address used to e-serve: **pfgolds@aol.com**
3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
NOTICE OF ERRATA	NTCERRATAwtoc

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Lori Quick Sixth District Appellate Program 148692	Lori@sdap.org	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
Michelle Peterson Michelle May Peterson 111072	may111072@gmail.com	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
Natalie Rodriguez Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General-San Diego	Natalie.Rodriguez@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
Peter Goldscheider Attorney at Law 53617	pfgolds@aol.com	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
Office Office Of The Attorney General Court Added	sfagdocketing@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
James Flaherty Office of the Attorney General 202818	James.Flaherty@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM
Attorney Attorney General - San Diego Office Christine Y. Friedman, Deputy Attorney General 186560	Christine.Friedman@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	9/13/2023 6:45:27 AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9/13/2023

Date

/s/Peter Goldscheider

Signature

Goldscheider, Peter (53617)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Peter F. Goldscheider

Law Firm