IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. S281510

KATHERINE ROSENBERG-WOHL, Plaintiff, Appellant, and Petitioner

v.

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant and Respondent.

Superior Court of California San Francisco County No. CGC-20-587264 Hon. Ann-Christine Massullo

Court of Appeal of State of California First District – Division 2 No. A163848

REPLY ISO PETITION FOR REVIEW

David M. Rosenberg-Wohl (SBN 132924) Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, A Professional Corporation 3080 Washington St. San Francisco, CA 94115 (415) 317-7756 david@hrw-law.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Appellant, and Petitioner Katherine Rosenberg-Wohl

SOL

State Farm's argument is that if a party has a homeowner's policy, she cannot bring a claim challenging its business practices. That confuses standing to bring any claim with the nature of the claim she brings.

Prudential

Petitioner does not argue that *Prudential* is unsettled, or that courts apply it inconsistently. Petitioner argues that it has been interpreted consistently but *incorrectly*, and that this improper interpretation has been extended and compounded by lower state courts and federal courts alike.

Why Address the Issues in the Context of This Petition?

Given how many years have passed and how many decisions have issued, it will take a case with an unusual posture to provide this Court with the chance to clarify what it meant in *Prudential*'s footnote 5.

A Petition for Review is not the time to deal with the trial court's brief assertion that plaintiff's showing was factually insufficient — an assertion with which petitioner takes exception — which is why this Petition does not deal with that fillip of the appellate court's decision. The fact that the appellate court did not fairly characterize the factual record below can be

easily – and comprehensively – addressed in substantive briefing, if permitted.

Dated: September 18, 2023	Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, APC Respectfully submitted,		
	By/s/ David M. Rosenberg-Wohl		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Appellant, and Petitioner Katherine Rosenberg-Wohl		

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This brief is set using 13-pt Georgia. According to Word, the computer program used to prepare this brief, this brief contains 197 words, excluding the tables, the cover information, this certificate, any signature block and attachment, pace California Rules of Court, rule 8.204(c).

The undersigned certifies that this brief complies with the form requirements set by the California Rules of Court, rule 8.204(b) and contains fewer words than permitted by rule 8.204(c).

Dated: September 18, 2023

Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, APC
Respectfully submitted,

By ____/s/____
David M. Rosenberg-Wohl

Attorneys for
Petitioner Katherine Rosenberg-Wohl

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare:

At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action. My business address is 3080 Washington St., San Francisco, CA 94115. I served document(s) described as Petitioners' Petition for Review as follows:

On September 18, 2023, I served via TrueFiling, and no error was reported, a copy of the document(s) identified above on:

- First Appellate District
- Judge Ann-Christine Massullo, San Francisco Superior Court Judge
- Megan O'Neill and Lauren Hudecki (for State Farm Fire and Casualty Company)
- Adelina Acuna (for California Department of Justice)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

> Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, A Professional Corporation

Dated: September 18, 2023 By: <u>/s/ David M Rosenberg-Wohl</u>

David M. Rosenberg-Wohl Attorneys for Petitioners

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: ROSENBERG-WOHL v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY

Case Number: **S281510**Lower Court Case Number: **A163848**

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: david@hrw-law.com
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
REPLY TO ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REVIEW	230918 Reply.PIR

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Adelina AcuA Office of the Attorney General 284576	adelina.acuna@doj.ca.gov		9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM
Megan O'neill DTO Law 220147			9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM
Lauren Hudecki DTO Law 276938			9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM
David Rosenberg-Wohl Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, A Professional Corporation 132924			9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM
Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo			9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM
First District Court of Appeals			9/18/2023 11:11:27 AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9/1	10	12	Λ	2)
9/	ואו	/ /.!	U	1.	٦

Date

/s/David Rosenberg-Wohl

Signature

Rosenberg-Wohl, David (132924)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl, A Professional Corporation

Law Firm