

**BRAD K. KAISERMAN, ESQ.**  
**5870 MELROSE AVE., # 3396, LOS ANGELES, CA 90038**  
**PHONE: (310) 367-7632 ♦ FAX: (310) 870-1384**  
**BRADKAISERMAN@GMAIL.COM**

October 21, 2021

Supreme Court of California  
350 McAllister Street, Room 1295  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4797

Re: ***In re William Milton, S259954***  
**Supplemental Letter Brief Addressing New Authority**  
Court of Appeal case no. B297354  
Los Angeles Superior Court case no. TA039953

To the Honorable Chief Justice Tani Gorre Cantil-Sakauye and the Associate Justices of the California Supreme Court:

Petitioner William Milton respectfully requests permission to file the instant supplemental letter brief to address relevant new authority.

On May 17, 2021, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in *Edwards v. Vannoy* (2021) \_\_ U.S. \_\_ [141 S.Ct. 1547] (*Edwards*). *Edwards* held in part that one of the tests to determine retroactive application of a new rule – whether or not the rule amounted to a “watershed” rule of criminal procedure – is no longer viable. (*Id.* at p. \_\_ [141 S.Ct. at p. 1560].)

Accordingly, petitioner withdraws the argument that retroactive application of *People v. Gallardo* (2017) 4 Cal.5th 120 (*Gallardo*) is appropriate on grounds that it announced a watershed rule of criminal procedure. (See OBM 50-52.)

Petitioner continues to rely on the remaining arguments for retroactive application of *Gallardo*, including (1) that *Gallardo* is retroactive under the state test for retroactivity because *Gallardo* established a new rule that amounted to a substantive change in the law that alters the range of conduct or the class of persons that the law punishes or, if it was a procedural change in law, affects the integrity of the judicial process and controls the outcome of the case; (2) that the increase in petitioner’s maximum sentence was unauthorized and is therefore subject to retroactive correction on habeas; (3) that, under state and federal tests, *Gallardo* is retroactive to cases that become final after the decision in *Taylor v. United States* (1990) 495 U.S. 575 and/or *Apprendi v. New Jersey* (2000) 530 U.S. 466 because *Gallardo* is derivative of those cases; and (4) that *Gallardo* is retroactive under the federal test for retroactivity because it is a substantive rule that prohibits punishment for a class of defendants and controls the outcome of the case.

Sincerely,

/S/ BRAD KAISERMAN

BRAD KAISERMAN

Cc: Office of the Attorney General  
The Honorable Ronald Slick  
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office  
William Milton

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare that I am a resident or employed in Los Angeles County, California; that I am over the age of eighteen years; that my business address is Brad K. Kaiserman, Esq., 5870 Melrose Ave., # 3396, Los Angeles, CA 90038, bradkaiserman@gmail.com, at whose discretion I served the document entitled **Supplemental Letter Brief Addressing New Authority**.

On October 21, 2020, following ordinary business practice, service was completed by placing the above document in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing via United States Mail.

Sherri R. Carter, Clerk of the Court  
Los Angeles County Superior Court  
(For Retired Judge Ronald J. Slick)  
111 North Hill St.  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

William Milton, P38650  
Correctional Training Facility (CTF)  
Facility C, CXW3001L  
PO Box 689  
Soledad, CA 93960

This proof of service is executed in Los Angeles, California, on October 21, 2020.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

/S/ BRAD KAISERMAN

BRAD KAISERMAN

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
Supreme Court of California

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
Supreme Court of California

Case Name: **MILTON (WILLIAM) ON H.C.**

Case Number: **S259954**

Lower Court Case Number: **B297354**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
2. My email address used to e-serve: **bradkaiserman@gmail.com**
3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

<b>Filing Type</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
LETTER	Milton Supplemental Letter Brief

Service Recipients:

<b>Person Served</b>	<b>Email Address</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Date / Time</b>
Eric Kohm Office of the Attorney General 232314	eric.kohm@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	10/21/2021 10:25:28 AM
Nicholas Webster Office of the Attorney General 307415	nicholas.webster@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	10/21/2021 10:25:28 AM
Brad Kaiserman Court Added 266220	bradkaiserman@gmail.com	e-Serve	10/21/2021 10:25:28 AM
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office	truefiling@da.lacounty.gov	e-Serve	10/21/2021 10:25:28 AM
Office of the Attorney General	docketinglaawt@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	10/21/2021 10:25:28 AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

10/21/2021

Date

/s/Brad Kaiserman

Signature

Kaiserman, Brad (266220)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Brad K. Kaiserman, Esq.

