No. S263972

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITY OF SANTA MONICA,

Defendant and Appellant,

v.

PICO NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION; MARIA LOYA,

Plaintiffs and Respondents.

CITY OF SANTA MONICA'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

After a Decision by the Court of Appeal Second Appellate District, Division Eight, Case No. B295935 Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC616804 The Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos, Judge Presiding

CITY OF SANTA MONICA	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
GEORGE CARDONA (135439)	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR. (132099)
Interim City Attorney	TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com
George.Cardona@smgov.net	MARCELLUS A. MCRAE (140308)
1685 Main Street, Room 310	MMcrae@gibsondunn.com
Santa Monica, California 90401	*KAHN A. SCOLNICK (228686)
Telephone: (310) 458-8336	KScolnick@gibsondunn.com
-	TIAUNIA N. HENRY (254323)
	THenry@gibsondunn.com
	DANIEL R. ADLER (306924)
	DAdler@gibsondunn.com
	333 South Grand Avenue
	Los Angeles, California 90071
	Telephone: (213) 229-7000
	Facsimile: (213) 229-7520

Gov't Code, § 6103

Attorneys for Defendant and Appellant, City of Santa Monica

The City of Santa Monica does not oppose plaintiffs' motion for judicial notice of the legislative history of the California Voting Rights Act.

Because plaintiffs filed their motion in connection with their reply brief, rather than their opening brief, the City had no opportunity to respond to it in connection with its answer brief on the merits. Accordingly, the City will now briefly note a handful of points made clear by the legislative history:

1) The CVRA does not create a cause of action for every minority group, no matter how small, that can show a bare difference in voting patterns between minority voters and majority voters. Instead, there must be a legitimate basis to conclude that the minority group's lack of electoral success is due to at-large voting—not merely small numbers. To that end, the following question repeatedly appears in the legislative history: "If a minority community is not sufficient geographically compact to ensure that it can elect one of their members from a district, what is gained by eliminating the at-large election system?" (Ex. A at p. 38 [Analysis of Senate Committee on Elections and Reapportionment]; accord, e.g., *id.* at p. 98 [Senate Republican Commentaries]; id. at p. 126 [Senate Bill Analysis].) The legislative history also reflects an intent to address the scenario where a minority community accounts for a *near-majority* of eligible voters in a hypothetical district—that community would not have a remedy under federal law, even though it would be able to elect candidates of its choice (or at least meaningfully

influence electoral outcomes) in the hypothetical district. As the bill's sponsor wrote to Governor Davis in urging him to sign the bill, "If the minority community were at 49 percent, then the federal courts cannot provide a remedy." (Ex. A at p. 100 [letter from Senator Polanco to Governor Davis].)

- 2) The CVRA does not require the wholesale abandonment of atlarge elections. "Unlike prior unsuccessful measures concerned with at-large election methods, this bill would not mandate that any political subdivision convert an at-large system to a single-member district system. Rather, this bill simply prohibits the abridgment or dilution of minority voting rights." (Ex. A at p. 59 [Bill Analysis of Assembly Committee on Judiciary]; accord, e.g., *id.* at p. 86 [Enrolled Bill Report, Governor's Office of Planning and Research, noting that "Governor Davis vetoed [an earlier bill] stating that 'the decision to create single-member [districts] is best made at the local level, not by the state""].)
- 3) The CVRA was aimed at combating the dilution of minority voting power. "This measure provides voters with a cause of action to challenge at-large elections when it can be shown be shown that a minority's voting rights have been abridged or diluted.... While this legislation is far from perfect, it does provide state courts with the ability to fashion remedies for minorities when their votes are unfairly diluted by the use of at-large

3

election[s]." (Ex. A at p. 75 [letter from Gray Davis to California State Senate]; accord, e.g., Ex. A at p. 61 [Bill Analysis of Assembly Committee on Judiciary].)

DATED: May 26, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: <u>/s/ Kahn Scolnick</u> Kahn Scolnick

Attorneys for Defendant and Appellant City of Santa Monica

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Daniel R. Adler, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years, and I am not a party to this action. My business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071-3197. On May 26, 2021, I served:

CITY OF SANTA MONICA'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

on the parties stated below, by the following means of service:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

- ☑ BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: A true and correct copy of the above-titled document was electronically served on the persons listed on the attached service list.
- ☑ (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 26, 2021.

Daniel M. Male

Daniel R. Adler

Respondents' Counsel Method of service Electronic service Morris J. Baller (48928) Laura L. Ho (173179) Anne P. Bellows (293722) GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, **DARDARIAN & HO** 300 Lakeside Dr., Suite 1000 Oakland, California 94612 Tel: 510-763-9800 Kevin Shenkman (223315) **Electronic service** Mary Hughes (222662) SHENKMAN & HUGHES PC 28905 Wight Road Malibu, California 90265 Tel: 310-457-0970 **Electronic service** Milton Grimes (59437) LAW OFFICES OF MILTON C. GRIMES 3774 West 54th Street Los Angeles, California 90043 Tel: 323-295-3023 **Electronic service** R. Rex Parris (96567) Ellery Gordon (316655) PARRIS LAW FIRM 43364 10th Street West Lancaster, California 93534 Tel: 661-949-2595 Robert Rubin (85084) **Electronic service** LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN 237 Princeton Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941-4133 Tel: 415-298-4857

<u>Trial court</u>

Hon. Yvette M. PalazuelosMail serviceJudge PresidingImage: County SuperiorLos Angeles County SuperiorImage: County Superior312 North Spring StreetImage: County SuperiorLos Angeles, CA 90012Image: County SuperiorTel: 213-310-7009Image: County Superior

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

Case Name: PICO NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA Case Number: S263972 Lower Court Case Number: B295935

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: dadler@gibsondunn.com
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS	City's response to plaintiffs' motion for judicial notice

Service Recipients:	

Person Served	Email Address	Туре	Date / Time
Kevin Shenkman Shenkman & Hughes	\cup υ	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Kevin Shenkman Shenkman & Hughes 223315	Kishenkman@shenkmanhughes.com		5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Theodore Boutrous Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 132099	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Dale Galipo Law Offices of Dale K. Galipo 144074	dalekgalipo@yahoo.com	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Derek Cole Cole & Huber LLP 204250	dcole@colehuber.com	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Steve Reyes California Secretary of State 212849	sreyes@sos.ca.gov	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Ellery Gordon Parris Law Firm 316655	egordon@parrislawyers.com	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Scott Grimes Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho	sgrimes@gbdhlegal.com		5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Scott Rafferty Law Offices of Scott Rafferty 224389	rafferty@gmail.com	e- Serve	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
R. Parris	rrparris@rrexparris.com	e-	5/26/2021

R. Rex Parris Law Firm		Serve 9:14:43 AM
Anne Bellows	abellows@gbdhlegal.com	e- 5/26/2021
Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho 293722		Serve 9:14:43 AM
Todd Bonder	tbonder@rmslaw.com	e- 5/26/2021
Rosenfeld, Meyer & Sussman LLP 116482		Serve 9:14:43 AM
File Clerk Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho	efile@gbdhlegal.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Robert Rubin Law Offices of Robert Rubin 085084	robertrubinsf@gmail.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Julia Marks Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus 300544	juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org	e- Serve 9:14:43 AM
Laura Ho Goldstein,Borgen,Dardarian, Ho 173179	lho@gbdhlegal.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Dan Stormer Hadsell Stormer Renick & Dai LLP 101967	dstormer@hadsellstormer.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Ira Feinberg Hogan Lovells US LLP 64066	ira.feinberg@hoganlovells.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Elisa DellaPIana Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the SF Bay Area 226462	edellapiana@lccrsf.org	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Morris Baller Goldstein, Borgen, Demchak & Ho 48928	mballer@gbdhlegal.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Stuart Kirkpatrick Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho	skirkpatrick@gbdhlegal.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Milton Grimes Law Offices of Milton C. Grimes 59437	miltgrim@aol.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Belinda Helzer Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 214178	bescobosahelzer@gmail.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Attorney Attorney General - Los Angeles Office Court Added 247037	dana.ali@doj.ca.gov	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Christian Contreras Guizar, Henderson & Carrazco, LLP 330269	christian@carrazcolawapc.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Joanna Ghosh Lawyers for Justice 272479	joanna@lfjpc.com	e- 5/26/2021 Serve 9:14:43 AM
Daniel R. Adler	dadler@gibsondunn.com	e- 5/26/2021

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 306924		Serve	9:14:43 AM
George Cardona	george.cardona@santamonica.gov		5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM
Kahn Scolnick 228686	kscolnick@gibsondunn.com	1	5/26/2021 9:14:43 AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

5/26/2021

Date

/s/Daniel R. Adler

Signature

Adler, Daniel R. (306924)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Law Firm