Supreme Court Case No. S279242

IN THE SUPREME COURT **OF THE** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MAKE UC A GOOD NEIGHBORHOOD, et al.,

Petitioners and Appellants

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Respondents,

RESOURCES FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, Real Party in Interest.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

After a published opinion of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division 5, Case No. A165451

Appeal from July 29, 2022, Order and August 2, 2022, Order and Judgment of the Alameda Superior Court; Hon. Frank Roesch, Dept. 17, tel: 510-267-6933, Case No. RG21110142 (Consolidated for Purposes of Trial Only with Case Nos. RG21109910, RG21110157 and 21CV000995)

Thomas N. Lippe, SBN 104640 Law Offices of Thomas N. Lippe, APC 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: 415-777-5604

Email: Lippelaw@sonic.net Counsel for *Petitioners/Appellants* Patrick M. Soluri, SBN 210036 Osha R. Meserve, SBN 204240 Soluri Meserve, A Law Corporation 510 8th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: 916-455-7300

Emails: patrick@semlawyers.com; osha@semlawyers.com; james@semlawyers.com Counsel for Petitioners/Appellants

I. INTRODUCTION

Appellants Make UC A Good Neighbor and The People's Park Historic District Advocacy Group ("Good Neighbor") oppose the motion for judicial notice ("motion") filed on August 24, 2023, by Defendants and Respondents The Regents of the University of California, et al. ("UC").

UC seeks judicial notice of legislative history materials regarding the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). UC filed the motion concurrently with their reply brief on the merits on August 24, 2023, and expressly cite this material in section I.E of their reply brief (at pages 30-31).

Good Neighbor respectfully requests that the Court deny the motion because UC failed to comply with rule 8.252 of the California Rules of Court and the motion improperly attempts to introduce new evidence and arguments in a reply brief. Good Neighbor also respectfully requests that the Court not consider the proffered new evidence or UC's new arguments, asserted in section I.E of UC's reply brief, based on this new evidence.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Respondents' Motion fails to comply with CRC, rule 8.252(a)(2).

UC's motion fails to comply with Rule 8.252, subdivision(a)(2), which provides:

The motion must state:

- (A) Why the matter to be noticed is relevant to the appeal;
- (B) Whether the matter to be noticed was presented to the trial court and, if so, whether judicial notice

was taken by that court;

- (C) If judicial notice of the matter was not taken by the trial court, why the matter is subject to judicial notice under Evidence Code section 451, 452, or 453; and
- (D) Whether the matter to be noticed relates to proceedings occurring after the order or judgment that is the subject of the appeal.

While UC explains why it contends the matter is relevant to the appeal, it fails to provide information required by subdivisions (B), (C), (D). The motion should be denied on that basis alone. (Tenet Healthsystem Desert, Inc. v. Blue Cross of California (2016) 245 Cal.App.4th 821, 834 [failure to comply with Rule 8.252 "alone, would be a sufficient reason to reject [the] request"].) Had UC complied with this rule, they would have disclosed that the material was not presented to the trial court, which is another reason to deny the request. (People v. Preslie (1977) 70 Cal.App.3d 486, 493 ["as a general rule the court should not take such notice if, upon examination of the entire record, it appears that the matter has not been presented to and considered by the trial court in the first instance"].)

B. UC improperly submits this new evidence with and its arguments based on this evidence in its reply brief.

The motion should also be denied because it represents an improper attempt to introduce new evidence and arguments in a reply brief. "Points raised for the first time in a reply brief will ordinarily not be considered, because such consideration would deprive the respondent of an opportunity to counter the

argument." (American Drug Stores, Inc. v. Stroh (1992) 10
Cal.App.4th 1446, 1453; see also, Sierra Club v. City of Orange
(2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 523, 548; In re Marriage of Ackerman
(2006) 146 Cal.App.4th 191, 214.) UC has not shown good cause
to depart from this rule. (Browne v. County of Tehama (2013) 213
Cal.App.4th 704, 720, fn. 10.)¹

Here, as in these cases, Good Neighbor does not have an opportunity to respond to UC' new arguments and evidence.

Therefore, Good Neighbor requests that the Court not consider the proffered new evidence or UC's arguments based on this new evidence.

III. CONCLUSION

Appellants respectfully request that the Court deny UC's motion and not consider UC's arguments based on this new evidence.

DATED: September 8, 2023

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS N. LIPPE, APC

By: 10m Ligge

Thomas N. Lippe, Attorney for Make UC A Good Neighbor and The People's Park Historic District Advocacy Group

 $T:\TL\UCB\ LRDP\Appeal\Supreme\ Ct\Motions\UC\ MJN\MJN005\ Opposition.wpd$

¹(See also, Jay v. Mahaffey (2013) 218 Cal.App.4th 1522, 1537-1538[denying declarations introduced on reply because opposing party would have no opportunity to respond]; Ross v. Creel Printing & Publishing Co. (2002) 100 Cal.App.4th 736, 744 [denying request for judicial notice in reply brief].)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States, employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California. My business address is 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, CA 94111. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above entitled action. On September 8, 2023, I served the following on the parties below, as designated:

• OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

MANNER OF SERVICE

[x] By TrueFiling I caused such document(s) to be served via

TrueFiling electronic service on the parties in
this action by transmitting and uploading a
true copy to TrueFiling interface by providing
the following email address(es) listed under
each addressee below. Participants in the case
who are not registered users will be served by
mail or by other means permitted by the court
rules.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 8, 2023, in the County of Contra Costa, California.

KellyMaris Kelly Marie Perry

SERVICE LIST

Alison Krumbein Charles Robinson Office of General Counsel University of California 1111 Franklin Street, 8th Fl Oakland, CA 94607 Tel: (510) 987-0851 emails: charles.robinson@ucop.edu alison.krumbein@ucop.edu

David M. Robinson, Chief Campus Counsel UC Berkeley, Office of Legal Affairs 200 California Hall Mail Code No. 1500 Berkeley, CA 94720 Tel: (510) 642-7791 email: dmrobinson@berkeley.edu

Nicole H. Gordon
Mark J.G. Desrosiers
Margaret Sohagi
Cheron McAleece
The Sohagi Law Group, PLC
11999 San Vicente Blvd, #150
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Tel: (310) 475-5700
emails: ngordon@sohagi.com;
mdesrosiers@sohagi.com;
msohagi@sohagi.com;
cmcaleece@sohagi.com

Attorney for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Attorney for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Attorney for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Charles Olson Philip J. Sciranka Lubin Olson Niewiadomski LLP The Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery St., 14th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 981-0550 emails: colson@lubinolson.com; clee@lubinolson.com; psciranka@lubinolson.com; msaephan@lubinolson.com (staff); jwilson@lubinolson.com (staff)

Attorneys for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Mitchell C. Tilner 3601 West Olive Ave, 8th Fl.

Horvitz & Levy LLP Burbank, CA 91505 Tel: (818) 995-0800 email: mtilner@horvitzlevy.com

Beth Judith Jay Jeremy Brooks Rosen Horvitz & Levy, LLP 505 Sansome St, Suite 375 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 462-5600 emails: bjay@horvitzlevy.com; jrosen@horvitzlevy.com

Attorneys for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Attorney for Respondents/Defendants: THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; MICHAEL DRAKE; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; and CAROL T. CHRIST

Douglas C. Straus
Alicia C. Guerra
Buchalter APC
55 Second Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94105-3493
Tel: (415) 227-3553
emails:
dstraus@buchalter.com;
aguerra@buchalter.com;
agetzell@buchalter.com (staff)

Attorneys for Real Party In Interest: RESOURCES FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Michael Lozeau
Rebecca Davis
Brian B. Flynn
Lozeau Drury LLP
1939 Harrison St., Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94612
Tel: (510) 836-4200
emails:
michael@lozeaudrury.com;
rebecca@lozeaudrury.com;
brian@lozeaudrury.com;
hannah@lozeaudrury.com
(staff)

Attorneys for: American Federation of State, County & Municipal, et al., v The Regents of the Univ. of CA, et al., Case Nos. RG21110157 and 21CV000995

Leila H. Moncharsh Veneruso & Moncharsh 5707 Redwood Road, Suite 10 Oakland, California 94619 Tel: (510) 482-0390

email: 101550@msn.com

Attorney for: Berkeley Citizens for A Better Plan v The Regents of the Univ. of CA, et al., Case No. RG21109910 Whitman F. Manley Christopher L. Stiles Nathan O. George REMY MOOSE MANLEY, LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: (916) 443-2745 emails: wmanley@rmmenvirolaw.com; cstiles@rmmenvirolaw.com; ngeorge@rmmenvirolaw.com

Mary G. Murphy Sara Ghalandari GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 393-8257 emails: mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com; SGhalandari@gibsondunn.com

Kathryn Oehlschlager Downey Brand LLP 455 Market St., Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94105-2443 Tel: (415) 848-4820 email: koehlschlager@downeybrand.c Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest:
Helen Diller Foundation, a domestic non-profit public benefit corporation;
Prometheus Real Estate Group, Inc., a California Corporation; and OSKI 360, a limited liability California company
Case No. RG21109910

Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest:
Helen Diller Foundation, a domestic non-profit public benefit corporation; and OSKI 360, a limited liability California company Case No. RG21109910

Samuel Harbourt Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Tel: (415) 510-3919 email: samuel.harbourt@doj.ca.gov

Clerk of the Court California Court of Appeal 1st Appellate District, Div. 5 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-3600 1dc-div5-clerks@jud.ca.gov Brief only by U.S. Mail: Rob Bonta Attorney General of CA 1300 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2919

Brief only by U.S. Mail: Hon. Frank Roesch, Dept. 17 Alameda Superior Court Administration Building 1221 Oak Street Oakland CA 94612

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

Case Name: MAKE UC A GOOD NEIGHBOR v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA (RESOURCES FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT)

Case Number: **S279242**Lower Court Case Number: **A165451**

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: Lippelaw@sonic.net
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

	Filing Type	Document Title
(OPPOSITION	MJN005 SENT Opposition

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Patrick Soluri	patrick@semlawyers.com	e-	9/8/2023
Soluri Meserve, A Law Corporation 210036		Serve	4:46:48 PM
Mitchell Tilner	mtilner@horvitzlevy.com	e-	9/8/2023
Horvitz & Levy, LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
93023			
Margaret Sohagi	msohagi@sohagi.com		9/8/2023
The Sohagi Law Group		Serve	4:46:48 PM
126336			
Rebecca Davis	rebecca@lozeaudrury.com	l	9/8/2023
Lozeau Drury, LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
271662			
Leila Moncharsh	101550@msn.com	l .	9/8/2023
Veneruso & Moncharsh		Serve	4:46:48 PM
74800			
Thomas Lippe	Lippelaw@sonic.net	1	9/8/2023
Law Offices of Thomas N. Lippe, APC 104640		Serve	4:46:48 PM
Whitman Manley	wmanley@rmmenvirolaw.com	e-	9/8/2023
Remy, Moose, Manley, LLP 130972		Serve	4:46:48 PM
Farimah Brown	fbrown@cityofberkeley.info	e-	9/8/2023
Berkeley City Attorney's Office		Serve	4:46:48 PM
201227			
Kathryn Oehlschlager	koehlschlager@downeybrand.com	e-	9/8/2023
Downey Brand LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
226817			
Kelly Perry	kmhperry@sonic.net	e-	9/8/2023

Law Offices of Thomas N. Lippe, APC		Serve	4:46:48 PM
Cheron McAleece	cmcaleece@sohagi.com	e-	9/8/2023
The Sohagi Law Group, PLC		Serve	4:46:48 PM
Beth Jay	bjay@horvitzlevy.com	e-	9/8/2023
Horvitz & Levy, LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
53820			
Charles Olson	colson@lubinolson.com	e-	9/8/2023
Lubin Olson & Niewiadomski LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
130984			
Douglas Straus	dstraus@buchalter.com	e-	9/8/2023
Buchalter, A Professional Corporation		I	4:46:48 PM
96301			
Alison Krumbein	alison.krumbein@ucop.edu	e-	9/8/2023
Office of the General Counsel - University of California	and only only only or opvour	I	4:46:48 PM
Michael Lozeau	michael@lozeaudrury.com		9/8/2023
Lozeau Drury LLP	michaelw, lozeadar ar y .com	l l	4:46:48 PM
142893		Berve	1. 10. 10 1 11
Nicole Gordon	ngordon@sohagi.com	e-	9/8/2023
The Sohagi Law Group, PLC	ingordona/sonagi.com	I	4:46:48 PM
240056		Berve	7.40.40 1 101
Samuel Harbourt	samuel.harbourt@doj.ca.gov	e-	9/8/2023
Office of the Attorney General	Samuer.naroourt@doj.ca.gov	I	4:46:48 PM
313719		Serve	4.40.46 FW
Jeremy Rosen	jrosen@horvitzlevy.com	e-	9/8/2023
Horvitz & Levy LLP		Serve	4:46:48 PM
192473			
Mark Desrosiers	mdesrosiers@sohagi.com	e-	9/8/2023
The Sohagi Law Group, PLC		Serve	4:46:48 PM
302309			
David Robinson	dmrobinson@berkeley.edu	e-	9/8/2023
		Serve e- Serve e-	4:46:48 PM
Philip Scrianka	psciranka@lubinolson.com	e-	9/8/2023
		Serve	4:46:48 PM
287932			
C Lee	clee@lubinolson.com	e-	9/8/2023
		I	4:46:48 PM
294161			
M Saephan (staff)	msaephan@lubinolson.com	e-	9/8/2023
	1	I	4:46:48 PM
J Wilson (staff)	jwilson@lubinolson.com		9/8/2023
(5001)	J Ilean (a) Identiciscii. Com	I	4:46:48 PM
Alicia Guerra	aguerra@buchalter.com		9/8/2023
a moto Guottu	agacita a ouchance . com	I	4:46:48 PM
188482			
A Getzell (staff)	agetzell@buchalter.com	e-	9/8/2023
LI GOZOII (SMII)	age 22011 (a) out that to 1.00111	I	4:46:48 PM
Brian Flynn	brian@lozeaudrury.com		9/8/2023
	orian wiozcaudi ur y.com	I	4:46:48 PM
1		Port A.C.	7.70.70 1 W
314005			

		Serve	4:46:48 PM
C Stiles 280816	cstiles@rmmenvirolaw.com	1	9/8/2023 4:46:48 PM
N George 303707	ngeorge@rmmenvirolaw.com	I	9/8/2023 4:46:48 PM
Mary Murphy	mgmurphy@gibsondunn.com	I	9/8/2023 4:46:48 PM
Sara Ghalandari	SGhalandari@gibsondunn.com	I	9/8/2023 4:46:48 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9/8/2023

Date

/s/Kelly Perry

Signature

Lippe, Thomas (104640)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Law Offices of Thomas N. Lippe, APC

Law Firm