No. S272129

# In the Supreme Court of the State of California

MARIO RODRIGUEZ,

Petitioner and Defendant,

v.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{SUPERIOR COURT OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY,} \\ Respondent, \end{array}$ 

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Real Party in Interest.

Sixth Appellate District, Case No. H049016 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case Nos. C1647395, C1650275 The Honorable Eric S. Geffon, Criminal Presiding Judge

#### SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

JEFFREY F. ROSEN District Attorney, County of Santa Clara State Bar No. 163589 ALEXANDRA W. GADEBERG Deputy District Attorney State Bar No. 271567

County Government Center West Wing 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 792-2757 Fax: (408) 287-5076 E-mail: agadeberg@dao.sccgov.org

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Page

Statement of the Case	4
Argument	4
I. The Recent Decision of <i>Camacho v. Superior</i> <i>Court of Merced County</i> Has Bearing on the Constitutional Issues Alleged by Petitioner	4
Conclusion	5
Certificate of Compliance	6

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

# Page

### CASES

Camacho v. Superior Court of Merced County	
(August 31, 2023, No. S273391) –P.3d – [2023 WL	
5616303]	1

### RULES OF COURT

California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(d)	4,	5
California Rules of Court, rule 8.630(d)	4,	<b>5</b>

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Briefing for the present case concluded in 2022. On August 31, 2023, after notice was given by the Court that the matter could be calendared for oral argument, this Court decided the case of *Camacho v. Superior Court of Merced County* (August 31, 2023, No. S273391) –P.3d – [2023 WL 5616303].

Pursuant to California Rules of Court 8.520, subdivision (d) and 8.630, subdivision (d), the People intend to rely on *Camacho v. Superior Court* during oral argument.

#### ARGUMENT

### I. THE RECENT DECISION OF CAMACHO V. SUPERIOR COURT HAS BEARING ON THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES ALLEGED BY PETITIONER

The recent case of *Camacho v. Superior Court* involved the question of whether Due Process was violated by a more than decade-long pretrial delay for a sexually violent predator (SVP) trial. The principles set forth in that case have bearing on whether Due Process was violated by the pre-hearing delay in the present case. Accordingly, the People seek leave to address *Camacho v. Superior Court* at oral argument, as appropriate.

#### CONCLUSION

Pursuant to California Rules of Court 8.520, subdivision (d) and 8.630, subdivision (d), the People seek leave to rely on *Camacho v. Superior Court* during oral arguments.

Dated: September 21, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY F. ROSEN District Attorney County of Santa Clara

Alexandra Gadeberg

ALEXANDRA W. GADEBERG Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Real Party in Interest

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I certify that the attached SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF uses a 13-point Century Schoolbook font and contains 197 words.

Dated: September 21, 2023

JEFFREY F. ROSEN District Attorney County of Santa Clara

Alexandra Gadeberg

ALEXANDRA W. GADEBERG Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Real Party in Interest

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

#### Case Name: **RODRIGUEZ v. S.C. (PEOPLE)** Case Number: **S272129** Lower Court Case Number: **H049016**

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: agadeberg@dao.sccgov.org
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
BRIEF	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF - S272129

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Туре	Date / Time
Diana Garrido Contra Costa Public Defenders Office 243343	diana.garrido@pd.cccounty.us	e- Serve	9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Emilou Maclean American Civil Liverties Union Foundation of Northern California, Inc, 319071	emaclean@aclunc.org	e- Serve	9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Alicia Morales DLA Piper LLP (US)	alicia.morales@us.dlapiper.com		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Brian McComas Law Office of B.C. McComas 273161	mccomas.b.c@gmail.com		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Justin Sarno DLA Piper LLP (US) 229803	justin.sarno@us.dlapiper.com		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Office Office Of The Attorney General Court Added	sfagdocketing@doj.ca.gov		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Kassandra Dibble ACLU of Northern California	kdibble@aclunc.org	e- Serve	9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Brian Mccomas The Law Office of B.C. McComas, LLP	lawofficeofb.c.mccomasllp@gmail.com		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Alexandra Gadeberg Office of the District Attorney 271567	agadeberg@dao.sccgov.org		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM

Stephanie Regular Contra Costa County Public Defender 210115	stephanie.regular@pd.cccounty.us		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Brian Mccomas Law Office of B.C. Brian McComas, LLP	mccomas.b.c@mccomasllp.com		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Kim Pederson Disability Rights California 234785	kim.pederson@disabilityrightsca.org		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Kevin Quade Office of the Attorney General 285197	kevin.quade@doj.ca.gov		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Ellen Mcdonnell Contra Costa County Public Defenders Office	diana.garrido@pd.ccounty.us	Serve	9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Jennifer Kaplan Office of the District Attorney 240602	jennifer.kaplan@sdcda.org		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM
Jennifer Stark Disability Rights California	jennifer.stark@disabilityrightsca.org		9/21/2023 4:33:11 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9/21/2023

Date

/s/Zulma Garza

Signature

Gadeberg, Alexandra (271567)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office

Law Firm