

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

---

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

vs.

CRISTIAN RENTERIA

Defendant and Appellant.

---

S266854  
F076973  
VCF304654

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF TULARE COUNTY

THE HONORABLE JUDGE KATHRYN T. MONTEJANO, JUDGE PRESIDING

---

**APPELLANT'S REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**

---

JAMES BISNOW  
State Bar No. 65224  
117 East Colorado Blvd., Suite 600  
Pasadena, CA 91105  
626-229-9665

Attorney for Appellant  
CRISTIAN RENTERIA

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... 3

ARGUMENT

**APPELLANT REQUESTS THIS COURT NOT TO  
TRANSFER THIS CASE TO THE COURT OF  
APPEAL UNTIL THIS COURT FIRST DECIDES  
WHETHER THE PROSECUTION PRESENTED  
SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THE  
ENHANCEMENT AT MR. RENTERIA’S “LONE  
ACTOR” TRIAL**

.....4

CONCLUSION.....5

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....7

PROOF OF SERVICE.....8

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

People v. Cooper, S273134, (review granted 5/11/22).....5

### Penal Code:

§ 186.22 (b).....4

Assembly Bill No. 333 .....5

Rule 8.500(b)(1).....5

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

---

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA,  
  
                    Plaintiff and Respondent,  
  
                    vs.  
  
CRISTIAN RENTERIA  
  
                    Defendant and Appellant.

S266854  
F076973  
VCF304654

**APPELLANT’S REPLY TO  
RESPONDENT’S  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**

**ARGUMENT**

**APPELLANT REQUESTS THIS COURT NOT TO  
TRANSFER THIS CASE TO THE COURT OF APPEAL  
UNTIL THIS COURT FIRST DECIDES WHETHER THE  
PROSECUTION PRESENTED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE  
TO PROVE THE ENHANCEMENT AT MR. RENTERIA’S  
“LONE ACTOR” TRIAL**

While respondent concedes that Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB 333) is retroactive, respondent suggests this Court transfer the matter back to the Court of Appeal to give that court the “first opportunity” to sort through various AB 333 issues, none of which respondent concedes applies to appellant. (RSB at 10-18.) However, nothing the Court of Appeal will do can affect the question upon which appellant sought and

this Court granted review, namely, the sufficiency of evidence of the gang enhancement, Penal Code section 186.22, subdivision (b), in lone actor cases.

Even after the implementation of AB 333 in trial courts across the state, lone actor cases will still pose critical questions of what the prosecution must show to prove the two prongs of the gang enhancement—the “gang-related” prong and the “specific intent prong”—as well the issue of whether the prosecution gang expert may opine on these prongs without basing his evidence on specific facts of the case. The lone actor case thus continues to pose “an important question of law” for the Court to resolve under California Rules of Court, rule 8.500(b)(1).

If this Court resolves the sufficiency of evidence issue in Mr. Renteria’s favor by finding the evidence insufficient and dismissing the enhancement as argued by Mr. Renteria in his previous briefing, then no court needs to tackle the AB 333 issues in this case. If the Court resolves the sufficiency of evidence issue against Mr. Renteria, it can then send the case back to the Court of Appeal for determination regarding the AB 333 issues. (See People v. Cooper, S273134, review granted May 11, 2022.)

## **CONCLUSION**

This Court should decide the sufficiency of evidence of the gang enhancement offered by the prosecution in Mr. Renteria’s trial, and in so doing decide what evidence the prosecution needs to adduce in lone gang member cases to prove the

gang enhancement. If the AB 333 issues remain after the Court decides Mr. Renteria's case, then this Court should transfer the case back to the Court of Appeal for decision.

Respectfully Submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_/S/\_\_\_\_\_  
James Bisnow  
Attorney for Cristian Renteria

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

I hereby certify that this Reply to the Supplemental Brief contains  
815 words.

May 19, 2022

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
James Bisnow  
Attorney for Appellant  
Cristian Renteria

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I am an attorney residing in Los Angeles, California, I am over the age of 18 years, and I am not a party to this action. My business address is 117 East Colorado Blvd., Suite 600, Pasadena, Ca. 91105.

On May 19, 2022, I served the foregoing document described as APPELLANT'S REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF on all interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ATTORNEY GENERAL  
P.O. Box 944255  
Sacramento, Ca. 94244 (electronic)

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA APPELLATE PROJECT  
2150 River Plaza Drive  
Sacramento, Ca. 95833 (electronic)

FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
2424 Ventura Street  
Fresno, CA 93721 (Electronic)

HONORABLE KATHRYN MONTEJANO  
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  
TULARE COUNTY JUDICIAL DISTRICT, DEPARTMENT 10  
221 S. MOONEY #209  
VISALIA, CA 93291

CRISTIAN RENTERIA  
Corcoran State Prison  
PO Box 8800  
Corcoran, Ca. 93212-8309

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Executed at Pasadena, California, May 19, 2022.

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES BISNOW

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Supreme Court of California

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Supreme Court of California

Case Name: **PEOPLE v.  
RENTERIA**

Case Number: **S266854**

Lower Court Case Number: **F076973**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
2. My email address used to e-serve: **jimlaw@pacbell.net**
3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
BRIEF	REPLY TO AG SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Cavan Cox Office of the Attorney General 266793	cavan.cox@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
Alyssa Mellott Office of the State Public Defender 240730	alyssa.mellott@ospd.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
Whitney Linder California Department of Justice	whitney.linder@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
Debra Pereira Young DOJ Sacramento/Fresno AWT Crim	debra.PereiraYoung@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
James Bisnow Attorney at Law 65224	jimlaw@pacbell.net	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
Gabriel Vallejo Department of Justice, Attorney General's Office	Gabriel.Vallejo@doj.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM
Office Office Of The State Public Defender-Sac Timothy Foley, Sr. Deputy State Public Defender 000000	docketing@ospd.ca.gov	e-Serve	5/19/2022 4:25:09 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

5/19/2022

---

Date

/s/james bisnow

---

Signature

bisnow, james (65224)

---

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

jimlaw@pacbell.net

---

Law Firm