Supreme Court of California
Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer of the Court
Electronically FILED on 4/29/2024 by Larry Blake, Jr., Deputy Clerk



OAKLAND OFFICE

1111 Broadway, 10th Floor Oakland, CA 94607 (510) 267-3300 (510) 452-8712 (fax) www.ospd.ca.gov

SACRAMENTO OFFICE

770 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-2676 (916) 327-0459 (fax) www.ospd.ca.gov

April 29, 2024

Jorge E. Navarrete Clerk and Executive Officer Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4797 **CAPITAL CASE**

Re: People v. Robert Ward Frazier, S148863 Notice of Supplemental Authorities

Dear Mr. Navarrete:

This case is scheduled for oral argument on Thursday, May 9, 2024, at 1:30 PM. For the Court's consideration, appellant cites the following additional authorities not contained in the briefs on file.

Argument I from Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief, filed October 27, 2023, "Appellant Was Denied His Fundamental Sixth Amendment Right to Choose the Objective of the Penalty Phase Defense.":

Lewis v. Andes (9th Cir. 2024) 95 F.4th 1166 (affirming denial of habeas petition asserting ineffective assistance of counsel, the court recognized that it is a is a "valid approach to mitigation" to limit evidence about the defendant's troubled upbringing and mental health issues and to instead focus the penalty phase defense on lingering doubt and testimony by the defendant's family "asking the jury to spare his life.");

//

11

Fields v. Jordan (6th Cir. 2023) 86 F.4th 218 (holding that the state court could reasonably conclude that counsel was not ineffective for compromising with a capital defendant's desire limit the mitigating evidence at the penalty phase, the court cited $McCoy\ v.\ Louisiana\ (2018)$ 584 U.S. 414, to suggest that had counsel presented disputed mitigation evidence over objection, the defendant "may well have argued that [counsel] violated his right to make a major decision," and further observed that "many lower courts have held that a defendant can voluntarily 'waive the presentation of mitigating evidence.' [Citation.]")

Thank you for bringing this letter to the Court's attention.

Sincerely,

/s/

Mark R. Feeser
Supervising Deputy State
Public Defender

Attorney for Appellant

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

Case Name: People v. Robert Ward Frazier
Case Number: Cal. Supreme Ct. No. S148863

Contra Costa County Sup. Ct. No. 5-041700-6

I, **Christopher Gonzalez**, declare as follows: I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing took place. My business address is 770 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, California 95814. I served a true copy of the following document:

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES

By enclosing it in envelopes and placing the envelopes for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service with postage fully prepaid on the date and at the place shown below, following our ordinary business practices.

The envelope was addressed and mailed on April 29, 2024, as follows:

Robert W. Frazier, #F-55038	Death Penalty Appeals Clerk	
CSP-SQ	Contra Costa Superior Court	
San Quentin, CA 94974	c/o Appeals Division	
	725 Court Street, Room 103	
	Martinez, CA 94553	

11

11

//

The following were served the aforementioned document electronically via TrueFiling on **April 29, 2024**:

Office of the Attorney General – SF	California Appellate Project
Victoria B. Ratnikova,	425 California Street,
Deputy Attorney General	Suite 800
455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000	San Francisco, CA 94104
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	filing@capsf.org
<u>Victoria.Ratnikova@doj.ca.gov</u>	
SFAG.Docketing@doi.ca.gov	

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed on **April 29, 2024**, at Sacramento County, California.

Christopher
Gonzalez
Digitally signed by Christopher
Gonzalez
Date: 2024.04.29 13:09:47 -07'00'

Christopher Gonzalez

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (ROBERT WARD)

Case Number: **S148863**

Lower Court Case Number:

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: mark.feeser@ospd.ca.gov
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title	
LETTER	20240429_Frazier_Focus_Ltr_TrueFile	
ADDITIONAL CITES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT	20240429_Frazier_Supp_Auth_Ltr_TrueFile	

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
District District Attorney - Contra Costa County Court Added	appellate.pleading@contracostada.org	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM
Victoria Ratnikova California Dept of Justice, Office of the Attorney General 280386	Victoria.Ratnikova@doj.ca.gov	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM
Mark Feeser Office of the State Public Defender 252968	mark.feeser@ospd.ca.gov	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM
Office Office Of The State Attorney General Court Added	SFAG.Docketing@doj.ca.gov	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM
CAP Docketing	filing@capsf.org	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM
OSPD Docketing	docketing.ospd@ospd.ca.gov	1	4/29/2024 1:21:16 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

4/29/2024

Date

/s/Christopher Gonzalez	
Signature	
Feeser, Mark (252968)	
Last Name, First Name (PNum)	
Office of the State Public Defender	

Law Firm