# REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT MULTIJURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

### FINAL REPORT AND PROPOSED RULES

March 10, 2004

Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102

#### **CONTENTS**

| I.   | Executive Summary                              | 1        |
|------|--|----------|
|      | 2.coca ve summary                              |          |
| II.  | Introduction                                   | 2        |
|      |  |          |
| III. | Form of the Report                             | 3        |
| IV.  | Process for Developing the Rules.              | 3        |
|      | A. Statement of Charge.                        | 3        |
|      | B. Composition of the Implementation Committee | 3        |
|      | C. Meetings of the Implementation Committee.   | 3        |
|      |  |          |
| V.   | Overview of the Proposed Rules                 | ∠        |
| VI.  | Public Comment                                 | <i>€</i> |
| VII. | Recommended Future Action                      | 10       |
| App  | endix: Members of the Implementation Committee | 11       |

#### I. Executive Summary

The Supreme Court of California Multijurisdictional Practice Implementation Committee (the "Implementation Committee") recommends that the Supreme Court take the following actions:

- 1. Adopt proposed rules to enable out-of-state lawyers to practice law in California:
  - a. As registered legal services attorneys for up to three years (rule 964);
  - b. As registered in-house counsel for a single, qualifying institution (rule 965);
  - c. Temporarily as part of litigation (rule 966); and

- d. Temporarily as part of a nonlitigation matter (Rule 967).
- 2. Create a committee to monitor the multijurisdictional practice of law in California and throughout the United States; assess the effects of the proposed rules in California and of similar rules in other jurisdictions; and report to the Supreme Court on these matters within five years after implementation of the proposed rules.
- 3. Confer with the State Bar of California (the "State Bar") to select an effective date for the proposed rules that would allow the State Bar sufficient time to prepare for implementation of the rules and to determine how best to extend the authority of the State Bar to nonmembers who practice law in California under the proposed rules.

#### I. Introduction

The Supreme Court of California formed the Multijurisdictional Practice Implementation Committee in 2002 and charged it with drafting rules that would expand the circumstances under which attorneys licensed to practice law in jurisdictions in the United States other than California ( "out-of-state" attorneys) are permitted to practice law in California. In other words, the rules would increase the permissible scope of the multijurisdictional practice of law in California. The source of guidance for the Implementation Committee was the *Final Report and Recommend-ations of the California Supreme Court Advisory Task Force on the Multijurisdictional Practice of Law* (the "*Final Report*"). The Supreme Court adopted the *Final Report*, which defined the scope and much of the content of the rules drafted by the Implementation Committee.

The Task Force recommended permitting four categories of out-of-state lawyers to provide legal services in California. For two of the categories, it recommended a system of *registration*, similar to admission to the State Bar of California but not requiring an attorney to pass the California bar examination. Registration would be available to:

- 1. *Legal services attorneys* providing legal services to indigent clients on an interim basis before taking the California bar examination, under the supervision of an experienced member of the State Bar, at a qualifying provider of legal services; and
- 2. *In-house counsel* providing out-of-court legal services exclusively for a single, full-time employing entity (e.g., a corporation or partnership) that does not provide legal services to third parties.

In addition, the Task Force recommended that out-of-state lawyers be allowed to practice law in California through the provision of a "safe harbor," an exception to the definition of the unauthorized practice of law that would cover specified tasks. The safe harbor would be available to:

- 3. *Litigating lawyers* providing legal services in California in anticipation of legal proceedings in California or as part of legal proceedings pending or anticipated in another jurisdiction; and
- 4. *Transactional and other nonlitigating lawyers* providing legal services in California on a temporary and occasional basis.

The Implementation Committee submits with this report a set of proposed rules designed to implement the Task Force's recommendations. The proposed rules provide that attorneys taking advantage of registration or the safe harbor are subject to the jurisdiction of the State Bar and the California courts.

#### III. Form of the Report

This report addresses the process that the Implementation Committee used to develop the proposed rules (Part IV), provides an overview of the proposed rules (Part V), highlights some of the public comment regarding the proposed rules that was received during their circulation for public review and comment (Part VI), and sets forth a proposed course of action to the Supreme Court (VII). The members of the Implementation Committee are listed in the appendix to this report.

#### IV. Process for Developing the Rules

#### A. Statement of Charge

The Supreme Court charged the Implementation Committee with reviewing the final report and recommendations of the Task Force and with drafting rules or standards for the Supreme Court's consideration. The rules that accompany this report have been developed in response to that charge.

#### B. Composition of the Implementation Committee

The committee members brought a wide range of perspectives to their discussions. Among them were civil and criminal litigators, private and public attorneys, lawyers and laypersons, and transactional and trial counsel. This diversity of perspectives has assisted the Implementation Committee in considering the interests of all people who would be affected by any change in the rules governing the multijurisdictional practice of law.

#### C. Meetings of the Implementation Committee

The Implementation Committee met on five occasions to prepare the proposed rules. It met four times to develop the rules before circulating them for public comment; it then met to address the ideas and concerns raised by the comments. The report circulated for public comment from May 12, 2003, to July 7, 2003, although the committee considered comments submitted after the deadline.

The Implementation Committee honored the direction of the Chief Justice not to promote the interests of any particular constituency but to consider a range of perspectives and experiences and to design rules that would promote the public good. The primary concern of the Implementation Committee was to provide consumers of legal services with the greatest range of choices among legal representatives while ensuring their protection from incompetent or unscrupulous attorneys. The proposed rules strike a balance between these aims.

#### V. Overview of the Proposed Rules

The Implementation Committee drafted four rules in accordance with the Task Force's recommendations. The basic provisions of each rule and the committee's rationale for recommending its adoption are as follows:

#### 1. Registered Legal Services Attorneys (rule 964)

The Task Force proposed a rule that would allow out-of-state attorneys to provide legal services for public-interest organizations in California for a limited number of years on a full-time basis. The Implementation Committee recommends adoption of this rule to help meet the need for legal services by low-income people in California. Rule 964 would accomplish this goal by allowing out-of-state lawyers to work exclusively for qualifying legal services providers for no more than three years.

Many individuals who will benefit from the work of registered legal services attorneys are often not in a position to critically assess the quality of legal services; therefore, the Implementation Committee included safeguards in rule 964. First and foremost, registered legal services attorneys will be subject to oversight by a designated supervisor, who must be an experienced California lawyer and must assume professional responsibility for the legal services provided by the registered attorney. In particular, the supervisor must provide assistance, counsel, and direct supervision sufficient to protect all clients who receive legal representation under this rule. In addition, the rule limits practice to three years. After that time, attorneys must take and pass the California bar examination if they want to continue to practice law in California.

#### 2. Registered In-House Counsel (rule 965)

The Task Force recommended adoption of a rule that would allow out-of-state attorneys to provide legal services in California as in-house counsel on a full-time basis. The Implementation Committee drafted a rule, rule 965, designed to meet the needs of business entities regularly using legal services, including large corporations, by allowing out-of-state lawyers to work exclusively for qualifying institutions on an indefinite basis.

The scope of practice of registered in-house counsel is restricted to protect the public. A lawyer practicing pursuant to this rule may not provide legal services to anyone other than his or her employer, may not work for an entity that provides legal services to others, and may not make court appearances. (The sole exception to these restrictions is that registered in-house counsel may also serve the public as a registered legal services attorney.) The purpose of these restrictions is to ensure that registered in-house counsel provide legal services only to qualifying institutions capable of meaningfully assessing the legal advice and assistance they receive.

#### 3. Litigators Temporarily in California (rule 966)

The Task Force recommended a rule that would allow attorneys to provide legal services in California related to ongoing or anticipated litigation. The rule would fill a gap in the current legal system. The reality of today's practice is that consumers of legal services need to be able to retain counsel for litigation that spans multiple jurisdictions. Under the current system, consumers often have difficulty meeting this need without hiring more than one set of counsel. Admission *pro hac vice* works well for litigation pending in California, in part because a California court can assess whether an out-of-state attorney should be permitted to practice in California. However, that approach does not work for litigation pending in another jurisdiction or for litigation that has yet to be filed.

The Implementation Committee's proposed rule allows attorneys to serve the needs of clients with litigation matters spanning multiple U.S. jurisdictions. It allows attorneys to provide legal services in California on a temporary basis for litigation pending or anticipated to be filed in a jurisdiction other than California and for litigation anticipated to be filed in California in which *pro hac vice* admission will be sought. Consumers of legal services are protected because out-of-state attorneys practicing under this rule will be subject to the jurisdiction of the State Bar of California, the jurisdiction of the courts of California, the laws of the State of California relating to the practice of law, and the California Rules of Professional Conduct. In addition, the attorney will be under the supervision of the forum in which the proceedings ultimately take place.

#### 4. Nonlitigators Temporarily in California (rule 967)

The Task Force recommended a rule that would allow attorneys while in California temporarily to provide legal services related to transactional and other nonlitigation matters. In various circumstances, out-of-state lawyers may be the best or most convenient source of legal guidance on matters that require providing legal services in California.

First, many transactions and other nonlitigation matters span more than one jurisdiction. Clients may wish to choose counsel to assist in a particular matter without being restricted to those attorneys who are admitted in all of the relevant jurisdictions and without hiring more than one lawyer or law firm. To address the needs of these clients, the Implementation Committee proposed a rule that would allow an attorney to provide legal services in California if a material aspect of the transaction or other matter is taking place in a jurisdiction in which the attorney is licensed.

Second, clients may benefit if they can retain out-of-state lawyers to assist California counsel on matters related to federal law or the law of jurisdictions other than California. The rule proposed by the Implementation Committee permits this practice.

Finally, a client may be located in more than one state and may wish to rely on the legal services of an attorney-employee located and licensed to practice law in a jurisdiction other than California. The proposed rule allows the out-of-state attorney to provide legal services in California to his or her employer and the employer's subsidiaries and affiliates.

Like rule 966, this rule protects consumers of legal services because out-of-state attorneys practicing under it will be subject to the jurisdiction of the State Bar, the jurisdiction of the courts of California, the laws of the State of California relating to the practice of law, and the California Rules of Professional Conduct.

#### VI. Public Comment

The Implementation Committee circulated the proposed rules for public comment and received almost 50 responses, many on behalf of groups. The great majority of comments were in favor of the rules, although many suggested amendments. The committee reviewed and considered all comments and made a variety of amendments based on them. The comments added greatly to the deliberative process and enhanced the proposed rules, whether or not the committee ultimately adopted any particular suggestion. The Implementation Committee appreciates the time and thought that all the commentators invested in improving the rules.

While it is impractical to respond to every comment, some major points warrant discussion. Of those who were critical of the proposed rules, many suggested in various ways that the rules do not go far enough, some indicating that out-of-state lawyers, in one category or another or as a group in its entirety, should be able to practice law in California in the same way as members of the State Bar. Some comments appeared to contemplate comity (allowing out-of-state lawyers this privilege in general) and others reciprocity (allowing out-of-state lawyers this privilege if their home jurisdiction grants the same privilege to members of the State Bar). The Task Force had already considered comity and reciprocity, and the Supreme Court had not charged the Implementation Committee with revisiting these issues. Instead, following the approach proposed by the Task Force and adopted by the Supreme Court, the Implementation Committee developed rules that would result in incremental changes, targeting the most pressing needs while minimizing the risk of harm to consumers. This approach would allow California to develop the experience necessary to assess further possible reforms. In sum, the proposed rules are not only a means of measured reform but also part of an ongoing effort to evaluate how the reforms work in the real world.

At the other end of the spectrum were commentators concerned that the proposed rules would allow too great an expansion of the practice of law by out-of-state attorneys in California. Some suggested a restriction of the practice under the rules, while others argued against any change at all. Of course, some risk attends any reform; therefore, the Implementation Committee designed the proposed rules to protect consumers of legal services while expanding multijurisdictional practice in a way that can be managed

effectively. Moreover, if the test of time suggests that improvements to the proposed rules are warranted, the rules can be amended.

As noted, the committee revised the proposed rules based on various comments it received. One particular concern expressed in the comments warranted a response. Some commentators cautioned that the proposed rules might limit, rather than expand, the ability of out-of-state lawyers to practice law in California. However, the proposed rules are not intended to restrict the multijurisdictional practice of law already permitted. To clarify this point, the Implementation Committee has added language to each rule stating that conduct permissible before adoption of the rule remains permissible.

Some commentators suggested that the provisions for supervision of registered legal services attorneys were unnecessarily restrictive. These commentators encouraged the Implementation Committee to allow the designated supervisor to exercise discretion about how much assistance, counsel, and direct supervision of a registered legal services attorney is necessary to protect clients. In light of the designated supervisor's obligation to assume professional responsibility for the work of the supervised attorney, the Implementation Committee agreed and has changed the language of proposed rule 964 accordingly. The supervisor must assist, counsel, and provide direct supervision of the registered legal services attorney to the extent required for protection of clients.

As to rule 966, some commentators suggested changing the permissible scope of practice for nonlitigating attorneys temporarily in California. The Implementation Committee originally drafted the rule to allow a qualified attorney to provide assistance on a nonlitigation matter in California if a "substantial part" of the matter were taking place outside of California. Commentators proposed that the defining term be changed to "material aspect," based on the rationale that it is easier to determine whether part of a transaction is "material" than "substantial"; that the materiality (or importance) of the aspect of a transaction is more relevant than its substantiality (or size); and that use of the phrase "material aspect" would allow for a greater range of practice in California in appropriate cases. The Implementation Committee agreed and changed the language to "material aspect."

The Implementation Committee also had the benefit of comments from the State Bar, which suggested a new format for all of the proposed rules and offered comments that applied generally to the proposed rules as well as various specific modifications to particular rules. The committee reviewed each suggested change. As to the overall change in format, the committee found that the circulated version of the rules was at least as consistent with other rules currently governing the practice of law in California and was more streamlined and accessible than the suggested format. As a result, the committee chose to retain the format it had originally adopted.

The State Bar made several useful specific proposals, including, for example, a requirement that out-of-state attorneys contribute to the Client Security Fund. The rules for registered legal services attorneys and registered in-house counsel now make clear that those attorneys must comply with any rules adopted by the State Bar, which could

include contributing to the Client Security Fund. The State Bar also asked that the rules be made effective no earlier than April 1, 2004, and ideally not before July 1, 2004. The committee recommends that the Supreme Court confer with the State Bar to choose a date that will allow the State Bar to prepare for implementation of the rules.

Further, the State Bar suggested that lawyers temporarily practicing law in California should register before entering California. The committee concluded that this step was neither practical nor necessary to protect consumers. Registration would be a significant impediment on occasions when an attorney may be in California only briefly. The need for flexibility on those occasions was a significant reason to change the rules governing the multijurisdictional practice of law. Further, out-of-state lawyers practicing in California under this rule are subject to the law and rules governing California lawyers, as well as to the jurisdiction of the State Bar and California courts. They also are subject to supervision by the states in which they are licensed to practice. These safeguards should ensure that attorneys are held accountable if they act in an improper manner while practicing law in California.

Below are other significant comments by the State Bar and others, followed by the committee's responses.

#### Registered Legal Services Attorneys (rule 964)

<u>Comment:</u> Increase the time an attorney has to complete Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirements.

<u>Response:</u> A registered legal services attorney is permitted to represent clients, many of whom are not sophisticated consumers of legal services, without taking and passing the California bar examination. The committee concluded that it was reasonable and prudent to require an attorney to satisfy all MCLE requirements that apply to California attorneys within the registered attorney's first year of practice.

#### Registered In-House Counsel (rule 965)

<u>Comment:</u> Do not permit attorneys to renew registration without passing the California bar examination.

<u>Response:</u> Registered in-house counsel may work only for qualifying institutions that employ at least one additional in-house counsel or have a significant number of employees. They cannot provide legal services to others, nor can they work for institutions that provide legal services to others. With these safeguards in place, the committee concluded that registered in-house counsel need not take the California bar examination.

<u>Comment:</u> Do not limit the rule to attorneys working for qualifying institutions.

<u>Response</u>: The committee placed restrictions on the institutions that may qualify to employ registered in-house counsel. The goal was to limit use of the rule to those organizations that have an ability to make an independent assessment of the quality of counsel. These restrictions include requiring that a qualifying institution either employ an attorney who is an active member in good standing of the State Bar of California or have 10 full-time employees in California. The committee felt these requirements would better ensure that the rule was used appropriately.

<u>Comment:</u> Make existing in-house counsel eligible to serve as registered in-house counsel.

<u>Response:</u> The committee modified the proposed rules to ensure that out-of-state lawyers currently serving as in-house counsel in California would be eligible to become registered in-house counsel.

<u>Comment:</u> Increase the time an attorney has to complete MCLE requirements.

<u>Response:</u> Registered in-house counsel will be permitted to represent clients in California without taking and passing the California bar examination. The committee concluded that it was reasonable and prudent to require an attorney to satisfy all MCLE requirements that apply to California attorneys within the registered attorney's first year of practice.

#### Attorneys Practicing Law Temporarily in California as Part of Litigation (rule 966)

Comment: Do not limit the frequency or duration of temporary practice.

<u>Response:</u> The rule is not intended to permit an attorney to practice law in California on an ongoing and regular basis without taking the California bar examination. If the attorney intends an extensive practice in California, the attorney should be obliged to become a member of the State Bar of California.

<u>Comment:</u> Limit service to 20 working days per year, in part because the restrictions on litigating in California are too vague. What does it mean that a lawyer cannot be "regularly employed" in California or "regularly" engage in business or professional activities in California?

<u>Response</u>: A rigid limitation on the number of days that an attorney may practice law in California would be unnecessarily restrictive. The limitations on temporary litigation in California under this rule—including the proscriptions on being "regularly employed" in California and on "regularly" engaging in business or professional activities in California—will develop more precise meanings over time. These terms can also be understood in light of their meaning in the context of admission *pro hac vice*.

<u>Comment:</u> Revise the definitions so that the term "authorized to appear" expressly includes practice in arbitration, administrative proceedings, and mediations.

<u>Response</u>: An out-of-state attorney may practice law in California under this rule if the attorney's services are part of a legal proceeding—including an arbitration, administrative proceeding, or mediation—in California or another state in which the attorney is or reasonably expects to be "authorized to appear." Whether the attorney is or will be "authorized to appear" depends on the rules and laws of the jurisdiction in which the legal proceeding takes place. That issue cannot and should not be resolved by a change of definition in the proposed rule.

## Non-Litigation Attorneys Temporarily in California to Provide Legal Services (rule 967)

<u>Comment:</u> Allow out-of-state attorneys to provide legal advice on federal law and the law of other jurisdictions to anyone, not just to attorneys licensed to practice law in California.

<u>Response:</u> The relevant provision allows an out-of-state attorney to provide legal assistance and legal advice on a matter that is occurring only in California, even if no material aspect is taking place in any jurisdiction other than California. The committee's concern was that the client may not recognize the relevance of California law. The committee therefore concluded that it was appropriate to require that a lawyer licensed to practice law in California be part of the process and assist the client in assessing the legal assistance or advice of the out-of stateattorney.

#### VII. Recommended Future Action

After considering the charge of the California Supreme Court, the report and recommendations of the Task Force, and public comments on the draft rules, the Implementation Committee recommends that the Supreme Court take the following steps:

- 1. Adopt proposed rules 964, 965, 966, and 967.
- 2. Create a committee to monitor the multijurisdictional practice of law in California and throughout the United States; assess the effect of the proposed rules and similar rules in other jurisdictions; and report to the Supreme Court on these matters within five years after implementation of the proposed rules.
- 3. Confer with the State Bar of California to set a date on which the proposed rules will become effective and to determine how to extend the authority of the State Bar to nonmembers who practice law under the proposed rules.

#### Appendix: Members of the Implementation Committee

**Chair** Mr. Raymond C. Marshall Bingham McCutchen LLP

Mr. Fred Alvarez Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati

Ms. Teveia Barnes Lawyers for One America

Mr. Jerome Braun, Senior Executive, Admissions and Certification State Bar of California

Ms. Joanne M. Garvey Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe LLP

Mr. Andrew J. Guilford Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP

Ms. Beth J. Jay Principal Attorney to the Chief Justice Supreme Court of California

Ms. Margaret Levy Manatt, Phelps & Phillips LLP

Ms. Andrea Ordin Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Hon. Dennis M. Perluss Associate Justice Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven

Mr. Mike Petersen Policy Consultant Senate Republican Caucus

Ms. Karen Randall Executive Vice President and General Counsel Universal Studios Mr. Peter Siggins Former Chief Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice Legal Affairs Secretary, Governor's Office

Ms. Karen Snell Clarence & Snell LLP

Mr. Brian Sun O'Neill, & Sun LLP

Mr. James E. Towery Hoge Fenton, Jones & Appel, Inc.

Mr. Gene Wong Chief Counsel Senate Judiciary Committee

**Reporter:** Mr. Joshua Paul Davis

**Associate Professor** 

University of San Francisco Law School

**Staff:** Ms. Susan R. Goins

Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel Administrative Office of the Courts

**Staff:** Ms. Benita Downs

Administrative Coordinator Office of the General Counsel Administrative Office of the Courts [The following rules were drafted by the committee and presented to the Supreme Court for consideration. The Supreme Court has approved the rules, designating November 15, 2004 as the date upon which they will become effective.]

Statement of Purpose. The purpose of this rule is to permit an attorney who relocates to California and who is licensed to practice law in one or more jurisdictions in the United States other than California to practice law in California under a registration system without becoming a member of the State Bar of California. An attorney so registered may practice law in California for no more than three years and during that period must do so under the supervision of an attorney employed by a qualifying legal service provider.

#### Rule 964. Registered Legal Services Attorneys

(a) [Scope of practice] Subject to all applicable rules, regulations, and statutes, an attorney practicing law under this rule is permitted to practice law in California only while working, with or without pay, at a qualifying legal services provider, as defined in this rule, and, at that institution and only on behalf of its clients, may engage, under supervision, in all forms of legal practice that are permissible for a member of the State Bar of California.

**(b)** [Requirements] For an attorney to practice law under this rule, the attorney must:

(1) Be an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency;

(2) Register with the State Bar of California and file an *Application for Determination of Moral Character*;

(3) Meet all of the requirements for admission to the State Bar of California, except that the attorney:

(A) Need not take the California bar examination or the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination; and

(B) May practice law while awaiting the result of his or her *Application for Determination of Moral Character*;

(4) Comply with the rules adopted by the Board of Governors relating to the State Bar Registered Legal Services Attorney Program;

1 Practice law exclusively for a single qualifying legal services 2 provider, except that if so qualified, an attorney may, while 3 practicing under this rule, simultaneously practice law as registered in-house counsel; 4 5 6 (6) Practice law under the supervision of an attorney who is employed 7 by the qualifying legal services provider and who is a member in 8 good standing of the State Bar of California; 9 10 (7) Abide by all of the laws and rules that govern members of the State Bar of California, including the Minimum Continuing Legal 11 12 Education (MCLE) requirements; 13 14 Satisfy in his or her first year of practice under this rule all of 15 the MCLE requirements, including ethics education, that members of the State Bar of California must complete every three 16 17 years; and 18 19 (9) Not have taken and failed the California bar examination within 20 five years immediately preceding application to register under this 21 rule. 22 23 [Application] To qualify to practice law as a registered legal services 24 attorney, the attorney must: 25 26 Register as an attorney applicant and file an *Application for* Determination of Moral Character with the Committee of Bar 27 Examiners; 28 29 30 (2) Submit to the State Bar of California a declaration signed by the attorney agreeing that he or she will be subject to the 31 32 disciplinary authority of the Supreme Court of California and 33 the State Bar of California and attesting that he or she will not 34 practice law in California other than under supervision at a 35 qualifying legal services provider during the time he or she 36 practices law as a registered legal services attorney in California, except that if so qualified, the attorney may, while practicing under 37 this rule, simultaneously practice law as registered in-house 38 39 counsel; and 40 41 Submit to the State Bar of California a declaration signed by 42 a qualifying supervisor on behalf of the qualifying legal services provider in California attesting that the applicant will work, with or 43

1 without pay, as an attorney for the organization; that the applicant 2 will be supervised as specified in this rule; and that the qualifying 3 legal services provider and the supervising attorney assume professional responsibility for any work performed by the 4 5 applicant under this rule. 6 7 (d) [Duration of practice] An attorney may practice for no more than a 8 total of three years under this rule. 9 10 (e) [Fees] The State Bar of California may set appropriate initial and annual registration fees, as well as application fees, to be paid by registered 11 12 legal services attorneys. 13 [State Bar Registered Legal Services Attorney Program] The State 14 **(f)** Bar may establish and administer a program for registering California 15 legal services attorneys under rules adopted by the Board of Governors 16 of the State Bar. 17 18 19 (g) [Supervision] To meet the requirements of this rule, an attorney supervising a registered legal services attorney: 20 21 22 Must be an active member in good standing of the State Bar of California; 23 24 25 (2) Must have actively practiced law in California and been a member 26 in good standing of the State Bar of California for at least the two years immediately preceding the time of supervision; 27 28 29 (3) Must have practiced law as a full-time occupation for at least four 30 years; 31 32 (4) Must not supervise more than two registered legal services 33 attorneys concurrently; 34 35 Must assume professional responsibility for any work that the 36 registered legal services attorney performs under the supervising 37 attorney's supervision; 38 39 Must assist, counsel, and provide direct supervision of the registered legal services attorney in the activities authorized by this 40 41 rule and review such activities with the supervised attorney, to the 42 extent required for the protection of the client;

1 (7) Must read, approve, and personally sign any pleadings, briefs, or 2 other similar documents prepared by the registered legal services 3 attorney before their filing, and must read and approve any documents prepared by the registered legal services attorney for 4 5 execution by any person who is not a member of the State Bar of 6 California before their submission for execution; and 7 8 May, in his or her absence, designate another attorney meeting the 9 requirements of (1) through (7) to provide the supervision required 10 under this rule. 11 12 [Inherent power of Supreme Court] Nothing in this rule is to be construed as affecting the power of the Supreme Court of California to exercise its 13 inherent jurisdiction over the practice of 14 law in California. 15 16 17 **(i)** [Effect of rule on multijurisdictional practice] Nothing in this rule limits 18 the scope of activities permissible under existing law by attorneys who are not 19 members of the State Bar of California. 20 21 **(j)** [**Definitions**] The following definitions apply to terms used in this 22 rule: 23 24 "Qualifying legal services provider" means either of the following, (1) provided that the qualifying legal services provider follows 25 quality-control procedures approved by the State Bar of California: 26 27 28 (A) A nonprofit entity incorporated and operated exclusively in 29 California that as its primary purpose and function provides 30 legal services without charge in civil matters to indigent 31 persons, especially underserved client groups, such as the elderly, persons with disabilities, juveniles, and non-English-32 33 speaking persons; or 34 35 (B) A program operated exclusively in California by a nonprofit law school approved by the American Bar Association or 36 37 accredited by the State Bar of California that has operated for 38 at least two years at a cost of at least \$20,000 per year as an identifiable law school unit with a primary purpose and 39 40 function of providing legal services without charge to 41 indigent persons.

| 1<br>2 | (2) | "Active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency" |
|--------|-----|--|
|        |     |  |
| 3      |     | means an attorney who meets all of the following criteria:   |
| 4      |     |  |
| 5      |     | (A) Is a member in good standing of the entity governing the   |
| 6      |     | practice of law in each jurisdiction in which the member   |
| 7      |     | is licensed to practice law;   |
| 8      |     |  |
| 9      |     | (B) Remains an active member in good standing of the   |
| 10     |     | entity governing the practice of law in at least one   |
| 11     |     | United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or   |
| 12     |     | dependency other than California while practicing law  |
| 13     |     | as a registered legal services attorney in California; and   |
| 14     |     |  |
| 15     |     | (C) Has not been disbarred, has not resigned with charges  |
| 16     |     | pending, or is not suspended from practicing law in any other  |
| 17     |     | jurisdiction.  |
| 18     |     |  |
|        |     |  |

1 Statement of Purpose. The purpose of this rule is to permit an attorney who resides in 2 California and who is licensed to practice law in one or more jurisdictions in the United States other than California to register to provide legal services as in-house counsel for a 3 4 single qualifying institution in California without becoming a member of the State Bar of California. 5 6 7 Rule 965. Registered In-House Counsel 8 9 (a) [Scope of practice] Subject to all applicable rules, regulations, and statutes, an attorney practicing law under this rule: 10 11 12 (1) Is permitted to provide legal services in California only to the qualifying institution that employs him or her; 13 14 (2) Is not permitted to make court appearances in California state 15 courts or to engage in any other activities for which pro hac vice 16 17 admission is required if they are performed in California by an attorney who is not a member of the State Bar of California; and 18 19 Is not permitted to provide personal or individual representation to 20 any customers, shareholders, owners, partners, officers, employees, 21 22 servants, or agents of the qualifying institution. 23 24 **(b)** [Requirements] For an attorney to practice law under this rule, the 25 attorney must: 26 27 (1) Be an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency; 28 29 30 (2) Register with the State Bar of California and file an *Application for* 31 Determination of Moral Character; 32 33 (3) Meet all of the requirements for admission to the State Bar of 34 California, except that the attorney: 35 (A) Need not take the California bar examination or the 36 Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination; and 37 38 39 (B) May practice law while awaiting the result of his or her

40 41 Application for Determination of Moral Character;

(4) Comply with the rules adopted by the Board of Governors relating 1 2 to the State Bar Registered In-House Counsel Program; 3 4 Practice law exclusively for a single qualifying institution, except 5 that, while practicing under this rule, the attorney may, if so 6 qualified, simultaneously practice law as a registered legal services 7 attorney; 8 9 Abide by all of the laws and rules that govern members of the State Bar of California, including the Minimum Continuing Legal 10 Education (MCLE) requirements; 11 12 13 Satisfy in his or her first year of practice under this rule all of the MCLE requirements, including ethics education, that members of 14 the State Bar of California must complete every three years and, 15 thereafter, satisfy the MCLE requirements applicable to all 16 members of the State Bar; and 17 18 19 (8) Reside in California. 20 [Application] To qualify to practice law as registered in-house counsel, 21 22 an attorney must: 23 24 (1) Register as an attorney applicant and file an *Application for* Determination of Moral Character with the Committee of Bar 25 26 **Examiners:** 27 Submit to the State Bar of California a declaration signed by the 28 29 attorney agreeing that he or she will be subject to the disciplinary 30 authority of the Supreme Court of California and the State Bar of California and attesting that he or she will not practice law in 31 32 California other than on behalf of the qualifying institution during 33 the time he or she is registered in-house counsel in California, 34 except that if so qualified, the attorney may, while practicing under 35 this rule, simultaneously practice law as a registered legal services 36 attorney; and 37 Submit to the State Bar of California a declaration signed by an 38 officer, a director, or a general counsel of the applicant's 39 40 employer, on behalf of the applicant's employer, attesting that the applicant is employed as an attorney for the employer, that the 41 nature of the employment conforms to the requirements of this 42 rule, that the employer will notify the State Bar of California 43

within 30 days of the cessation of the applicant's employment in California, and that the person signing the declaration believes, to the best of his or her knowledge after reasonable inquiry, that the applicant qualifies for registration under this rule and is an individual of good moral character.

(d) [Duration of practice] Registered in-house counsel must renew his or her registration annually. There is no limitation on the number of years in-house counsel may register under this rule. Registered in-house counsel may practice law under this rule only for as long as he or she remains employed by the same qualifying institution that provided the declaration in support of his or her application. If an attorney practicing law as registered in-house counsel leaves the employment of his or her employer or changes employers, he or she must notify the State Bar of California within 30 days. If an attorney wishes to practice law under this rule for a new employer, he or she must first register as in-house counsel for that employer.

(e) [Eligibility] It will not be grounds for denial of an application to register under this rule if the attorney applicant has practiced law in California as in-house counsel before the effective date of this rule. Further, it will not be grounds for denial of an application to register under this rule if the attorney applicant is practicing law as in-house counsel at or after the effective date of this rule, provided that the attorney applies under this rule within six months of its effective date.

(f) [Fees] The State Bar of California may set appropriate initial and annual registration fees, as well as application fees, to be paid by registered inhouse counsel.

(g) [State Bar Registered In-House Counsel Program] The State Bar may establish and administer a program for registering California inhouse counsel under rules adopted by the Board of Governors.

 (h) [Inherent power of Supreme Court] Nothing in this rule is to be construed as affecting the power of the Supreme Court of California to exercise its inherent jurisdiction over the practice of law in California.

(i) [Effect of rule on multijurisdictional practice] Nothing in this rule limits the scope of activities permissible under existing law by attorneys who are not members of the State Bar of California.

1 **(j)** [**Definitions**] The following definitions apply to terms used in this rule: 2 (1) "Qualifying institution" means a corporation, a partnership, an 3 association, or other legal entity, including its subsidiaries and 4 organizational affiliates. Neither a governmental entity nor an 5 entity that provides legal services to others can be a qualifying 6 institution for purposes of this rule. A qualifying institution must: 7 8 9 (A) Employ at least 10 employees full-time in California; or 10 (B) Employ in California an attorney who is an active member in 11 good standing of the State Bar of California. 12 13 14 (2) "Active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency" means an 15 attorney who meets all of the following criteria: 16 17 (A) Is a member in good standing of the entity governing the 18 practice of law in each jurisdiction in which the member is 19 licensed to practice law; 20 21 22 (B) Remains an active member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law in at least one United States 23 state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency, other 24 than California, while practicing law as registered in-house 25 26 counsel in California; and 27 28 (C) Has not been disbarred, has not resigned with charges 29 pending, or is not suspended from practicing law in any other 30 jurisdiction.

1 Statement of Purpose. The purpose of this rule is to permit an attorney who is licensed to 2 practice law in a jurisdiction in the United States other than California, and who is in 3 California temporarily as part of litigation, to perform litigation tasks in California under 4 specified circumstances. An attorney practicing in accordance with this rule is not engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in California. 6 7 Rule 966. Attorneys practicing law temporarily in California as part of 8 litigation 9 [Requirements] For an attorney to practice law under this rule, the 10 attorney must: 11 12 13 (1) Maintain an office in a United States jurisdiction other than California 14 and in which the attorney is licensed to practice law; 15 16 (2) Already be retained by a client in the matter for which the attorney 17 is providing legal services in California, except that the attorney may provide legal advice to a potential client, at the potential 18 19 client's request, to assist the client in deciding whether to retain the 20 attorney; 21 22 Indicate on any Web site or other advertisement that is accessible in 23 California either that the attorney is not a member of the State Bar of 24 California or that the attorney is admitted to practice law only in the 25 states listed; and 26 27 Be an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency. 28 29 30 (b) [Permissible activities] An attorney meeting the requirements of this rule, who complies with all applicable rules, regulations, and statutes, is 31 not engaging in the unauthorized practice of law in California if the 32 attorney's services are part of: 33 34 (1) A formal legal proceeding that is pending in another jurisdiction 35 36 and in which the attorney is authorized to appear; 37 38 (2) A formal legal proceeding that is anticipated but is not yet pending 39 in California and in which the attorney reasonably expects to be

authorized to appear;

40

| 1<br>2   |              | (3) A formal legal proceeding that is anticipated but is not yet pending in another jurisdiction and in which the attorney reasonably |
|----------|--------------|---|
| 3        |              | expects to be authorized to appear; or  |
| 4<br>5   |              | (4) A formal legal proceeding that is anticipated or pending and in   |
| 6        |              | which the attorney's supervisor is authorized to appear or  |
| 7        |              | reasonably expects to be authorized to appear.  |
| 8        |              |   |
| 9        |              | The attorney whose anticipated authorization to appear in a formal legal  |
| 10       |              | proceeding serves as the basis for practice under this rule must seek that  |
| 11       |              | authorization promptly after it becomes possible to do so. Failure to   |
| 12       |              | seek that authorization promptly, or denial of that authorization, ends   |
| 13<br>14 |              | eligibility to practice under this rule.  |
| 15       | (c)          | [Restrictions] To qualify to practice law in California under this rule,  |
| 16       | (0)          | an attorney must not:   |
| 17       |              |   |
| 18       |              | (1) Hold out to the public or otherwise represent that he or she is   |
| 19       |              | admitted to practice law in California;   |
| 20       |              |   |
| 21       |              | (2) Establish or maintain a resident office or other systematic or  |
| 22       |              | continuous presence in California for the practice of law;  |
| 23<br>24 |              | (3) Be a resident of California;  |
| 25       |              | (3) Be a resident of Camornia,  |
| 26       |              | (4) Be regularly employed in California;  |
| 27       |              | (.) g   |
| 28       |              | (5) Regularly engage in substantial business or professional activities   |
| 29       |              | in California; or   |
| 30       |              |   |
| 31       |              | (6) Have been disbarred, have resigned with charges pending, or be  |
| 32       |              | suspended from practicing law in any other jurisdiction.  |
| 33<br>34 | ( <b>d</b> ) | [Conditions] By practicing law in California pursuant to this rule, an  |
| 35       | ( <b>u</b> ) | attorney agrees that he or she is providing legal services in California  |
| 36       |              | subject to:   |
| 37       |              |   |
| 38       |              | (1) The jurisdiction of the State Bar of California;  |
| 39       |              | (1) The jurisdiction of the State Bar of Camorina,  |
| 40       |              | (2) The jurisdiction of the courts of this state to the same extent as is a   |
| 41       |              | member of the State Bar of California; and  |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4           |            | th   | The laws of the State of California relating to the practice of law, ne State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, the rules and egulations of the State Bar of California, and these rules.                                  |  |  |
|----------------------------|------------|--|---|--|--|
| 5<br>6<br>7                | (e)        | as affec   | ent power of Supreme Court] Nothing in this rule is to be construed cting the power of the Supreme Court of California to exercise its at jurisdiction over the practice of law in California.                              |  |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | <b>(f)</b> | [Effect of rule on multijurisdictional practice] Nothing in this rule limits the scope of activities permissible under existing law by attorneys who are not members of the State Bar of California. |   |  |  |
| 13<br>14<br>15             | (g)        | [ <b>Definitions</b> ] The following definitions apply to the terms used in this rule:   |   |  |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19       |            | m  | A formal legal proceeding" means litigation, arbitration, nediation, or a legal action before an administrative decisionnaker.  |  |  |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23       |            | in   | Authorized to appear" means the attorney is permitted to appear the proceeding by the rules of the jurisdiction in which the ormal legal proceeding is taking place or will be taking place.                                |  |  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27       |            | st   | Active member in good standing of the bar of a United States tate, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency" means an territory who meets all of the following criteria:  |  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31       |            |  | (A) Is a member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law in each jurisdiction in which the member is licensed to practice law;  |  |  |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35       |            |  | (B) Remains an active member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law in at least one United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency while practicing law under this rule; and |  |  |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40 |            |  | (C) Has not been disbarred, has not resigned with charges pending, or is not suspended from practicing law in any other jurisdiction.   |  |  |

2 practice law in a jurisdiction in the United States other than California, and who is in 3 California temporarily other than as part of litigation, to practice law to a limited extent in 4 California. An attorney practicing under this rule is not engaged in the unauthorized 5 practice of law in California. 6 7 Rule 967. Non litigating attorneys temporarily in California to provide legal services 8 9 10 [Requirements] For an attorney to practice law under this rule, the attorney 11 12 13 (1) Maintain an office in a United States jurisdiction other than California 14 and in which the attorney is licensed to practice law; 15 16 (2) Already be retained by a client in the matter for which the attorney 17 is providing legal services in California, except that the attorney 18 may provide legal advice to a potential client, at the potential 19 client's request, to assist the client in deciding whether to retain the 20 attorney; 21 22 (3) Indicate on any Web site or other advertisement that is accessible in 23 California either that the attorney is not a member of the State Bar of 24 California or that the attorney is admitted to practice law only in the 25 states listed: and 26 27 (4) Be an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency. 28 29 30 (b) [Permissible activities] An attorney who meets the requirements of this rule 31 and who complies with all applicable rules, regulations, and statutes is not 32 engaging in the unauthorized practice of law in California if the attorney: 33 34 Provides legal assistance or legal advice in California to a client (1) 35 concerning a transaction or other nonlitigation matter, a material aspect 36 of which is taking place in a jurisdiction other than California and in 37 which the attorney is licensed to provide legal services; 38 39 Provides legal assistance or legal advice in California on an issue of 40 federal law or of the law of a jurisdiction other than California to 41 attorneys licensed to practice law in California; or 42 43 Is an employee of a client and provides legal assistance or legal advice in California to the client or to the client's subsidiaries or organizational 44 45 affiliates. 46

Statement of Purpose. The purpose of this rule is to permit an attorney who is licensed to

| 1 (c)<br>2<br>3                       | ) [Restrictions] To qualify to practice law in California pursuant to this rule attorney must not:  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 4<br>5                                | (1) Hold out to the public or otherwise represent that he or she is admitted to practice law in California;   |  |  |
| 6<br>7<br>8                           | (2) Establish or maintain a resident office or other systematic or continuous presence in California for the practice of law;   |  |  |
| 9<br>10<br>11                         | (3) Be a resident of California;  |  |  |
| 12<br>13                              | (4) Be regularly employed in California;  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16                        | (5) Regularly engage in substantial business or professional activities in California; or   |  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                        | (6) Have been disbarred, have resigned with charges pending, or be suspended from practicing law in any other jurisdiction.   |  |  |
| 20 (d)<br>21<br>22                    | [Conditions] By practicing law in California pursuant to this rule, an attorney agrees that he or she is providing legal services in California subject to:   |  |  |
| 23<br>24                              | (1) The jurisdiction of the State Bar of California;  |  |  |
| 25<br>26<br>27                        | (2) The jurisdiction of the courts of this state to the same extent as is a member of the State Bar of California; and  |  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31                  | (3) The laws of the State of California relating to the practice of law, the State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, the rules and regulations of the State Bar of California, and these rules.                  |  |  |
| 32 (e)<br>33<br>34<br>35              | [Scope of practice] An attorney is permitted by this rule to provide legal assistance or legal services concerning only a transaction or other nonlitigation matter.  |  |  |
| 36 <b>(f)</b> 37 38                   | [Inherent power of Supreme Court] Nothing in this rule is to be construed as affecting the power of the Supreme Court of California to exercise its inherent jurisdiction over the practice of law in California. |  |  |
| 39<br>40 <b>(g)</b><br>41<br>42<br>43 | [Effect of rule on multijurisdictional practice] Nothing in this rule limits the scope of activities permissible under existing law by attorneys who are not members of the State Bar of California.              |  |  |

[**Definitions**] The following definitions apply to terms used in this rule: 1 2 (1) "A transaction or other nonlitigation matter" includes any legal matter other than litigation, arbitration, mediation, or a legal action 3 before an administrative decision-maker. 4 (2) "Active member in good standing of the bar of a United States 5 state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency" means an 6 7 attorney who meets all of the following criteria: 8 9 (A) Is a member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law in each jurisdiction in which the member is 10 licensed to practice law; 11 12 13 (B) Remains an active member in good standing of the entity governing the practice of law in at least one United States 14 state, jurisdiction, possession, territory, or dependency other 15 than California while practicing law under this rule; and 16 17 18 (C) Has not been disbarred, has not resigned with charges 19 pending, or is not suspended from practicing law in any other 20 jurisdiction.